

Bibliography

- [1] A. Adams, M.A. Sasse, and P. Lunt. Making passwords secure and usable. In H. Thimbleby, B. O’Connaill, and P. Thomas, editors, *People and Computers XII: Proceedings of HCI’97*, pages 1–19, London, United Kingdom, 1997. Springer Verlag.
- [2] J. Adams. *Risk*. UCL Press, London, UK, 1995.
- [3] Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. CONQUEST Overview: A Computerized Needs-oriented QUality Measurement Evaluation SysTem. Technical report, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD, USA, 1997. <http://www.ahcpr.gov/qual/conquest/conqovr1.htm>.
- [4] D.W. Aha and I. Watson. *Case-based Reasoning Research and Development*. Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence 2080. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 2001.
- [5] D.W. Aha and R. Weber, editors. *Intelligent Lessons Learned Systems: Papers from the 2000 Workshop*. Technical Report WS-00-03. AAAI Press, Menlo Park, CA, USA, 2000.
- [6] C. Ahlberg, C. Williamson, and B. Shneiderman. Dynamic queries for information exploration: An implementation and evaluation of graphical interfaces for drawing, exploring, and organizing. In *Proceedings of ACM SIGCHI’92 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 619–626, New York, USA, 1992. ACM PRESS.
- [7] P.B. Ainsworth. *Psychology, Law, and Eyewitness Testimony*. John Wiley and Sons, London, 1998.
- [8] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report on the accident to Boeing 737-400 G-OBME near Kegworth, Leicestershire on 8th January 1989. Technical Report 4/90, Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1990. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/gobme/gobmerep.htm>.
- [9] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report on the accident to Boeing 747-121, N739PA at Lockerbie, Dumfriesshire, Scotland on 21 December 1988. Technical Report No 2/90 (EW/C1094), Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1990. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/n739pa.htm>.
- [10] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report on the accident to BAC One-Eleven, G-BJRT over Didcot, Oxfordshire on 10 June 1990. Technical Report 1/92, Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1992. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/gbjrt/gbjrt.htm>.
- [11] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. The civil aviation (investigation of air accidents and incidents) regulations 1996. Technical Report Statutory Instrument No. 2798, Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1996. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/civact.htm>.
- [12] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report on the incident to a Boeing 737-400, G-OBMM near Daventry on 25 February 1995. Technical Report 3/96, Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1996. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/gobmm.htm>.

- [13] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report on the accident to Aerospatiale AS 355F1 Twin Squirrel, G-CFLT Near Middlewich, Cheshire on 22 October 1996. Technical Report 4/97, Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1997. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/gcflt/gcflt.htm>.
- [14] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report on an incident near Lambourne VOR - AIRPROX (C) : Boeing 747 and Gulfstream G IV on 3 July 1997. Technical Report 4/98, Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1998. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/airp/airp.htm>.
- [15] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report on an incident near London Heathrow Airport on 27 August 1997 - AIRPROX (C) : Boeing 737-200 and Boeing 757. Technical Report 5/98, Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1998. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/airp2/airp2.htm>.
- [16] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report by the Dominican Republic authorities into the accident to Boeing 757-200, G-WJAN at Puerto Plata Airfield, Dominican Republic on 1 January 1998. Technical Report 3/99, Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1999. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/gwjjan/gwjjan.htm>.
- [17] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report on the accident to HS748 Series 2A, G-ATMI at Liverpool Airport on 16 August 1996. Technical Report 1/99 (EW/C96/8/8), Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1999. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/gatmi/gatmi.htm>.
- [18] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report on the accident to Fokker F27-600 Friendship, G-CHNL Near Guernsey Airport, Channel Islands on 12 January 1999. Technical Report 2/2000 (EW/C99/1/2), Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/gchnl/gchnl.htm>.
- [19] Air Accidents Investigations Branch. Report on the incidents to HS748-2A, G-BIUUV and G-BGMO on approach to Isle of Man (Ronaldsway) Airport on 6 June 1998. Technical Report 1/00, Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.open.gov.uk/aaib/gbiuv/gbiuv.htm>.
- [20] J. S. Aitken, P. Gray, T. Melham, and M. Thomas. Interactive theorem proving: An empirical study of user activity. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 25(2):263–284, February 1998.
- [21] S. Aitken and T. F. Melham. An analysis of errors in interactive proof attempts. *Interacting with Computers*, 12(6):565–586, June 2000.
- [22] American Forces Information Link. Fixes touted to combat friendly fire casualties. Technical report, US Department of Defense, Washington DC, USA, 1999. http://www.defenselink.mil/news/Feb1999/n02021999_9902027.html.
- [23] American Forces Information Link. Friendly fire that changed a war? Technical report, US Department of Defense, Washington DC, USA, 1999. http://www.defenselink.mil/news/Feb1999/n02021999_9902028.html.
- [24] American Institute of Chemical Engineers. Investigating process safety incidents. Technical Report Course 504, AIChE, New York, USA, 2000. <http://www.aiche.org/education/cccrsdtl.asp?Number=504>.
- [25] M. Ammerman. *The Root Cause Analysis Handbook*. Quality Resources, New York, New York, 1998.
- [26] F. Anderson. Defence Evaluation and Research Institutes: Outdated Concepts or Strategically Important Elements of the National Security Strategy of South Africa? Technical report, South African Defence College, Thaba Tshwane, Pretoria, South Africa, 1999. <http://www.mil.za>.

- [27] J.D. Andrews and T.R. Moss. *Reliability and Risk Assessment*. Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, England, 1993.
- [28] L.B. Andrews, C. Stocking, T. Krizek, L. Gottlieb, C. Krizek, and T. Vargish. An alternative strategy for studying adverse events in medical care. *Lancet*, pages 309–313, 1997.
- [29] E. Anscombe. *Causality and Determinism*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1971.
- [30] ASLEF/D. N. Bennett. The Future of Safety in the Railway Industry, Letter to Rt Hon John Prescott, Deputy Prime Minister from M.D. Rix, General Secretary, ASLEF, 25 October 1999. Technical report, Associated Society of Locomotive Engineers and Firemen, London, UK, 1999. <http://www.aslef.org.uk/dox/prescott.doc>.
- [31] ASMB Information Technology Support Team. Section 508 web alternate format. Technical report, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Washington, D.C., USA, 2002. <http://www.hhs.gov/siteinfo/508web.html>.
- [32] S. Austin and G.I. Parkin. Formal methods: A survey. Technical report, Division Of Information Technology And Computing, The National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, United Kingdom, 1993.
- [33] Australian Army, P. B. RETTER, Brigadier Chief of Staff. Board of Inquiry into the Death of Cadet K. P. Sperling of the South Burnett Regional Cadet Unit arising from an Incident at the Bjelke-Peterse n Dam (QLD) on 18 Nov 2000: Findings, Action and Implementation Plan. Technical report, Headquarters Training Command, Canberra, Australia, 2001. <http://www.defence.gov.au/minister/2001/96180401.doc>.
- [34] Australian Incident Monitoring System - . GOC redevelopment. *Australian Incident Monitoring System Newsletter*, December 2000. <http://www.apsf.net.au/Dec2000Newsletter.pdf>.
- [35] Australian Incident Monitoring System. New Features of AIMS+. *Australian Incident Monitoring System Newsletter*, December 2000. <http://www.apsf.net.au/Dec2000Newsletter.pdf>.
- [36] Australian Incident Monitoring System. About the Australian Patient Safety Foundation. Technical report, Australian Incident Monitoring System, Australian Patient Safety Foundation, Adelaide, Australia, 2001. <http://www.apsf.net.au/about.html>.
- [37] Australian Incident Monitoring System. APSF Coder Training Course Outline. Technical report, Australian Incident Monitoring System, Australian Patient Safety Foundation, Adelaide, Australia, 2001. <http://www.apsf.net.au/coder%20training%20outline.htm>.
- [38] Australian Incident Monitoring System - C. Pirone. HIMS Update. *Australian Incident Monitoring System Newsletter*, March 2001. <http://www.apsf.net.au/NewsletterMar01.pdf>.
- [39] Australian Incident Monitoring System - M. Gehrig. What's New in the Next Release of AIMS. *Australian Incident Monitoring System Newsletter*, June 2001. <http://www.apsf.net.au/NewsletterJun01.pdf>.
- [40] Australian Incident Monitoring System - R. Parkes. e-learning the RAH experience. *Australian Incident Monitoring System Newsletter*, March 2001. <http://www.apsf.net.au/NewsletterMar01.pdf>.
- [41] Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Publications. Technical report, AIHW Media and Publishing Unit, Canberra, Australia, 2001. <http://www.aihw.gov.au/publications/index.html>.
- [42] Australian Maritime Safety Authority. Investigation into Livestock Carrier MV Temburong on Wednesday, 27th January 1999. Technical report, AMSA, Canberra, Australia, May 1999. <http://www.amsa.gov.au/amsa/pub/pub.htm>.

- [43] Australian Maritime Safety Authority. Organisation structure. Technical report, AMSA, Canberra, Australia, 2000. <http://www.amsa.gov.au/sd/orgst.htm>.
- [44] Australian National Occupational Health and Safety Commission. Compendium of workers compensation statistics, Australia, 1997-98. Technical report, NOHSC, Canberra, Australia, December 1999. http://www.nohsc.gov.au/work/statistics/compstat_exec.html.
- [45] Australian Therapeutic Goods Administration. Australian therapeutic device bulletin (index). Technical report, ATG, Woden ACT, Australia, 2000. <http://www.health.gov.au/tga/docs/html/atdb/tdbindex.htm>.
- [46] Australian Transport Safety Bureau. An evaluation of the BASI-INDICATE safety program. Technical report, Department of Transport and Regional Development, Bureau of Air Safety Investigation, 1998. <http://www.atsb.gov.au/aviation/pdf/indeval2.pdf>.
- [47] Australian Transport Safety Bureau. Collision between freight train 9784 and ballast train 9795, Ararat Victoria, 26th November 1999. Technical Report R1/2000, Rail Safety Group, 1999. <http://www.atsb.gov.au/pdfrpt/ararat.pdf>.
- [48] Australian Transport Safety Bureau. Departmental investigation into the Engine Room Fire on board the Australian Antarctic Research and Supply Vessel Aurora Australis at the Antarctic Ice Edge, 22 July 1998. Technical Report 135, Marine Incident Investigation Unit, 1999. <http://www.atsb.gov.au/marine/incident/aaustralis.cfm>.
- [49] Australian Transport Safety Bureau. Atsb annual review 2000. Technical report, Department of Transport and Regional Services, Canberra, Australia, 2000. <http://www.atsb.gov.au/atsb/indxf/anrev.cfm>.
- [50] Australian Transport Safety Bureau. Incident report on Cessna Skyhawk VH-IGA and Beech B300 VH-OXF, 11 km WSW Brisbane Qld, 6 July 2000. Technical Report Occurrence Brief 200002938, Bureau of Air Safety Investigation, 2000. <http://www.basi.gov.au/occurs/ob200002938.htm>.
- [51] Australian Transport Safety Bureau. ATSB Annual Review 2001. Technical report, Department of Transport and Regional Services, 2001. <http://www.atsb.gov.au/pdf/anreview2001.pdf>.
- [52] Australian Transport Safety Bureau. Independent Investigation into the Shift of Cargo Aboard the General Cargo Vessel, Sea Breeze, off the West Australian port of Bunbury, 21 August 1999. Technical Report 150, Marine Safety Inspector, 2001. http://www.atsb.gov.au/marine/pdf-rep/150_sunbreeze.pdf.
- [53] Australian Transport Safety Bureau. Backgrounder: Air Safety Investigations in Australia. Technical report, Department of Transport and Regional Services, Canberra, Australia, 2002. http://www.atsb.gov.au/atsb/indxf/air_invest.cfm.
- [54] Australian Transport Safety Bureau. Backgrounder: The Australian Transport Safety Bureau (ATSB). Technical report, Department of Transport and Regional Services, 2002. <http://www.atsb.gov.au/atsb/indxf/bgroun.cfm>.
- [55] Australian Transport Safety Bureau (Booz, Allen and Hamilton). Independent review of rail safety arrangements in Australia. Technical report, Report to the Standing Committee on Transport, Sydney, Australia, 1999. <http://www.dotrs.gov.au/atc/rail/index.htm>.
- [56] Aviation Safety Reporting System. Confusion in using pre-departure clearances. Technical Report 1, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, December 1996. http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/operational_issues/ob_96_01.htm.

- [57] Aviation Safety Reporting System. Great CRM and piloting. Technical Report 239, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, May 1999. http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/callback_issues/cb_239.htm.
- [58] Aviation Safety Reporting System. Passenger problems. Technical report, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, November 1999. http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/callback_issues/cb_245.htm.
- [59] Aviation Safety Reporting System. The Aviation Safety Reporting System. Technical report, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, 2000. <http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov>.
- [60] Aviation Safety Reporting System. The Aviation Safety Reporting System: Briefing slide 36. Technical report, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, 2000. <http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/briefing/sld036.htm>.
- [61] Aviation Safety Reporting System. Insect ingestion. Technical report, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, April 2000. http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/callback_issues/cb_250.htm.
- [62] Aviation Safety Reporting System. Unhappy landings. Technical report, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, January 2000. http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/callback_issues/cb_247.htm.
- [63] P. Bagwell. *The railwaymen: The History of the National Union of Railwaymen*. George Allen and Unwin, London, U.K., 1963.
- [64] L. Bainbridge. Analysis of verbal protocols from a process control task. In E. Edwards and F.P. Lees, editors, *The Human Operator In Process Control*, pages 146–159. Taylor And Francis, London, United Kingdom, 1974.
- [65] L. Bainbridge. Ironies of automation. In J. Rasmussen, K. Duncan, and J. Leplat, editors, *New Technology And Human Error*, pages 271 – 283. J. Wiley and Sons, New York, United States of America, 1987.
- [66] P. Barach and S.D. Small. Reporting and preventing medical mishaps: lessons from non-medical near miss reporting systems. *British Medical Journal*, 320(7237):759–763, 2000.
- [67] R. Bareiss. *Exemplar-based knowledge acquisition: A unified approach to concept representation, classification and Learning*. Academic Press, London, United Kingdom, 1989.
- [68] R. Bartlett and E. Hochstein. Air safety occurrence reporting and investigation in the Maastricht UAC. Technical report, Maastricht Air Traffic Control Centre, EUROCONTROL, Maastricht, Netherlands, 2000.
- [69] R. Bastide and P. Palanque. Petri net objects for the design, validation and prototyping of user-driven interfaces. In D. Diaper, D. Gilmore, G. Cockton, and B. Shackel, editors, *Human-Computer Interaction—INTERACT'90*, pages 625–631, North Holland, Netherlands, 1990. Elsevier Science.
- [70] U. Beck. *Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity*. Sage Publications, London, United Kingdom, 1992.
- [71] R.K. Belew. *Finding Out About: A Cognitive perspective on Search Engine Technology and the WWW*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2000.
- [72] L. Benner. Accident investigation: Multilinear events sequencing methods. *Journal of Safety Research*, 7(2):67–73, 1975.

- [73] L. Benner. Rating accident models and investigation methodologies. *Journal of Safety Research*, 16(3):105–126, 1985.
- [74] L. Benner. Quality management for accident investigations (part i). *International Society of Air Safety Investigators' Forum*, 24(3), 1991.
- [75] L. Benner. Quality management for accident investigations (part ii). *International Society of Air Safety Investigators' Forum*, 25(2), 1992.
- [76] L. Benner. Review of the US Department of Energy publication on Root Cause Analysis of Performance Indicators (WP-21). Technical report, Investigation Research Roundtable and Library, <http://www.iprr.org/Reviews/rca.html>, 1995.
- [77] T.A. Bentley and R.A. Haslam. Factors affecting postal delivery office safety performance. In S.A. Robertson, editor, *Contemporary Ergonomics*, pages 389–394. Taylor and Francis, London, United Kingdom, 1997.
- [78] M. Berg and E. Goorman. The contextual nature of medical information. *Journal of Medical Informatics*, 59:51–60, 1999.
- [79] P. Beynon-Davies. Human error and information systems failure: The case of the London Ambulance Service Computer-Aided Dispatch System project. *Interacting with Computers*, 11(6):699–720, 1999.
- [80] V. Bignell and J. Fortune. *Understanding System Failure*. Manchester University Press, Manchester, United Kingdom, 1991.
- [81] W.R. Van Biljon. Extending Petri nets for specifying man-machine dialogues. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 28(4):437–455, 1988.
- [82] C.E. Billings. *Aviation Automation: The Search for a Human Centred Approach*. Lawrence Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ, United States of America, 1997.
- [83] C.E. Billings. Some hopes and concerns regarding medical event-reporting systems. *Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine*, 122(3):214–215, 1998.
- [84] F.E. Bird. *Management Guide to Loss Control*. Institute Press, Atlanta, GA, United States of America, 1974.
- [85] F.E. Bird and G.L. Germain. Practical loss control leadership. Technical report, Institute Publishing, International Loss Control Institute, Loganville, GA, USA, 1985.
- [86] A. Bobbio, L. Portinale, M. Minichino, and E. Ciancamerla. Comparing fault trees and Bayesian Networks for dependability analysis. In M. Felici, K. Kanoun, and A. Pasquini, editors, *Proceedings of SAFECOMP'99: Computer Safety, Reliability and Security*, pages 310–322, Berlin, Germany, 1999. Springer Verlag. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1698.
- [87] K. Bolte, L. Jackson, V. Roberts, and S. McComb. Accident reconstruction/simulation with event recorders. In *International Symposium on Transportation Recorders*, pages 367–369. National Transportation Safety Board, Washington DC, USA, 1999. <http://www.nts.gov/publictn/1999/rp9901.pdf>.
- [88] B. Bouchard. Maximizing the benefits of recorded data. In *International Symposium on Transportation Recorders*. National Transportation Safety Board, Washington DC, USA, 1999. http://www.nts.gov/Events/symp_rec/proceedings/May_4/transcript_bouchard.htm.
- [89] J. Bourne. Report by the comptroller general: NHS (England) summarised accounts 1995-96. Technical Report HC 127, National Audit Office Publication, London, United Kingdom, 1997.

- [90] T. Bourne. The management of stress. In S.A. Robertson, editor, *Proceedings of Ergonomics '97: Contemporary Ergonomics 1997*, pages 283–288. Taylor and Francis, London, United Kingdom, 1997.
- [91] C.A. Bowers, E.L. Blickensderfer, and B.B. Morgan. Air traffic control specialist team coordination. In M.W. Smolensky and E.S. Stein, editors, *Human Factors in Air Traffic Control*, pages 215–236. Academic Press, London, United Kingdom, 1998.
- [92] M.H. Brandt. The next generation of FOQA programs. In *International Symposium on Transportation Recorders*, pages 29–34. National Transportation Safety Board, Washington DC, USA, 1999. <http://www.nts.gov/publicntn/1999/rp9901.pdf>.
- [93] T.A. Brennan, L.L. Leape LL, N.M. Laird, L. Hebert, A.R. Localio, A.G. Lawthers, J.P. Newhouse, P.C. Weiler, and H.H. Hiatt. Incidence of adverse events and negligence in hospitalized patients. results of the Harvard Medical Practice Study i. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 324(6):370–376, 1991.
- [94] L. C. Briand, C. Bunse, and J. W. Daly. An experimental evaluation of quality guidelines on the maintainability of object-oriented design documents. In *Empirical Studies of Programmers: Seventh Workshop*, pages 1–19, New York, USA, 1997. ACM Press.
- [95] J.C. Brigham and R.K. Bothwell. The ability of prospective jurors to estimate the accuracy of eyewitness identifications. *Law and Human Behaviour*, pages 19–30, 1983.
- [96] G.J. Briscoe. MORT-based risk management. Technical Report Working Paper 28, System Safety Development Centre, E.G. & G Idaho, Inc., Idaho Falls, USA, 1991. Cited in [443].
- [97] British Broadcasting Corporation. Chunnel trains ‘safer than ever’. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 1997. <http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid>
- [98] British Broadcasting Corporation. Coke poisoning threat. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 4 February 1999. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/world/europe/newsid_272000/272440.stm#top.
- [99] British Broadcasting Corporation. Rail privatisation ‘confused’. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, October 1999. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk_politics/newsid_480000/480950.stm.
- [100] British Broadcasting Corporation. Rail summit moves forward on safety. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 30th November 1999. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_543000/543019.stm.
- [101] British Broadcasting Corporation. Safety Review After Train Disaster. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, August 1999. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/world/south_asia/newsid_410000/410804.stm.
- [102] British Broadcasting Corporation. UK Rail chiefs accused of complacency. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 25th October 1999. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_484000/484674.stm.
- [103] British Broadcasting Corporation. Kinnock can’t beat euro-corruption. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, March 2000. <http://news6.thdo.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/ukstm>.
- [104] British Broadcasting Corporation. Mystery deepens in ferry search. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 2 July 2000. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/world/asia-pacific/newsid_815000/815473.stm.

- [105] British Broadcasting Corporation. Plan to stop dangerous doctors. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 13 June 2000. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/health/newsid_788000/788805.stm.
- [106] British Broadcasting Corporation. US reconsiders BNFL contract. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 23 March 2000. http://news2.thls.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_688000/688594.stm.
- [107] British Broadcasting Corporation. Bomb alert plane finally takes off. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 11 March 2001. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/newsid_1212000/1212974.stm.
- [108] British Broadcasting Corporation. Complaints about doctors soar. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 15 February 2001. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/health/newsid_1171000/1171412.stm.
- [109] British Broadcasting Corporation. Doctors back down in whistle-blower case. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 2001. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/health/newsid_1470000/1470590.stm.
- [110] British Broadcasting Corporation. Doctors: We're not perfect. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 3 July 2001. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/in_depth/health/2001/bma_conference/newsid_1420000/1420564.stm.
- [111] British Broadcasting Corporation. Milburn calls for 'end to blame'. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 26 June 2001. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/health/newsid_1409000/1409316.stm.
- [112] British Broadcasting Corporation. Rail firm defends safety record. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, June 2001. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/scotland/newsid_1413000/1413717.stm.
- [113] British Broadcasting Corporation. Inquiry urged into lorry rail crash. Technical report, News Staff, BBC, London, United Kingdom, 2002. http://news.bbc.co.uk/hi/english/uk/wales/newsid_1769000/1769983.stm.
- [114] M. T. Brown. Marine voyage data recorders. In *International Symposium on Transportation Recorders*, pages 47–60. National Transportation Safety Board, Washington DC, USA, 1999. <http://www.nts.gov/publictn/1999/rp9901.pdf>.
- [115] E. Brunswick. *Perception and the Representative Design of Psychological Experiments*. University of California Press, Berkley, United States of America, 1956.
- [116] Bureau of Transportation Statistics. What We'll Do to Improve Safety Data. Technical report, US Department of Transportation, Washington DC, United States of America, 2002. <http://www.bts.gov/sdi/section1.html>.
- [117] A. Burnham. A study of stop signs in Alabama and Georgia. *The Highway & Rail Safety Newsletter*, December 1994. <http://ntl.bts.gov/DOCS/rmo.html>.
- [118] C.P. Burns. *Analysing Accidents Using Structured and Formal Methods*. PhD thesis, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, 2000.
- [119] D. K. Busse and D. J. Wright. Classification and analysis of incidents in complex, medical environments. *Topics in Health Information Management*, 20(4):1–11, 2000. Special Edition on Human Error and Clinical Systems.
- [120] D.K. Busse and B. Holland. Implementation of critical incident reporting in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Cognition, Technology and Work*, 2001. Accepted and to appear.

- [121] D.K. Busse and C.W. Johnson. Human error in an intensive care unit: A cognitive analysis of critical incidents. In J. Dixon, editor, *Proceedings of the 17th International Systems Safety Conference*, pages 138–147, Unionville, Virginia, United States of America, 1999. The Systems Safety Society.
- [122] D.K. Busse and C.W. Johnson. Identification and analysis of incidents in complex, medical environments. In C.W. Johnson, editor, *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Human Error and Clinical Systems*, GAAG Technical Report G99-1, pages 101–120, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, 1999. http://www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/johnson/papers/HECS_99/.
- [123] R.M.J. Byrne and S.J. Handley. Reasoning strategies for suppositional deductions. *Cognition*, pages 1–49, 1997.
- [124] R.M.J. Byrne and A. Tasso. Deductive reasoning with factual, possible and counterfactual conditionals. *Memory and Cognition*, pages 726–740, 1999.
- [125] P.C. Cacciabue, A. Carpignano, and C. Vivalda. A dynamic reliability technique for error assessment in man-machine systems. *International Journal Of Man-Machine Studies*, 38:403–428, 1993.
- [126] P.C. Cacciabue and C. Vivalda. Dynamic methodology for evaluating human error probabilities. In G. Apostolakis, editor, *Probabilistic Safety Assessment And Management*, volume 1, pages 507–513. Elsevier, London, United Kingdom, 1991.
- [127] J. Champion. Interfacing the laboratory with the real world. In J. Long and A. Whitefield, editors, *Cognitive Ergonomics And H.C.I.*, pages 35–65. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1989.
- [128] Canadian Army Lessons Learned Centre. Analysis report - OP. ASSURANCE. Technical report, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 1997. <http://www.army.dnd.ca/allc/website/english/analysis/assur.pdf>.
- [129] Canadian Army Lessons Learned Centre. Common Observations and Issues: Operation Palladium Rotations Zero to Four. Technical Report Analysis 9901, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 1999. http://www.army.dnd.ca/allc/website/english/analysis/Paladium99_e.pdf.
- [130] Canadian Army, Office of the Judge Advocate General. Bill C-25: Amendments to the National Defence Act - background and amendment highlights. Technical report, Canadian Department of National Defence, Ottawa, Canada, 2001. http://www.dnd.ca/jag/hl_changeamendc25_e.html.
- [131] Canadian Chief of the Defence Staff. Accident investigation and reporting. Technical Report A-GG-040-001/AG-001, Canadian Department of National Defence, Ottawa, Canada, 2001. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/safety/gsp_pp/vol1/1ch04.htm.
- [132] Canadian Chief of the Defence Staff. Nuclear and ionizing radiation safety. Technical Report DAOD-4002-1, 2000-05-31, Canadian Department of National Defence, Finance and Corporate Services and Director General Nuclear Safety, Ottawa, Canada, 2001. http://www.dnd.ca/admfincs/subjects/DAOD/4002/1_e.asp.
- [133] Canadian Chief of the Defence Staff. Safety and protective equipment motorcycles, motor scooters, mopeds, bicycles and snowmobiles. Technical Report CFAO 17-1, Canadian Department of National Defence, Finance and Corporate Services, Ottawa, Canada, 2001. http://www.dnd.ca/admfincs/subjects/cfao/017-01_e.asp.
- [134] Canadian Department of National Defence. Initial Analysis Report - Common Issues for UN Operations in the Former Yugoslavia. Technical Report 3450-2 (ALLC), Army Lessons Learned Centre, Ottawa, Canada, 1996. <http://www.army.dnd.ca/allc/website/english/analysis/obsfry.pdf>.

- [135] Canadian Department of National Defence. First comes safety. Technical Report Safety Digest, 1-99, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 1999. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/1-99/first_e.asp.
- [136] Canadian Department of National Defence. Letters to the Editor. Technical Report Safety Digest, 7-99, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 1999. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/7-99/feed_e.asp.
- [137] Canadian Department of National Defence. Don't 'hose' around. Technical Report Safety Digest, 8-00, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 2000. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/8-00/art09_e.asp.
- [138] Canadian Department of National Defence. Editorial. Technical Report Safety Digest, 5-00, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 2000. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/5-00/feedback_e.asp.
- [139] Canadian Department of National Defence. Go Big or Stay Home. Technical Report Safety Digest, 9-00, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 2000. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/9-00/art09_e.asp.
- [140] Canadian Department of National Defence. Learning from a fuel explosion. Technical Report Safety Digest, 2-00, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 2000. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/2-00/fuel_e.asp.
- [141] Canadian Department of National Defence. Letters to the Editor: LCdr Dave Alder. Technical Report Safety Digest, 11-00, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 2000. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/11-00/art08_e.asp.
- [142] Canadian Department of National Defence. Military prosecutor to appeal kipling decision. Technical Report NR-00.056, Defence News Archive, Ottawa, Canada, 2000. http://www.dnd.ca/eng/archive/2000/may00/30kipling_n_e.htm.
- [143] Canadian Department of National Defence. Safety at Camp Black Bear. Technical Report Safety Digest, 2-00, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 2000. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/4-00/bear_e.asp.
- [144] Canadian Department of National Defence. Fluke or Just Blind Luck? Technical Report Safety Digest, 1-01, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 2001. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/1-01/art05_e.asp.
- [145] Canadian Department of National Defence. Letters to the Editor: Major André Bard. Technical Report Safety Digest, 6-01, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 2001. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/6-01/art05_e.asp.
- [146] Canadian Department of National Defence. Letters to the Editor: MCpl (ret'd) Craig Norman. Technical Report Safety Digest, 2-01, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 2001. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/2-01/art07_e.asp.
- [147] Canadian Department of National Defence. Gas lines and vehicles don't mix. Technical Report Safety Digest, 5-02, Vice-Chief of the Defence Staff, Ottawa, Canada, 2002. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/digest/5-02/art03_e.asp.
- [148] Canadian Directorate of General Safety. General accident information system. Technical report, Canadian Department of National Defence, Ottawa, Canada, 2001. http://www.vcds.dnd.ca/dsafeg/gsaiss-up_e.asp.
- [149] Canadian Forces Individual Training and Education System (CFITES). Training and Education System: Needs Assessment. Technical report, Canadian Department of National Defence, Ottawa, Canada, 1999. <http://www.dnd.ca/hr/dret/CFITES/pdf/engraph/vol2en.pdf>.

- [150] R. Carnap. *The Logical Foundations Of Probability*. The University of Chicago Press, Illinois, United States of America, 1962.
- [151] J.M. Carroll. *The Nurnberg Funnel: Designing Minimalist Instruction For Practical Computer Skill*. MIT Press, Boston, United States of America, 1992.
- [152] J. Carthy and A.F.S. Smeaton. The design of a topic tracking system. In *BCS Information Retrieval Special Interest Group Colloquium*, London, UK, 2000. British Computer Society.
- [153] N. Cartwright. *How the Laws of Physics Lie*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1983.
- [154] CASMET project. Casualty analysis methodology for maritime operations project, final summary report. Technical report, European Community Research and Development Information Service, Luxembourg, 1998. <http://www.cordis.lu/transport/src/casmet.htm>.
- [155] R. Catrambone and J. M. Carroll. Learning a word processing system with training wheels and guided exploration training and advice. In *Proceedings of ACM CHI'87: Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems and Graphics Interface*, pages 169–174, Washington, DC, USA, 1987. ACM Press.
- [156] Central Labour Institute. Industrial safety division of the Indian Central Labour Institute. Technical report, CLI, Mumbai, India, 2000. <http://labour.nic.in:80/dgfasli/cli/safety/safety.htm>.
- [157] D.B. Chaffin and G. Anderson. *Occupational Biomechanics*. J. Wiley and Sons (Wiley Interscience), New York, United States of America, 1991.
- [158] M. Chalmers, R. Ingram, and C. Pfranger. Adding imageability features to information displays papers: Information visualization. In *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, pages 33–39, New York, USA, 1996. ACM Press.
- [159] R. Chamberlin, C. Drew, M. Patten, and R. Matchette. Ramp safety. Technical Report 8, Aviation Safety Reporting System, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, June 1996. http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/directline_issues/dl8_ramp.htm.
- [160] Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board. Investigation Report into an Explosive Manufacturing Incident (4 Deaths, 6 Injuries) at the Sierra Chemical Company, Nevada, January 7th 1998. Technical Report 98 001 I NV, CSHIB, 1998. http://www.chemsafety.gov/reports/1998/sierra_chem/.
- [161] Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board. Guidelines for the Board of Enquiry into the Tosco Avon Fire. Technical report, CSHIB, 1999. <http://www.chemsafety.gov/1999/inv/toscoguide.htm>.
- [162] Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board. Chemical incident reports centre. Technical report, CSHIB, 2000. <http://www.chemsafety.gov/circ/>.
- [163] Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board. Chemical safety and hazard investigation board to improve worker safety. Technical report, CSHIB, 2000. http://www.chemsafety.gov/about/who_01.htm.
- [164] G. Chiola. GreatSPN users' manual. Technical report, Dipartimento di Informatica, Università delgi Studi di Tu rino, Turino, Italy, 1987.
- [165] P. Chretienne. Timed Petri nets: A solution to the minimum-time-reachability problem between two states of a timed-event graph. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 6(1-2):95–101, 1986.

- [166] Aeronautica Civil. Controlled Flight Into Terrain, American Airlines Flight 965, Boeing 757-223, N651AA, Near Cali, Colombia, December 20, 1995. Technical report, The Republic of Colombia, Santafe de Bogota, D.C., Colombia, 1996.
- [167] H.H. Clark and S.E. Brennan. Grounding in communication. In L. B. Resnick, J. M. Levine, and S. D. Teasley, editors, *Perspectives on Socially Shared Cognition*, pages 127–149. American Psychological Association, Washington, DC, United States of America, 1991.
- [168] H.H. Clark and D. Wilkes-Gibbs. Referring as a collaborative process. *Cognition*, 22(1):1–39, 1986.
- [169] S. Clarke. Organisational factors affecting the incident reporting of train drivers. *Work and Stress*, 12(1):6–16, 1998.
- [170] S. Clarke. Safety culture on the UK railway network. *Work and Stress*, 12(3):285–292, 1998.
- [171] M.R. Cohen. Why error reporting systems should be voluntary. *British Medical Journal*, 320(7237):728–729, 2000.
- [172] D.J. Cole and D. Cebon. A preliminary investigation of tractor/trailer interaction in articulated vehicles. Technical Report CUED/C-MECH/TR68, Transportation Research Group, Engineering Department, Cambridge University, Cambridge, United Kingdom, July 1995. <http://rage.eng.cam.ac.uk/trg/publications/abstracts/force10.html>.
- [173] Confidential Human Factors Incident Reporting Programme. Too much respect? *Feedback*, April 1998. <http://www.chirp.co.uk/>.
- [174] Confidential Human Factors Incident Reporting Programme. Computer aided? *Feedback*, January 1999. <http://www.chirp.co.uk/>.
- [175] Confidential Human Factors Incident Reporting Programme. Deaf ears? *Feedback*, July 1999. <http://www.chirp.co.uk/>.
- [176] Confidential Human Factors Incident Reporting Programme. Maintenance concerns... *Feedback*, January 1999. <http://www.chirp.co.uk/>.
- [177] Confidential Human Factors Incident Reporting Programme. Excuse me Captain, but... *Feedback*, January 2000. <http://www.chirp.co.uk/>.
- [178] Confidential Human Factors Incident Reporting Programme. Repetitive defect and sign-offs... *Feedback*, October 2000. <http://www.chirp.co.uk/>.
- [179] Confidential Human Factors Incident Reporting Programme. Fuel fumes - not a safe option. *Feedback*, April 2002. <http://www.chirp.co.uk/>.
- [180] Confidential Human Factors Incident Reporting Programme. Winter operations. *Feedback*, January 2002. <http://www.chirp.co.uk/>.
- [181] E. Connors, T. Lundregan, N. Miller, and T. McEwen. Convicted by juries, exonerated by science: Case studies in the use of DNA evidence to establish innocence after trial. Technical Report NCJ 161258, National Institute of Justice, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, 1996.
- [182] R. I. Cook, D. D. Woods, and C. Miller. A tale of two stories: Contrasting views of patient safety. Technical report, National Health Care Safety Council of the National Patient Safety Foundation at the American Medical Association, Chicago, Il., USA, 1998. Report from a Workshop on Assembling the Scientific Basis for Progress on Patient Safety, <http://www.npsf.org/exec/toc.html>.

- [183] R.I. Cook and D.D. Woods. Operating at the sharp end. In M.S. Bogner, editor, *Human Error in Medicine*, pages 255–310. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, NJ, United States of America, 1994.
- [184] E.P.J. Corbett and R.J. Connors. *Classical Rhetoric for the Modern Student*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1998.
- [185] Coronary Carenet. Central Cardiac Audit Database (CCAD) Project. Technical report, Society of Cardiothoracic Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland and the British Cardiac Society, London, UK, 1997. <http://ccad3.biomed.gla.ac.uk/ccad/schedule.htm>.
- [186] H. Van Cott. Human errors: Their causes and reduction. In M.S. Bogner, editor, *Human Error in Medicine*, pages 53–65. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, NJ, United States of America, 1994.
- [187] G. Coulouris, J. Dollimore, and T. Kindberg. *Distributed Systems: Concepts and Design*. Addison Wesley, Harlow, United Kingdom, 2001. Third Edition.
- [188] Council of Europe. On the control of major-accident hazards involving dangerous substances. *Official Journal of the European Communities*, L10(Directive 96/82/EC), January 1997.
- [189] A.P. Cox, editor. *Risk Analysis In The Process Industries: The Report Of The International Study Group On Risk Analysis*. EFCE No. 45. Institute Of Chemical Engineers, Rugby, United Kingdom, 1985.
- [190] S. Cox and T. Cox. *Safety, Systems and People*. Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1996.
- [191] S. Cox and R. Flinn. Safety culture: Philosopher’s stone or man of straw? *Work and Stress*, 12:1898–201, 1998.
- [192] CRD. NHS Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Technical report, University of York, York, UK, 2001. <http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/welcome.htm>.
- [193] J. Crow, D. Javaux, and J. Rushby. Models and mechanized methods that integrate human factors into automation design. In K. Abbott, J.-J. Speyer, and G.Boy, editors, *HCI Aero 2000: International Conference on Human-Computer Interfaces in Aeronautics*, pages 163–168, Toulouse, France, 2000. Cepadues-Editions.
- [194] Cullen. *Proceedings Of The Public Enquiry Into The Piper Alpha Disaster*. The Department of Energy, London, United Kingdom, 1990.
- [195] Cullen. *The Ladbroke Grove Rail Inquiry Part 1 Report*. HSE/Stationary Office, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/paddrail/lgr1.pdf>.
- [196] R.L.P. Custer. Forensic and insurance considerations in the investigation of large loss fires. *Technology, Law and Insurance*, pages 119–124, 1998.
- [197] J. Davies. Confidential Incident Reporting and Analysis System. Technical report, CIRAS, Glasgow, Scotland, UK, 2002. <http://www.ciras.org.uk/index.html>.
- [198] J.D. Davies, L.B. Wright, E. Courtney, and H.Reid. Confidential incident reporting on UK railways: The CIRAS system. *Cognition, Technology and Work*, pages 117–125, 2000.
- [199] D.M. DeJoy. Supervisor attributions and responses for multi-causal workplace accidents. *Journal of Occupational Accidents*, 9:213–223, 1987.
- [200] D.M. DeJoy. An attributional model of the safety management process in industry. In S. Kumar, editor, *Advances in Industrial Ergonomics and Safety IV*. Taylor and Francis, London, UK, 1992.

- [201] W.A. Dembski. *The Design Inference: Eliminating Chance Through Small Probabilities*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1998.
- [202] C. Denis. Strategic plan. Technical report, Federal Aviation Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 2000. <http://www.api.faa.gov/sp00/sp2000.htm>, at 11/7/2000.
- [203] K. Kaur Deol, A. Sutcliffe, and N. Maiden. Towards a better understanding of usability problems with virtual environments. In M. A. Sasse and C.W. Johnson, editors, *Interact '99*, pages 544–551, Amsterdam, NL, 1999. IOS Press.
- [204] Department of Energy. MORT User's Manual: For use with the Management Oversight and Risk Tree. Technical Report DOE-76/45-4-ssdc-4, Technical Research and Analysis Section, Environmental Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington DC, USA, 1976. http://tis.eh.doe.gov/analysis/trac/SSDC_doc/10003.txt.
- [205] Department of Energy. DOE Guideline Root Cause Analysis Guidance Document. Technical Report DOE-NE-STD-1004-92, Office of Nuclear Energy and Office of Nuclear Safety Policy and Standards, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington DC, USA, 1992. <http://tis.eh.doe.gov/techstds/standard/nst1004/nst1004.pdf>.
- [206] Department of Energy. Hazard and Barrier Analysis Guidance Document. Technical Report EH-33, Office of Operating Experience Analysis and Feedback, US Department of Energy, Washington DC, USA, 1996. <http://tis.eh.doe.gov/web/tools/hazbar.pdf>.
- [207] Department of Energy. Argonne National Lab Cited for Nuclear Safety Violations. Technical report, Chicago Operations Office, Chicago Il, USA, 1999. <http://www.ch.doe.gov/news/press/121699.htm>.
- [208] Department of Energy. DOE Workbook on Conducting Accident Investigations. Technical Report Revision 2, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Oversight, US Department of Energy, Washington DC, USA, 1999. <http://tis.eh.doe.gov/oversight/workbook/Rev2/chpt7/chapt7.htm>.
- [209] Department of Energy and SCIENTECH Inc. Barrier Analysis. Technical Report SCIE-DOE-01-TRAC-29-95, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Oversight, U S Department of Energy, Washington DC, USA, 1995. <http://ryker.eh.doe.gov/analysis/trac/29/trac29.html>.
- [210] Department of Energy and SCIENTECH Inc. Event and Causal Factor Analysis. Technical Report SCIE-DOE-01-TRAC-14-95, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary for Oversight, US Department of Energy, Washington DC, USA, 1995. <http://ryker.eh.doe.gov/analysis/trac/14/trac14.html>.
- [211] Department of Transport. *Investigation into the Clapham Junction Railway Accident*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, United Kingdom, 1989.
- [212] K.H. Digges, P.G. Bedewi, G.T. Bahouth, N.E. Bedewi, J. Augenstein, E. Perdeck, and J. Stratton. Determination and modeling of ankle injury causation, international conference on pelvic and lower extremity injuries. Technical report, FHWA/NHTSA National Crash Analysis Center, The George Washington University and William Lehman Injury Research Center, University of Miami, Washington DC, USA, 1995. <http://www.ncac.gwu.edu/archives/papers/ankle/ankle.html>.
- [213] Direction 2006. Direction 2006: Saving Lives Along Canada's Railways. Technical report, Transport Canada, Quebec, Canada, 2001. <http://www.direction2006.com/>.
- [214] K. Dismukes, G. Young, and R. Sumwalt. Effective management requires a careful balancing act. Technical Report 10, Aviation Safety Reporting System, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, December 1998. http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/directline_issues/dl10_distract.htm.

- [215] E. Dobranetski and D. Case. Pro-active use of recorded data for accident prevention. In *International Symposium on Transportation Recorders*, pages 99–120. National Transportation Safety Board, Washington DC, USA, 1999.
- [216] L. Dole. On-board recorders: The black boxes of the trucking industry. In *International Symposium on Transportation Recorders*, pages 121–124. National Transportation Safety Board, Washington DC, USA, 1999.
- [217] J.A. Doran and G.C. van der Graaf. Tripod-Beta: Incident Investigation and Analysis. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Health, Safety and the Environment*, New Orleans, USA, 9-12 June 1996. Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- [218] J. Dowell and W. Smith. Coordination training for distributed worksystems in emergency management. In Y. Waern, editor, *Co-operative process management - Cognition and Information Technology*, pages 147–157. Taylor and Francis, London, 1998.
- [219] DTLR Press Office. 300 Deaths too many - Spellar tells conference. Technical Report News Release 2001/0437, Department of Transport, Local Government and the Regions, London, UK, 2001. <http://www.press.dtlr.gov.uk>.
- [220] K.D. Duncan. Fault diagnosis training for advanced continuous process installations. In J. Rasmussen, K. Duncan, and J. Leplat, editors, *New Technology And Human Error*, pages 209 – 221. J. Wiley and Sons, New York, United States of America, 1987.
- [221] M.D. Dunlop, C.W. Johnson, and J. Reid. Exposing the layers of information retrieval evaluation. *Interacting with Computers*, 10(3):225–237, 1998.
- [222] J. Dupré. Probabilistic causality emancipated. *Midwest Studies in Philosophy*, pages 169–175, 1984. Cited in [315].
- [223] M. Durkin. Digital audio recorders: Life savers, educators and vindicators. In *International Symposium on Transportation Recorders*, pages 139–144. National Transportation Safety Board, Washington DC, USA, 1999.
- [224] K.W. Ellison and R. Buckhout. *Psychology and Criminal Justice*. Harper and Row, New York, 1981.
- [225] R. Elmasri and S.B. Navathe. *Fundamentals of Database Systems*. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, USA, 2000.
- [226] M.R. Endsley and M.W. Smolensky. Situation awareness in air traffic control. In M.W. Smolensky and E.S. Stein, editors, *Human Factors in Air Traffic Control*, pages 108–154. Academic Press, London, United Kingdom, 1998.
- [227] K.A. Ericsson and H.A. Simon. *Protocol Analysis: Verbal Reports as Data*. MIT Press, Cambridge, USA, 1985.
- [228] Estonian/Finnish/Swedish Accident Investigation Commission. Joint Accident Investigation Commission of Estonia, Finland and Sweden, Final report on the Capsizing 28th September 1994 in the Baltic Sea of the Ro-Ro Ferry MV Estonia. Technical report, Estonian/Finnish/Swedish Governments, Helsinki, Finland, 1997. <http://www.webandwire.com/joint.html>.
- [229] K. Etem and M. Patten. Communications related incidents in GA dual flight training. Technical Report 10, Aviation Safety Reporting System, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, December 1998. http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/directline_issues/dl10_gacom.htm.

- [230] EUROCONTROL Performance Review Unit. The PRCS European ATM performance measurement system. Technical report, EUROCONTROL, Brussels, Belgium, 1999. <http://www.eurocontrol.be/dgs/prc/en/index.html>.
- [231] European Commission's Major Accident Hazards Bureau. Major Accident Reporting System (MARS). Technical report, Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy, 2000. <http://mahbsrv.jrc.it/Activities-WhatIsMars.html>.
- [232] European Space Agency. The ESA alert system. Technical report, Product Assurance and Safety Support Division, Research and Development Arm of ESA (ESTEC), Noordwijk, The Netherlands, 1996. <http://esapub.esrin.esa.it/pff/pffv6n4/ciav6n4.htm>.
- [233] K. Farahmand. Application of simulation modeling to emergency population evacuation. In *Proceedings of the 1997 Winter simulation conference*, pages 1181–1188, New York, United States of America, 1997. ACM Press. <http://www.acm.org/pubs/citations/proceedings/simulation/307634/p1181-farahmand/>.
- [234] Federal Railroad Administration. Child-related railroad safety initiatives, achieving success – saving lives. Technical report, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 1997. <http://www.fra.dot.gov/safety/initives/child.htm>.
- [235] Federal Railroad Administration. FRA Guide for preparing accidents/incidents reports. Technical Report DOT/FRA/RRS-22 Effective: January 1997, Office of Safety, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 1997. <http://safetydata.fra.dot.gov/Objects/guide97.pdf>.
- [236] Federal Railroad Administration. Passenger train emergency preparedness: Notice of proposed rulemaking. Technical Report FRA Docket No. PTEP-1, Notice No. 1, RIN 2130-AA96, Office of Safety, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 1997. http://www.fra.dot.gov/counsel/regs/uscode_working_1997/62FR8330v2.htm.
- [237] Federal Railroad Administration. A Study of Supplemental Safety Systems with Whistle Bans at Highway-Rail Grade Crossings: The Spokane Experience Data Analysis. Technical report, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 1999. Prepared by: Applied System Technologies, Inc. Rockville, MD.
- [238] Federal Railroad Administration. Railroad safety inspector (qualifications and requirements). Technical Report Post GS-2121-12, Human Resources Department, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 1999. <http://www.fra.dot.gov/o/hr/railroadinspector.htm>.
- [239] Federal Railroad Administration. U.S. Secretary of Transportation Slater Submits Railroad Safety Bill. Technical report, Office of Public Affairs, Federal Railroad Administration/Department of Transportation, Washington DC, United States of America, 1999. <http://www.dot.gov/affairs/1999/fra1899.htm>.
- [240] Federal Railroad Administration. U.S. Transportation Secretary Slater Announces Report Showing Dramatic Improvement In Rail Safety. Technical Report FRA 25-99, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 1999. <http://www.dot.gov/affairs/1999/fra2599.htm>.
- [241] Federal Railroad Administration. Mission and vision statement. Technical report, Office of the Administrator, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 2000. <http://www.fra.dot.gov/o/oad/mvtext.htm>, at 11/7/2000.
- [242] Federal Railroad Administration. Project Plan: 1-800 Toll-Free Emergency Notification System for Shortline Rail road Highway-Rail Crossings in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, A Joint Partnership Between: SEDA-COG Joint Rail Authority North Shore Railroad and

- Affiliated Companies Clinton County Communication Center Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Federal Railroad Administration September 20, 2000. Technical report, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 2000.
- [243] Federal Railroad Administration. Safety practices to reduce the risk of serious injury or death both to railroad employees engaged in switching operations and to the general public. Technical Report Notice of Safety Advisory 2000-03, see Federal Register, (65)213:65895, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 2000. http://www.fra.dot.gov/safety/advisories/pdf/sa2000_3.pdf.
- [244] Federal Railroad Administration. Budget Estimates, Fiscal year 2003, Federal Railroad Administration. Technical report, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 2002. Submitted for use of Subcommittees of Appropriations, http://www.fra.dot.gov/Acquisition_and_Grant_Services/FRA_2003Budget.pdf.
- [245] Federal Railroad Administration. Detailed Accident and Incident Statistics. Technical report, Office of Safety Analysis, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 2002. <http://safetydata.fra.dot.gov/Officeofsafety/default.asp>.
- [246] Federal Railroad Administration. Highway-Rail Crossing Web Accident Prediction System (WBAPS). Technical report, Office of Safety Analysis, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 2002. <http://safetydata.fra.dot.gov/officeofsafety/Crossing/Default.asp>.
- [247] Federal Railroad Administration (M. K. Coplen, Volpe National Transportation Systems Center). Compliance with Railroad Operating Rules and Corporate Culture Influences - Results of a Focus Group and Structured Interviews. Technical Report DOT/FRA/ORD-99/09, DOT-VNTSC-FRA-97-7, Office of Safety Analysis, Federal Railroad Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 1999. <http://www.fra.dot.gov/volpe/pubs/reports/ruleinfl/cvrndoc.html>.
- [248] J.D. Fekete and C. Plaisant. Excentric labelling: Dynamic neighbourhood labeling for data visualisation. In *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computer Systems*, pages 512–519, New York, United States of America, 1999. ACM Press.
- [249] D. Fennell. *Investigation Into The Kings Cross Underground Fire*. Department of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1988.
- [250] T. S. Ferry. *Modern Accident Investigation and Analysis*. John Wiley and Sons Inc., London, 1988.
- [251] B. Fischhoff, P. Slovic, S. Lichtenstein, S. Read, and B. Combs. A psychometric study of attitudes towards technological risks and benefits. In P. Slovic, editor, *The Perception of Risk*, pages 80–103. ESC Publications, London, UK, 2000.
- [252] J.C. Flanagan. The critical incident technique. *Psychological Bulletin*, pages 327–358, 1954.
- [253] G.A. Fontenelle. The effects of task characteristics on the availability heuristic for judgements of uncertainty. Technical Report 83-1, Office of Naval Research, Washington, DC, United States of America, 1983. Jointly published with Rice University.
- [254] Food and Drug Administration. Medical device user facility and manufacturer reporting, certification and registration; delegations of authority; medical device reporting procedures; final rules. *Federal Register (Rules and Regulations)*, 60(237):63577–63606, December 1995. <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/fr/fr1211t.html>.
- [255] Food and Drug Administration. Outbreak of *Y. enterocolitica* with a dairy plant. Technical report, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition and the New Hampshire Division of Public Health Services Bureau of Food Protection, Washington DC, USA, 1995. <http://vm.cfsan.fda.gov/ear/NHOUTB.html>.

- [256] Food and Drug Administration. *Vibrio vulnificus* Infections Associated with Eating Raw Oysters. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 44(29), 1995. <http://vm.cfsan.fda.gov/mow/vulaca.html>.
- [257] Food and Drug Administration. Clinical impact of adverse event reporting: Postmarketing surveillance. Technical report, Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, US Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, Maryland, USA, 1996. <http://www.fda.gov/medwatch/articles/medcont/postmkt.htm#mw>.
- [258] Food and Drug Administration. FDA and the Internet advertising and promotion of medical products. Technical report, Department of Health and Human Services, FDA, Washington, DC, USA, 1996. <http://www.fda.gov/opacom/morechoices/transcript1096/fdainet3.html>.
- [259] Food and Drug Administration. Chapter 7 recall and emergency procedures. Technical report, FDA Office of Regulatory Affairs, Washington DC, USA, 1997. http://www.fda.gov/ora/compliance_ref/rpm_new2/ch7.html.
- [260] Food and Drug Administration. Medical device reporting for manufacturers. Technical report, Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, US Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, Maryland, USA, March 1997. <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/manual/mdrman.html>.
- [261] Food and Drug Administration. National Computer Network in Place to Combat Foodborne Illness Detects and Traces E. Coli Strains Up to Five Times Faster. Technical report, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Washington DC, USA, 1998. <http://vm.cfsan.fda.gov/lrd/hhspulse.html>.
- [262] Food and Drug Administration. Transcript of the Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Stakeholders Meeting. Technical report, FDA, Washington, DC, USA, 18 August 1998. <http://www.fda.gov/ohrms/dockets/dockets/98N0339/98n339r/tr00001.htm>.
- [263] Food and Drug Administration. Workshop on minimizing medical product errors: A systems approach. Technical report, U.S. Food and Drug Administration Office of External Affairs, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Rockville, Maryland, USA, 1998. <http://www.fda.gov/oc/workshops/errorsum.htm>.
- [264] Food and Drug Administration. Final report of a study to evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of a sentinel reporting system for adverse event reporting of medical device use in user facilities. Technical report, Office of Surveillance and Biometrics, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Rockville, Maryland, USA, 1999. <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/postsurv/medsunappendixa.html>.
- [265] Food and Drug Administration. Internet Rumors About Tampons Refuted. *FDA Consumer Magazine*, 33(2), 1999. http://www.fda.gov/fdac/departs/1999/299_upd.html, Updates and Press Releases.
- [266] Food and Drug Administration. CDRH facts-on-demand index. Technical report, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Centre for Devices and Radiological Health, Washington DC, USA, 2001. http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/dsma/dsma_ndx.html.
- [267] Food and Drug Administration. Courses available for loan through the office of regulatory affairs lending library. Technical report, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Division of Human Resource Development, State Training Team, Washington, DC, USA, 2001. http://www.fda.gov/ora/training/Lending_Library2000.htm.

- [268] Food and Drug Administration. FDA and Canadian Food Inspection Agency Warn Against Consuming Mislabeled Poisonous Plant Called Autumn Monkshood. Technical report, FDA, Washington DC, USA, 2001. <http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/lrd/tpplants.html>.
- [269] Food and Drug Administration. FDA press releases and talk papers. Technical report, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Washington DC, USA, 2001. <http://www.fda.gov/opacom/hpnews.html>.
- [270] Food and Drug Administration. Manufacturer and user facility device experience database (MAUDE). Technical report, Centre for Devices and Radiological Health, US Food and Drug Administration, Washington DC, USA, 2001. <http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfMAUDE/search.CFM>.
- [271] Food and Drug Administration. Manufacturer and user facility device experience database (MAUDE): File formats for freedom of information releasable data. Technical report, Centre for Devices and Radiological Health, US Food and Drug Administration, Washington DC, USA, 2001. <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/maude.html>.
- [272] Food and Drug Administration. MedWatch: The FDA Safety Information and Adverse Event reporting Programme. Technical report, Reporting Systems Monitoring Branch (HFZ-533), Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Rockville, MD, USA, 2001. <http://www.fda.gov/medwatch/index.html>.
- [273] Food and Drug Administration. Safety Alerts, Public Health Advisories, and Notices From CDRH. Technical report, Centre for Devices and Radiological Health, US Food and Drug Administration, Washington DC, USA, 2001. <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/safety.html>.
- [274] Food and Drug Administration. Title 21 Code of Federal Regulations (21 CFR Part 11) Electronic Records; Electronic Signatures Final Rule Published in the Federal Register. Technical report, U.S. Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, Washington DC, USA, 2001. http://www.fda.gov/ora/compliance_ref/part11/frs/background/11cfr-fr.htm.
- [275] Food and Drug Administration: D. Dwyer. Sending the wrong signals. *User Facility Reporting Bulletins*, 2000. <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/fusenews/ufb33.html>.
- [276] Food and Drug Administration: M. Meadows. Tampon Safety: TSS Now Rare, but Women Still Should Take Care. *FDA Consumer Magazine*, 32(2), 2000. http://www.fda.gov/fdac/features/2000/200_tss.html.
- [277] Food and Drug Administration: M. Weick-Brady. Those codes! *User Facility Reporting Bulletins*, 1996. <http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/issue18.pdf>.
- [278] Food and Drug Administration, Task Force on Risk Management. Managing the Risks from Medical Product Use: Creating a Risk Management Framework. Technical report, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Washington DC, USA, 1999. <http://www.fda.gov/oc/tfrm/riskmanagement.pdf>.
- [279] J. Fortune and G. Peters. *Learning from Failure: The Systems Approach*. J. Wiley and Sons, Chichester, United Kingdom, 1997.
- [280] R.B. Foster. Enhancing trust in organisations that manage risk. In M.P. Cottam, D.W. Harvey, R.P. Pape, and J. Tait, editors, *Foresight and Precaution*, volume 1, pages 3–8. Balkema, The Netherlands, 2000.
- [281] H. Foushee and R.L. Helmreich. Group interaction and flight crew performance. In E. L. Wiener and D.C. Nagel, editors, *Human Factors in Aviation*, pages 189–228. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, United States of America, 1988.

- [282] J.B. Freeman. *Dialectics and the Macrostructure of Arguments: A Theory of Argument Structure (Studies of Argumentation in Pragmatics and Discourse Analysis)*. Foris, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 1991.
- [283] D.M. Gaba. Human error in dynamic medical domains. In M.S. Bogner, editor, *Human Error in Medicine*, pages 197–224. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, NJ, United States of America, 1994.
- [284] I.A.R. Galer and B.L. Yap. Ergonomics in intensive care: Applying human factors to the design and evaluation of a patient monitoring system. *Ergonomics*, 23(8):763 – 779, 1980.
- [285] P. Galison. An accident of history. In P. Galison and A. Roland, editors, *Atmospheric Flight in the Twentieth Century*, pages 3–43, London, UK, 2000. Kluwer Academic.
- [286] F. Gamst. *The Hoghead: An Industrial Ethnology of the Locomotive Engineer*. Holt, Reinhart and Winston, New York, U.S.A., 1980.
- [287] A. Gawthrop. A feasibility study into information retrieval tools to support searching tasks UK rail incident data. Technical report, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, UK, 1999. M.Sc. Thesis.
- [288] M.E. Gebicke. Military training deaths: Need to ensure that safety lessons are learned and implemented. Technical Report GAO/NSIAD-94-82, United States' General Accounting Office, Washington, DC, USA, 1994. <http://www.gao.gov>.
- [289] M.E. Gebicke. Army equipment: Management of weapon system and equipment modification program needs improvement. Technical Report GAO/NSIAD-98-14, United States' General Accounting Office, Washington, DC, USA, 1997. <http://www.gao.gov>.
- [290] M.E. Gebicke. Army Ranger training: Safety improvements need to be institutionalized. Technical Report GAO/NSIAD-97-29, United States' General Accounting Office, Washington, DC, USA, 1997. <http://www.gao.gov>.
- [291] T. Gerdsmeyer, P.B. Ladkin, and K. Loer. Formalising failure analysis. Technical Report Research Report RVS-Occ-97-06, Faculty of Technology, University of Bielefeld, Bielefeld, Germany, 1997. <http://www.rvs.uni-bielefeld.de/publications/Reports/AMAST97.html>.
- [292] D.I. Gertman and H. S. Blackman. *Human Reliability and Safety Analysis Data Handbook*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, 1994.
- [293] W.D. Glauz, K.M. Bauer, and D.J. Migletz. Expected traffic conflict rates and their use in predicting accidents. *Transportation Research Record*, pages 1–12, 1985.
- [294] L. Goodwin. The admissibility of computer-generated evidence with particular attention towards vehicle reconstruction animation evidence in court. Technical report, AIMS Research, SChEME, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK, 1999.
- [295] R. C. Graeber. Aircrew fatigue and circadian rhythmicity. In E. L. Wiener and D.C. Nagel, editors, *Human Factors in Aviation*, pages 305–344. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, United States of America, 1988.
- [296] B.A. Gran, G. Dahl, S. Eisinger, E.J. Lund, J.G. Norstrom, P. Strocka, and B.J. Ystanes. Estimating dependability of programmable systems using Bayesian belief networks. In F. Koornneef and M. van der Meulen, editors, *Computer Safety, Reliability and Security: Proceedings of 19th International Conference SAFECOMP 2000*, LNCS 1943, pages 309–320. Springer Verlag, 2000.
- [297] E. Grandjean. *Fitting The Man To The Task: Occupational Ergonomics*. Taylor Francis, London, United Kingdom, 1988.

- [298] P. Grice. *Studies in the Way of Words*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, United States of America, 1989.
- [299] Z. Guessoum. A multi-agent simulation framework. *Transactions of the Society for Computer Simulation*, 17(1):2–11, March 2000.
- [300] W. Haddon. Energy damage and the ten counter-measure strategies. *Human Factors*, 15, 1973.
- [301] W. Haddon. The basic strategies for reducing damage from hazards of all kinds. *Hazard Prevention*, pages 8–12, September-October 1980.
- [302] J. Hall. Opening Statement at the Public Hearing on the February 16, 1996 Collision Between an Amtrak Train and a MARC Commuter Train in Silver Spring, Maryland June 26, 1996. Technical report, National Transportation Safety Board, Washington, DC United States of America, 1996. <http://www.nts.gov/speeches/former/hall/jh960626.htm>.
- [303] J. Hall. Remarks of Jim Hall, Chairman National Transportation Safety Board before the Senior Staff of Norfolk Southern Corporation, Roanoke, Virginia, August 14, 1998. Technical report, National Transportation Safety Board, Washington, DC United States of America, 1998. <http://www.nts.gov/speeches/former/hall/jh980814.htm>.
- [304] J. Hall. Testimony of Jim Hall, Chairman National Transportation Safety Board before the Subcommittee on Aviation Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, House of Representatives, Regarding Aviation Issues as a Result of the Crash Involving EgyptAir Flight 990. Technical report, National Transportation Safety Board, Washington, DC United States of America, 2000. <http://www.nts.gov/speeches/jhc000411.htm>.
- [305] M. Hammersely and P. Atkinson. *Ethnography: Principles in Practice*. Routledge, London, UK, 2001. Second Edition.
- [306] R.W. Hamming. *Introduction to Applied Numerical Analysis*. McGraw Hill, London, UK, 1971.
- [307] D. Hample. The Toulmin model and the syllogism. *Journal of the American Forensic Association*, 14:1–9, 1977.
- [308] M. Harris, A.P. Jagodzinski, and K.R. Greene. Human error in the context of work activity systems: A case study of knowledge based computer systems in routine use. Technical Report GAAG Technical Report G99-1, Glasgow Accident Analysis Group, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, 1999.
- [309] R. Harris. A handbook of rhetorical devices. Technical report, SCC, Cosa Mesa, California, 1997. http://www.sccu.edu/faculty/R_Harris/rhetoric.htm.
- [310] C. Hart. The global aviation information network (GAIN): Using information proactively to improve aviation safety. Technical report, Office of System Safety, U. S. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, May 2000. http://www.asy.faa.gov/gain/What_Is_GAIN/.
- [311] S.G. Hart and L.E. Staveland. Development of NASA-TLX (Task, Load Index): results of theoretical and empirical research. In P.A. Hancock and N. Meshkati, editors, *Human Mental Workload*. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, Holland, 1988.
- [312] F. Hartung and M. Kutter. Multimedia watermarking techniques. *Proceedings of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineering*, 87(7):1079–1107, July 1999.
- [313] J. Harvey, H. Bolam, and D. Gregory. The effectiveness of training to change safety culture and attitudes. In M.P. Cottam, D.W. Harvey, R.P. Pape, and J. Tait, editors, *Foresight and Precaution*, volume 2, pages 1143–1148, The Netherlands, 2000. Balkema.

- [314] M. Hastings. A clinical review of the brain, circadian rhythms, and clock genes. *British Medical Journal*, pages 1704–1707, 1998. <http://www.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/317/7174/1704>.
- [315] D.M. Hausman. *Causal Asymmetries*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1998.
- [316] Health and Safety Commission. Health and Safety Commission discussion document on duty to investigate workplace accidents. Technical Report Press Release C48:98, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 1998. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/press/c9848.htm>, see also [318].
- [317] Health and Safety Commission. Enforcement policy statement. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/action/index.htm>.
- [318] Health and Safety Commission. Proposal for a new duty to investigate accidents, dangerous occurrences and diseases: A consultative document. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/concdocs>.
- [319] Health and Safety Commission. The Southall Rail Accident Inquiry Report: HSC action plan to implement recommendations. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/hsc/south-01.htm>.
- [320] Health and Safety Commission. The Southall rail accident inquiry report: HSC action plan progress report to end February 2001. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/hsc/uffrprt4.pdf>.
- [321] Health and Safety Executive. Health and safety management. Technical Report HS(G) 65, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 1991.
- [322] Health and Safety Executive. The reporting of injuries, diseases and dangerous occurrences regulations 1995: Guidance for employers in the healthcare sector. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 1995. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/hsis1.htm>.
- [323] Health and Safety Executive. Controlling grain dust on farm. Technical Report Agriculture Information Sheet 7/96 AIS3 (revised) C100, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 1996. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/ais3.htm>.
- [324] Health and Safety Executive. The costs to Britain of workplace accidents and work related ill health in 1995/96. Technical Report ISBN 07176 17092, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 1996. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/dst/accicost.htm>.
- [325] Health and Safety Executive. Major hazard incidents data service (mhidas). Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 1997. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/infoserv/mhidas.htm>.
- [326] Health and Safety Executive. Workplace injury: Comparison of Great Britain with Europe and the USA. Technical Report ISBN 07176 17092, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 1997. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/hsestats/eurocomp.pdf>.
- [327] Health and Safety Executive. HSE enforcement and other action since the Ladbroke Grove accident. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 1999. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/paddrail/enforce.htm>.
- [328] Health and Safety Executive. Key messages from the labour force survey (1998-99) for injury risks : Gender and age, job tenure and part time working. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 1999. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/keyart.pdf>.
- [329] Health and Safety Executive. Review of arrangements for standard setting and application on the main railway network : Interim report. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 1999. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/standset.htm>.

- [330] Health and Safety Executive. Risk communication: A guide to regulatory practice. Technical report, ILGRA: Inter-Departmental Liaison Group on Risk Assessment, London, United Kingdom, 1999. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/dst/risk.pdf>.
- [331] Health and Safety Executive. HSE charging for railway activities: Charging activities. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/charging/railarr.htm>.
- [332] Health and Safety Executive. Policy, risk and science: Securing and using scientific advice. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 2000. http://www.hse.gov.uk/research/crr_pdf/2000/crr00295.pdf.
- [333] Health and Safety Executive. Safety and statistics bulletin 1999-2000. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/hsestats/ssb9900.pdf>.
- [334] Health and Safety Executive. Safety statistics bulletin 1999/2000. Technical report, Strategy and Analytical Support Directorate, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/hsestats/ssb9900.pdf>.
- [335] Health and Safety Executive. Validation of London Underground Ltd's Railway Safety Case: Version 2. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/lul1-04.htm>.
- [336] Health and Safety Executive. Railway safety statistics bulletin 2000-2001. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/rihome.htm>.
- [337] Health and Safety Executive. Revitalising health and safety: Project plan. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/revital/rhs.htm>.
- [338] Health and Safety Executive. Railways directorate: Railways industry advisory committee. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 2002. http://www.hse.gov.uk/rail/rd_riac.htm.
- [339] Health and Safety Executive, Epidemiological and Medical Statistics Unit and the Economic and Statistical Analysis Unit. Achieving the revitalising health and safety targets. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/revital/baseline.pdf>.
- [340] Health and Safety Executive, Epidemiological and Medical Statistics Unit and the Economic and Statistical Analysis Unit. Achieving the revitalising health and safety targets: Statistical note on progress measurement. Technical report, HSE, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/hsestats/statnote.pdf>.
- [341] C. Heath, J. Hindmarsh, and P. Luff. Interaction in isolation: The dislocated world of the london underground train driver. *Sociology*, 33(3):555–575, 1999.
- [342] H.W. Heinrich. *Industrial Accident Prevention*. McGraw Hill, New York, United States of America, 1931.
- [343] R.L. Helmreich, R. A. Butler, W. R. Taggart, and J.A. Wilhelm. Behavioral markers in accidents and incidents: Reference list. Technical Report Technical Report 95-1, NASA/University of Texas/FAA Aerospace Crew Research Project, Austin, TX, United States of America, 1995. <http://www.psy.utexas.edu/psy/helmreich/acdntlst.htm>.
- [344] R.L. Helmreich and A.C. Merritt. *Culture at Work in Aviation and Medicine*. Ashgate, Aldershot, United Kingdom, 1998.
- [345] R.L. Helmreich, A.C. Merritt, and J.A. Wilhelm. The evolution of crew resource management in commercial aviation. *The International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 9:19–32, 1999.

- [346] R.L. Helmreich and H.-G. Schaefer. Team performance in the operating room. In M.S. Bogner, editor, *Human Error in Medicine*, pages 225–253. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, NJ, United States of America, 1994.
- [347] C. Hempel. *Aspects of Scientific Explanation*. Free Press, New York, USA, 1965.
- [348] K. Hendrick and L. Benner. *Investigating Accidents with Sequentially Timed and Events Plotting (STEP)*. Marcel Decker, New York, USA, 1987.
- [349] Her Majesty's Government. The Merchant Shipping (Accident Reporting and Investigation) Regulations 1999. Technical Report Statutory Instrument 1999 No. 2567, Her Majesty's Stationery Office, London, United Kingdom, 1999. <http://www.hmso.gov.uk/si/si1999/19992567.htm>.
- [350] Her Majesty's Railway Inspectorate. A report of the investigation into the collision that occurred on 8 August 1996 at Watford South junction on the line from Euston to Crewe in the Railtrack Midlands Zone. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 1998.
- [351] Her Majesty's Railway Inspectorate. Report on the inspection carried out by HM Railway Inspectorate during 1998/99 of the management systems in the railway industry covering signals passed at danger. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 1999. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/spad-01.htm>.
- [352] Her Majesty's Railway Inspectorate. Assessment criteria for railway safety cases. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/criteria/index.htm>.
- [353] Her Majesty's Railway Inspectorate. Internal inquiry report: Events leading up to the Ladbroke Grove rail accident on 5 October 1999. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/paddrail/inq-03.htm>.
- [354] Her Majesty's Railway Inspectorate. Signals passed at danger (SPAD)s report for March 2000. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/spad/march00.htm>.
- [355] Her Majesty's Railway Inspectorate. Signals passed at danger (SPAD)s report for November 2000. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/spad/nov00.htm>.
- [356] Her Majesty's Railway Inspectorate. Signals passed at danger (SPAD)s report for October 2000. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/spad/oct00.htm>.
- [357] Her Majesty's Railway Inspectorate. Red/green zone working - a report on the progress with maximisation of green zone working on Railtrack infrastructure. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/rgzwrep1a.htm>.
- [358] Her Majesty's Railway Inspectorate (D.C. Hall) and W.S. Atkins Rail Ltd (F.P. Wiltshire). Railway safety assessment of railtrack's response to improvement notice I/RJS/991007/2 covering the top 22 signals passed most often at danger. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, London, United Kingdom, 2002. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/spad/top22.pdf>.
- [359] Her Majesty's Stationery Office. Report of the court of inquiry and report of Mr Rotherby upon the circumstances attending the fall of a portion of the Tay bridge on the 28th December 1879. Technical Report Command C2616, HMSO, London, United Kingdom, 1880.

- [360] Highways Agency. Work starts to reduce accidents at Lincolnshire blackspot. Technical Report EM/212/99, UK Department of Environment, Transport and the Regions, with Lincolnshire County Council, 1999. http://www.highways.gov.uk/news/pressrel/notices/a15/a15-15_10_1999.htm.
- [361] Highways Agency. Toolkit - towards a balanced transport system. Technical report, UK Department of Environment, Transport and the Regions, 2000. <http://www.highways.gov.uk/info/toolkit>.
- [362] K. Hodges. Structured interviews for assessing children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 34(1):49–58, 1993.
- [363] E. Hollnagel. The phenotype of erroneous actions. *International Journal Of Man-Machine Studies*, 39:1–32, 1993.
- [364] E. Hollnagel. *Cognitive Reliability and Analysis Method*. Elsevier Science, North Holland, Netherlands, 1998.
- [365] E. Hollnagel. Looking for errors of omission and commission. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 68(2):135–146, 2000.
- [366] Hong Kong Marine Accident Investigation Branch. Explosion of a container on board the HK Licensed Dumb Steel Lighter ‘Wong On No.1’ at Stonecutters Island Public Cargo Working Area on 25th May 1999. Technical report, Multi-Lateral Policy Division, Marine Department, Hong Kong, 1999. Local Marine Inquiry No. 3 of 1999, <http://www.info.gov.hk/mardep/dept/mai/elmi399.htm>.
- [367] Hong Kong Marine Accident Investigation Branch. Regulations and reporting requirements. Technical report, Multi-Lateral Policy Division, Marine Department, Hong Kong, 2001. <http://www.info.gov.hk/mardep/dept/mai/elawr.htm>.
- [368] Hong Kong Marine Accident Investigation Branch. Types of marine accident investigation carried out by marine department. Technical report, Multi-Lateral Policy Division, Marine Department, Hong Kong, 2001. <http://www.info.gov.hk/mardep/dept/mai/einvtype.htm>.
- [369] V.D. Hopkin. The impact of automation. In M.W. Smolensky and E.S. Stein, editors, *Human Factors in Air Traffic Control*, pages 391–419. Academic Press, London, United Kingdom, 1998.
- [370] M. Horswill and F.P. McKenna. The effect of perceived control on risk taking. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 29(2):377–391, 1999.
- [371] C. Howson and P. Urbach. *Scientific Reasoning: The Bayesian Approach*. Open Court, La Salle, USA, 1993.
- [372] T.W. Hoyes. Risk homeostasis theory - beyond transportation research. *Safety Science*, 17:77–89, 1994.
- [373] T.W. Hoyes and A.I. Glendon. Risk homeostasis: Issues for future research. *Safety Science*, 16:19–33, 1993.
- [374] P. Hudson, J. Reason, W. Wagenaar, P. Bentley, M. Primrose, and J. Visser. Tripod-Delta: Pro-active approach to enhanced safety. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, pages 58–62, 1994.
- [375] D. Huff. *How to Lie with Statistics*. Penguin Book, London, UK, 1992.
- [376] J. Hughes, V. King, T. Rodden, and H. Andersen. Moving out of the control room: Ethnography in system design. In *Proceedings of CSCW’94*, pages 429–438, New York, U.S.A., 1994. ACM Press.

- [377] Human Factors Group. Rail and Aviation Working Group. Technical report, Royal Aeronautical Society, London, UK, 2002. <http://www.raes-hfg.com/rawgsg.htm>.
- [378] D. Hume. *An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding*. Oxford Philosophical Texts, Oxford, UK, 1777 (first published). 1998 (this edition). Edited by T.L. Beauchamp.
- [379] G.S. Hura and J.W. Attwood. The use of Petri nets to analyse coherent fault trees. *IEEE Transactions On Reliability*, 37(5):469–473, 1988.
- [380] A. E. Hutt. *Computer Security Handbook*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, 1997.
- [381] N.F. Iannone and M.P. Iannone. *Supervision of Police Personnel*. Prentice Hall, New York, USA, 2000.
- [382] W.R. Wells II. Friendly target. Technical report, US Naval Institute, Annapolis, Md, USA, 2001. <http://www.usni.org/navalhistory/NHwells.htm>.
- [383] Nuclear Energy Institute. An American Success Story: What State and Local officials are saying about transporting Used Nuclear Fuel. Technical report, NEI, Washington, DC, USA, 2000. <http://www.nei.org/documents/SafeShipBrochure2.pdf>.
- [384] International Atomic Energy Authority. The IAEA/NEA incident reporting system: Using operational experience to improve safety. Technical report, INEA, Department of Nuclear Safety, Vienna, Austria, 2000. <http://WWW.iaea.or.at/worldatom/inforesource/other/iaeanea/iaeanea-irs.html>.
- [385] International Civil Aviation Organisation. Accident prevention recommendations complement recent global aviation safety initiatives. Technical Report PIO 12/99, ICAO Press Information Office, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, 1999. Press release <http://www.icao.int/icao/en/nr/pio9912.htm>.
- [386] International Civil Aviation Organisation. *Convention on International Civil Aviation*. ICAO, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, 1999 (reprinted).
- [387] International Loss Control Institute. International oil and petrochemical safety rating. Technical report, ILCI, Loganville, GA, USA, 1978.
- [388] International Maritime Organisation. A.853(20) Amendments to the Code for the Safe Carriage of Irradiated Nuclear Fuel, Plutonium and High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Flasks on Board Ships and Adoption of Guidelines for Developing Shipboard Emergency Plans... Technical report, Assembly of the International Maritime Organisation, London, United Kingdom, November 1997. <http://www.imo.org/assembly/853854.htm>.
- [389] International Maritime Organisation. IMO Assembly Resolution A.849 (2.0): Code for the Investigation of Marine Casualties and Incidents. Technical report, Assembly of the International Maritime Organisation, London, United Kingdom, 1997. <http://http://www.maiif.net/>.
- [390] International Maritime Organisation. IMO Assembly Resolution A.850 (20): The Human Element Vision, Principles and Goals of the Organisation. Technical report, Assembly of the International Maritime Organisation, London, United Kingdom, 1997. <http://www.imo.org>.
- [391] International Maritime Organisation. Resolutions adopted by the 20th Assembly of the International Maritime Organisation. Technical report, Assembly of the International Maritime Organisation, London, United Kingdom, November 1997. <http://www.imo.org/assembly/8486352.htm>.
- [392] Irish Department of Public Enterprise and International Risk Management Services. A review of railway safety in Ireland - implementation review, a report prepared by international risk management services on behalf of the Department of Public Enterprise. Technical Report Report No: 2081.01, Issue No: 03, Department of Public Enterprise, Dublin, Ireland, 2000. <http://www.ireland.com/newspaper/special/2000/rail/>.

- [393] Japanese Maritime Accidents Inquiry Agency. IMO Marine Casualty and Incident Report into a Collision (a) KENRYU-MARU vs (b) HOKKAI-MARU. Technical report, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, Tokyo, Japan, 1996. <http://www.motnet.go.jp/maia>.
- [394] Japanese Maritime Accidents Inquiry Agency. IMO Marine Casualty and Incident Report into the Grounding of BIK DON. Technical report, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, Tokyo, Japan, 1998. <http://www.motnet.go.jp/maia>.
- [395] Japanese Maritime Accidents Inquiry Agency. The maritime accidents inquiry agency. Technical report, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, Tokyo, Japan, 2001. <http://www.motnet.go.jp/maia/>.
- [396] D. Javaux. The cognitive complexity of pilot-mode interaction. In *HCI-Aero'98: Conference on Human-machine Interaction in Aeronautics*, Montreal, Canada, 1998.
- [397] M. Jeffcott. *Risk Perception for Near Miss Incidents Involving Healthcare Systems*. PhD thesis, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, U.K., 2002.
- [398] M. Jeffcott and C.W. Johnson. On the need for risk assessment techniques to consider the role of organisational factors in information system failure within a national health service. *Cognition, Technology and Work*, 4(2):120–136, 2002.
- [399] C.N. Jeffres. OSHA statement on cooperative compliance programs. Technical Report OSHA Directives, CPL 2-0.119, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1998. <http://www.osha.gov/media/statements/1-26-98.html>.
- [400] F.V. Jensen. *Bayesian Networks*. UCL Press, London, United Kingdom, 1996.
- [401] F.V. Jensen, B. Chamberlain, T. Nordahl, and F. Jensen. Analysis of data conflict in HUGIN. In N.-H. Bonnisone, M. Henrion, L.N. Kanal, and J.F. Lemmer, editors, *Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 519–528. Elsevier, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.
- [402] A.K. Jha, G.J. Kuperman, J.M. Teich, L. Leape, B. Shea, E. Rittenberg, E. Burdick, D.L. Seger, M. Vander Vliet, and D.W. Bates. Identifying adverse drug events: development of a computer-based monitor and comparison with chart review and stimulated voluntary report. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 5(3):305–314, 1998.
- [403] C.W. Johnson. Decision theory and safety-critical interfaces. In K. Nordby, P.H. Helmersen, D. Gilmore, and S. A. Arnesen, editors, *Interact '95*, pages 127–132. Chapman and Hall, London, United Kingdom, 1995.
- [404] C.W. Johnson. Using Z to support the design of interactive, safety-critical systems software engineering. *Software Engineering Journal*, 10(2):49–60, 1995.
- [405] C.W. Johnson. The evaluation of user interface design notations. In F. Bodart and J. Vanderdonk, editors, *Proceedings of the Design, Specification and Verification of Interactive Systems '96*, pages 188–206. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1996.
- [406] C.W. Johnson. Impact of working environment upon human-machine dialogues: A formal logic for the integrated specification of physical and cognitive ergonomic constraints on user interface design. *Ergonomics*, 39(3):512–530, 1996.
- [407] C.W. Johnson. The epistemics of accidents. *Journal of Human Computer Systems*, 47:659–688, 1997.
- [408] C.W. Johnson. Representing the impact of time on human error and systems failure. *Interacting with Computers*, 11:53–86, September 1998.

- [409] C.W. Johnson. Visualizing the relationship between human error and organizational failure. In J. Dixon, editor, *Proceedings of the 17th International Systems Safety Conference*, pages 101–110, Unionville, Virginia, United States of America, 1999. The Systems Safety Society.
- [410] C.W. Johnson. Why human error analysis fails to support systems development. *Interacting with Computers*, 11(5):517–524, 1999.
- [411] C.W. Johnson. Don't keep reminding me: The limitations of incident reporting. In K. Abbott, J.-J. Speyer, and G.Boy, editors, *HCI Aero 2000: International Conference on Human-Computer Interfaces in Aeronautics*, pages 17–22, Toulouse, France, 2000. Cepadues-Editions.
- [412] C.W. Johnson. The failure of CRM. In K. Abbott, J.-J. Speyer, and G.Boy, editors, *HCI Aero 2000: International Conference on Human-Computer Interfaces in Aeronautics*, pages 134–172, Toulouse, France, 2000. Cepadues-Editions.
- [413] C.W. Johnson. Forensic software engineering. In F. Koornneef and M. van der Meulen, editors, *Computer Safety, Reliability and Security: Proceedings of 19th International Conference SAFECOMP 2000*, LNCS 1943, pages 420–430. Springer Verlag, 2000.
- [414] C.W. Johnson. Proving properties of accidents. *Reliability Engineering and Systems Safety*, 67(2):175–191, 2000.
- [415] C.W. Johnson. Software support for incident reporting systems in safety-critical applications. In F. Koornneef and M. van der Meulen, editors, *Computer Safety, Reliability and Security: Proceedings of 19th International Conference SAFECOMP 2000*, LNCS 1943, pages 96–106. Springer Verlag, 2000.
- [416] C.W. Johnson. Using incident reporting to combat human error. In S. McDonald, Y. Waern, and G. Cockton, editors, *People and Computers XIV: Proceedings of HCI 2000*, pages 311–326, London, United Kingdom, 2000. Springer Verlag.
- [417] C.W. Johnson. The London Ambulance Service, Computer Aided Dispatch System: A case study in the integration of accident reports and the constructive design of safety-critical computer systems. *Reliability Engineering and Systems Safety*, 71(3):311–326, 2001. Accepted and to appear.
- [418] C.W. Johnson. Questioning the foundations of utility for quality of service in interface development. In P. Palanque and F. Paterno, editors, *Interactive Systems: Design, Specification and Verification*, pages 19–34, Berlin, Germany, 2001. Lecture Notes in Computing Science 1946.
- [419] C.W. Johnson. Reasons for the failure of incident reporting in the healthcare and rail industries. In F. Redmill and T. Anderson, editors, *Components of system Safety: Proceedings of the 10th Safety-Critical Systems Symposium*, pages 31–60, Berlin, Germany, 2002. Paper from an invited talk.
- [420] C.W. Johnson and R. Botting. Using Reason's model of organisational accidents in formalising accident reports. *Cognition, Technology and Work*, 1(3):107–118, 1999.
- [421] C.W. Johnson and M.D. Dunlop. Subjectivity and notions of time and value in information retrieval. *Interacting with Computers*, 10:67–75, 1998. Editorial for First Special Edition on HCI and Information Retrieval.
- [422] C.W. Johnson, G. Le Galo, and M. Blaize. Guidelines for the development of occurrence reporting systems in european air traffic control. Technical report, European Organisation for Air Traffic Control (EUROCONTROL), Brussels, Belgium, 2000.
- [423] C.W. Johnson and J. Gjösaether. The evaluation of user interface design notations. In F. Bordart and J. Vanderdonk, editors, *Proceedings of the Design, Specification and Verification of Interactive Systems '96*, pages 188–206. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1996.

- [424] C.W. Johnson and B. Mathers. A case study in function allocation for computer aided learning in a complex organisation. In G. Cockton, editor, *People and Computers XIV: Proceedings of HCI 2000*, Berlin, Germany, 2000. Springer Verlag.
- [425] C.W. Johnson, J.C. McCarthy, and P.C. Wright. Using a formal language to support natural language in accident reports. *Ergonomics*, 38(6):1265–1283, 1995.
- [426] C.W. Johnson and A.J. Telford. Using formal methods to analyse human error and system failure during accident investigations. *Software Engineering Journal*, 11(6):355–365, November 1996.
- [427] P. Johnson, D. Diaper, and J. Long. Task, skills and knowledge: Task analysis for knowledge based descriptions. In B. Shackel, editor, *Human-Computer Interaction - INTERACT' 84*, pages 23–27. Elsevier Science Publications, North Holland, Netherlands, 1984.
- [428] W.G. Johnson. MORT the management oversight and risk tree analysis. Technical Report Technical Report SAN 8212, Atomic Energy Commission, Washington DC, USA, 1973.
- [429] W.G. Johnson. *MORT Safety Assurance Systems*. Marcel Dekker, New York, USA, 1980.
- [430] Joint Commission on Accrediation of Healthcare Organisations. Sentinel Events. Technical report, Sentinel Event Unit/OQM, JCAHO, Oakbrook Terrace, IL, USA, 2001. http://www.jcaho.org/sentinel/sentevnt_frm.html.
- [431] D.G. Jones and M.R. Endsely. Sources of situation awareness error in aviation. *Aviation and Space Environmental Medicine*, 67(6):507–512, 1996.
- [432] M.K. Junge and M.J. Giacomi. Human factors in equipment development for the Space Shuttle: A study of the general purpose work station. In R.C. Sugarman, editor, *Proceedings Of The 25th Annual Meeting Of The Human Factors Society*, pages 218–222. Human Factors Society, Santa Monica, United States of America, 1981.
- [433] T. Kelly and J. McDermid. A systematic approach to safety case maintenance. In M. Felici, K. Kanoun, and A. Pasquini, editors, *Proceedings of SAFECOMP'99: Computer Safety, Reliability and Security*, pages 13–26, Berlin, Germany, 1999. Springer Verlag. Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1698.
- [434] I. Kennedy. Hearing summary - 18th May 1999. Technical report, Bristol Royal Infirmary Inquiry, Bristol, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.bristol-inquiry.org.uk/transcripts/day19.htm>.
- [435] I. Kennedy. *Learning from Bristol: the report of the public inquiry into children's heart surgery at the Bristol Royal Infirmary 1984 -1995*. Command Paper: CM 5207. Her Majesty's Stationary Office, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.bristol-inquiry.org.uk>.
- [436] V. De Keyser. Temporal decision making in complex environments. In D.E. Broadbent, J. Reason, and A. Baddeley, editors, *Human Factors In Hazardous Situations*, pages 121–128. Clarendon Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1990.
- [437] V. De Keyser. Time in ergonomics research: Recent developments and perspectives. Technical report, Faculty of Psychology, Universite Of Liege, Liege, Belgium, 1994.
- [438] V. Khawani. Active train coming/second train coming sign demonstration project. Technical Report Project A-05A(1), FY 1997, with Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, National Academy of Sciences, 2002. See [http://www4.nas.edu/trb/crp.nsf/All+Projects/TCRP+A-05A\(1\)](http://www4.nas.edu/trb/crp.nsf/All+Projects/TCRP+A-05A(1)).
- [439] D.E. Kieras, S.D. Wood, and D.E. Meyer. Predictive engineering models based on the EPIC architecture for a multi-modal high-performance human-computer interaction task. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 4(3):230–275, 1997.

- [440] H.-J. Kim. Biometrics, is it a viable proposition for identity authentication and access control? *Computers and Security*, 14(3):205–214, 1995.
- [441] D.J. Van Kirk. *Vehicular Accident Investigation and Reconstruction*. CRC Press, New York, USA, 2000.
- [442] B. Kirwan. *A Guide to Practical Human Reliability Assessment*. Taylor and Francis, London, United Kingdom, 1994.
- [443] U. Kjellen. *Prevention of Accidents Through Experience Feedback*. Taylor and Francis, London, United Kingdom, 2000.
- [444] B. Klampfer and G. Grote. Integrating flight data into human factors analysis: A systems approach to incident investigation. In M. Koch and J. Dixon, editors, *17th International Systems Safety Conference*, pages 175–186, Unionville, VA, United States of America, 1999. International Systems Safety Society.
- [445] R.L. Klatzy, J. Geiwitz, and S.C. Fischer. Using statistics in clinical practice: A gap between training and application. In M.S. Bogner, editor, *Human Error in Medicine*, pages 123–140. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, NJ, United States of America, 1994.
- [446] K. Klein, H. Bruner, H. Holtmann, H. Rehme, J. Stolze, W. Steinhoff, and H. Wegmann. Circadian rhythms of pilots' efficiency and effects of multiple time zone travel. *Aviation Medicine*, pages 125–132, 1970.
- [447] D.G. Klepacki, C.R. Morin, and R.E. Schaeffer. An investigative technique for evaluating post-accident aircraft flight control trim system configurations. In P. d'Antonio and C. Ericson, editors, *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Systems Safety Conference*, pages 336–345, Unionville, VA, United States of America, 1998. Systems Safety Society.
- [448] T. Kletz. *What Went Wrong? Case Histories of Process Plant Disasters*. Gulf Publishing, Houston, TX, United States of America, 1994. 3rd Edition.
- [449] D.E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming: Fundamental Algorithms*, volume 1. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, USA, 1997.
- [450] D.E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming: Sorting and Searching*, volume 3. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, USA, 1998.
- [451] N. Kogan and M.A. Wallach. *Risk Taking: A Study in Cognition and Personality*. Rinehart and Winston, New York, United States of America, 1964.
- [452] L. Kohn, J. Corrigan, and M. Donaldson. *To Err Is Human: Building a Safer Health System*. Institute of Medicine, National Academy Press, Washington DC, United States of America, 1999. Committee on Quality of Health Care in America.
- [453] J.L. Kolodner. Reconstructive memory, a computer model. *Cognitive Science*, 7:281–328, 1983.
- [454] J.L. Kolodner. *Case-based Learning*. Kluwer, London, UK, 1993.
- [455] F. Koornneef. *Organised Learning from Small-Scale Incidents*. PhD thesis, Technische Universiteit Delft, Delft, Netherlands, 2000.
- [456] F. Koornneef and A. Hale. Using MORT to generate organisational feedback. In A. Hale, B. Wilpert, and M. Freitag, editors, *After the Event: From Accident to Organisational Learning*. Pergamon Press, Kidlington, Oxford, UK, 1997.
- [457] H. Kortner and A. Kjellsen. Bridging the gap between reliability centred maintenance methodology and reliability theory. In M.P. Cottam, D.W. Harvey, R.P. Pape, and J. Tait, editors, *Foresight and Precaution*, volume 1, pages 245–248, The Netherlands, 2000. Balkema.

- [458] G. Kotonya and I. Sommerville. *Requirements Engineering: Processes and Techniques*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, United States of America, 1998.
- [459] B. Kramer. Introducing the GRASPIN specification language SEGRAS. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 15(1):17–31, 1991.
- [460] M. Kramer. The application of Desktop VR techniques to support skill transfer in safety-critical tasks. Technical report, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, 1999. Masters dissertation.
- [461] R. L. Kuhlman. *Professional Accident Investigation*. Institute Press, Division of International Loss Control Institute, Georgia, USA, 1977.
- [462] M. P. Kurka and B. Dosa. Deliberate river-crossing operations: Focus on the fundamentals. Technical report, US Army Center for Lessons Learned and 1st Engineering Battalion (Combat) and 70th Engineering Battalion, Fort Riley, KS USA, 2000. <http://call.army.mil/products/trngqtr/tq4-00/dosa.htm>.
- [463] L.A. Lack. Preoperative anaesthetic audit. *Baillières Clinical Anaesthesiology*, 4:171–184, 1990.
- [464] Ladbroke Grove Rail Inquiry Secretariat. Report on Seminar - Developing an Effective Safety Culture. Technical report, LGRI, London, UK, 2000. <http://www.lgri.org.uk/publicsem/seminar5.htm>.
- [465] Ladbroke Grove Rail Inquiry Secretariat. Report on Seminar - Employee Perspectives On Rail Safety held on Wednesday 18 October 2000. Technical report, LGRI, London, UK, 2000. <http://www.lgri.org.uk/publicsem/seminar4.htm>.
- [466] Ladbroke Grove Rail Inquiry Secretariat. Report on Seminar - The Civil Aviation Model of Regulation. Technical report, LGRI, London, UK, 2000. <http://www.lgri.org.uk/publicsem/seminar2.htm>.
- [467] P.B. Ladkin. On classification of factors in failures and accidents. Technical Report Document RVS-Occ-99-02, Technischen Fakultät der Universität Bielefeld, Bielefeld, Germany, 1999. <http://www.rvs.uni-bielefeld.de/publications/Reports/classification.html>.
- [468] P.B. Ladkin. Causal reasoning about accidents. In F. Koorneef and M. van der Meulen, editors, *SAFECOMP 2000*, Lecture Notes in Computing Science No. 1943, pages 344–355. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 2000.
- [469] P.B. Ladkin and K. Loer. Why-because analysis: Formal reasoning about incidents. Technical Report Document RVS-Bk-98-01, Technischen Fakultät der Universität Bielefeld, Bielefeld, Germany, 1998. <http://www.rvs.uni-bielefeld.de/publications/books/WBAbook/>.
- [470] L. Laflamme. Age related accident ratios in assembly work: A study of female assembly workers in the Swedish automobile industry. *Safety Science*, 23:27–37, 1996.
- [471] S. Lainoff. Finding human error evidence in ordinary airline event data. In M. Koch and J. Dixon, editors, *17th International Systems Safety Conference*, pages 148–152. International Systems Safety Society, Unionville, VA, United States of America, 1999.
- [472] L. Lamport. The Mutual Exclusion Problem: Part I - A Theory of Interprocess Communication. *Journal of the ACM*, pages 313–326, 1986. Cited in [498].
- [473] J.A. Landeweerd, I. Urlings, A. De Jong, F. Nijhuis, and L. Bouter. Risk taking tendencies amongst construction workers. *Journal of Occupational Accidents*, pages 183–196, 1990.
- [474] J.C. Laprie. *Dependability: Basic Concepts and Terminology*. Springer Verlag, New York, USA, 1992.

- [475] J. Law. Ladbroke Grove, or how to think about failing systems. Technical report, Department of Sociology, University of Lancaster, Lancaster, U.K., 2000. <http://www.comp.lancs.ac.uk/sociology/soc055jl.html>.
- [476] Law Commission for England and Wales. Evidence in criminal proceedings : Hearsay and related topics. Technical Report Law Commission Report 245, Government Legal Service, London, UK, 1997. <http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/library/lc245/lc245.pdf>.
- [477] Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Health and safety manual. Technical report, University of California and the U.S. Department of Energy, Livermore, California, USA, 2000. http://www.llnl.gov/es_and_h/hsm/chapter_4/chap4.html.
- [478] L.L. Leape. Error in medicine. *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)*, 272(23):1851–7, 1997.
- [479] L.L. Leape. Editorial: Reporting of medical errors - time for a reality check. *Quality in Health Care*, 9(3):144–145, 2000.
- [480] L.L. Leape. Institute of medicine medical error figures are not exaggerated. *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)*, 284(1):93–95, 2000.
- [481] C. C. Lebow, L. P. Sarsfield, W. L. Stanley, E. Ettetdgui, and G. Henning. *Safety in the Skies: Personnel and Parties in NTSB Aviation Accident Investigations*. Institute for Civil Justice, Rand Corporation, Santa Monica, United States of America, 1999.
- [482] S. Lechowicz and C. Hunt. Monitoring and managing wheel condition and loading. In *International Symposium on Transportation Recorders*, pages 205–240. National Transportation Safety Board, Washington DC, USA, 1999. <http://www.ntsb.gov/publictn/1999/rp9901.pdf>.
- [483] A.K. Lekberg. Different approaches to incident investigation: How the analyst makes a difference. In S. Smith and B. Lewis, editors, *Proceedings of the 15th International Systems Safety Conference*, pages 178–193, Unionville, VA, United States of America, 1997. Systems Safety Society.
- [484] J. Leplat. Accidents and incidents production: Methods of analysis. In J. Rasmussen, K. Duncan, and J. Leplat, editors, *New Technology and Human Error*. John Wiley and Sons, London, United Kingdom, 1987.
- [485] N.G. Leveson. *Safeware: System Safety and Computers*. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, United States of America, 1995.
- [486] N.G. Leveson and C.S. Turner. An investigation of the Therac-25 accidents. *IEEE Computer*, 26(7):18–41, 1993.
- [487] S.-T. Levi and A.K. Agrawala. *Real-Time Systems Design*. Mc Graw Hill, New York, United States of America, 1990.
- [488] J.M. Levine and R.L. Moreland. Culture and socialization in work groups. In L. B. Resnick, J. M. Levine, and S. D. Teasley, editors, *Perspectives on Socially Shared Cognition*, pages 257–279. American Psychological Association, Washington, DC, United States of America, 1991.
- [489] D. Lewis. Causation. *Journal of Philosophy*, pages 556–567, 1973.
- [490] D. Lewis. *Counterfactuals*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1973.
- [491] D. Lewis. *Philosophical Papers, Vol. II*. Oxford University Press, New York, USA, 1986.
- [492] M. Lind. Interpretation problems in modelling complex artifacts for diagnosis. In H. Yoshikawa and E. Hollnagel, editors, *Proceedings of the Conference on Cognitive Engineering for Process Control*, Kyoto, Japan, 12-15 November 1996.

- [493] J. G. Ver Linden. Verifiers in everyday argument: An expansion of the Toulmin model. Technical report, Department of Communication, Humboldt State University, Arcata, USA, 1998. In the 84th Annual Meeting of the National Communication Association, <http://www.humboldt.edu/jgv1/ME/verifiers.html>.
- [494] D. S. Lindsay and J.D. Read. ‘Memory work’ and recovered memories of childhood sexual abuse: Scientific evidence and public, professional and personal issues. *Psychology, Public Policy and Law*, pages 846–909, 1995.
- [495] P. Lipton. *Inference to the Best Explanation*. Routledge, London, 1991.
- [496] B. Littlewood, P. Popov, and L. Strigini. Assessment of the reliability of fault-tolerant software: a Bayesian approach. In F. Koorneef and M. van der Meulen, editors, *SAFECOMP 2000*, Lecture Notes in Computing Science No. 1943, pages 294–308, Berlin, Germany, 2000. Springer Verlag.
- [497] K. Locker. *Business and administrative communication*. Mc Graw Hill, New York, USA, 1995.
- [498] K. Loer. *Towards ‘Why-Because Analysis’ of Failures*. PhD thesis, Technischen Fakultät der Universität Bielefeld, Bielefeld, Germany, 1998. <http://www.rvs.uni-bielefeld.de/publications/Diplom/loer.ps.gz>.
- [499] E.F. Loftus. *Eyewitness Testimony*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1979.
- [500] M. Lough. Design and testing an instrument for analysing a significant event. Technical report, Department of Postgraduate Medical Education, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, 2002.
- [501] L. Love and C.W. Johnson. Using diagrams to support the analysis of system ‘failure’ and operator ‘error’. In H. Thimbleby, B. O’Conaill, and P. Thomas, editors, *People and Computers XII: Proceedings of HCI’97*, pages 245–262. Springer Verlag, London, United Kingdom, 1997.
- [502] D. Lowes and T.J.M. Bench-Capon. A prolog program to model Toulmin’s argumentation schema. Technical report, Department of Computing, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom, 1990.
- [503] C.E. Lumley, S.R. Walker, G.C. Hall, N. Staunton, and P. Grob. The under-reporting of adverse drug reactions seen in general practice. *Pharmaceutical Medicine*, 1:205–212, 1986.
- [504] J.L. Lyons. Report of the inquiry board into the failure of Flight 501 of the Ariane 5 rocket. Technical report, European Space Agency, Paris, France, July 1996.
- [505] K. MacCrimmon and D. Wehrung. *Taking Risks: The Management of Uncertainty*. Free Press, New York, United States of America, 1986.
- [506] J.L. Mackie. The direction of causation. *Philosophical Review*, pages 441–466, 1966.
- [507] J.L. Mackie. Causation and conditions. In E. Sosa and M. Tooley, editors, *Causation and Conditions*, pages 33–56. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.
- [508] J.D. Mackinlay, G.G. Robertson, and S.K. Card. The perspective wall: Detail and context smoothly integrated information visualization. In *Proceedings of ACM CHI’91 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 173–179, New York, USA, 1991. ACM Press.
- [509] R.A. Mackinnon. Rejected takeoff studies. *Aero Journal*, July 2000. http://www.boeing.com/commercial/aeromagazine/aero_11/takeoff.html.
- [510] W. Macpherson. *The Stephen Lawrence Inquiry: Report of an Inquiry by Sir William Macpherson of Cluny*. Cm 4262-I. Her Majesty’s Stationery Office, London, United Kingdom, 1999. <http://www.official-documents.co.uk/document/cm42/4262/sli-00.htm>.

- [511] D. Maidment. Responding to public criticism of safety management systems - is the response always effective and appropriate? In A. Hale, B. Wilpert, and M. Freitag, editors, *After The Event: From Accident to Organisational learning*, pages 217–231. Pergamon Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1997.
- [512] A. Malhotra, J. C. Thomas, J. M. Carroll, and L. A. Miller. Cognitive processes in design. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 12(2):119–140, 1980.
- [513] J.G. March and H.A. Simon. *Organisations*. Wiley, New York, United States of America, 1958.
- [514] Marine Accident Investigation Branch. Case 8: Complacency during routine operation. *Safety Digest*, 1 - Merchant Vessels(1), 1998. <http://www.dtlr.gov.uk/maib/sd/9801/p8.htm#8>.
- [515] Marine Accident Investigation Branch. Case 8: Cleaner stops ship! *Safety Digest*, 1 - Merchant Vessels(02), 1999. <http://www.dtlr.gov.uk/maib/sd/9902/11.htm>.
- [516] Marine Accident Investigation Branch. Case 1: Two recent flooding cases - vessels saved by the bilge alarm. *Safety Digest*, Fishing Vessels(Part 1: Bilge Alarms and Pumping), 2000. <http://www.dtlr.gov.uk/maib/sd/00fish/04a.htm>.
- [517] Marine Accident Investigation Branch. Case 3: Engineer superintendent's nightmare! *Safety Digest*, 1 - Merchant Vessels(0), 2001. <http://www.dtlr.gov.uk/maib/sd/0001/07.htm>.
- [518] Marine Accident Investigation Branch. MAIB publications. Technical report, MAIB, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.dtlr.gov.uk/maib/publications.htm>.
- [519] Marine Accident Investigators International Forum. Guidelines to Assist Investigators in the Implementation of the International Maritime Organisation's Code for the Investigation of Marine Casualties and Incidents. Technical report, MAIIF, Canberra, Australia (currently chaired by Capt. C.W. Fitor of the Australian Transportation Safety Board), 2001. <http://www.maiif.net/>.
- [520] Marine Incident Investigation Unit. Incidents at sea: Departmental investigation into the collision between the Panamanian bulk carrier ETERNAL WIND and the fishing vessel MELINA T, 50 miles eastward of Point Cartwright on 5 April 1998. Technical Report Incident report 131, Australian National Transportation Safety Board, Canberra, Australia, 1998. <ftp://cook.dotrs.gov.au/pub/miiu/rpt131.pdf>.
- [521] Marine Incident Investigation Unit. Departmental investigation into the grounding of the Panama flag general cargo ship NEW REACH on Heath Reef, Great Barrier Reef on 17 May 1999. Technical Report Incident report 147, Australian National Transportation Safety Board, Canberra, Australia, 1999. <ftp://cook.dotrs.gov.au/pub/miiu/rpt147.pdf>.
- [522] R.M. Martin, K.V. Kapoor, L.V. Wilton, and R.D. Mann. Underreporting of suspected adverse drug reactions to newly marketed ('black triangle') drugs in general practice: observational study. *British Medical Journal*, 317:119–120, 1998. <http://www.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/317/7151/119>.
- [523] Maryland Mass Transit Administration. Second train coming warning sign project. Technical report, MTA, USA, 2001. <http://www.bcpl.net/vhartsoc/stcweb.htm>.
- [524] B. Mathers. The use of HCI research techniques for the development of CAL within Strathclyde Fire Brigade. Technical report, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, 1998. Final year dissertation.
- [525] J.C. McCarthy and A.F. Monk. Measuring the quality of computer mediated communication. *Behaviour and Information Technology*, 13(5):311–319, 1994.

- [526] B.H. McCormick, T.A. De Fanti, and M.D. Brown. Visualization in scientific computing. *ACM Siggraph's Computer graphics*, 21(6), 1987. Special edition of the Journal.
- [527] J.A. McDermid. Issues and trends in the development of software for safety critical applications. Technical Report YCS 138, Department of Computer Science, University of York, York, United Kingdom, 1990.
- [528] A. McDonald. The desing, implementaiton and evaluation of a web crawler for international aviation incident data. Technical report, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, UK, 2002. Final Year Project report.
- [529] P. McElroy. The use of information retrieval and case based reasoning tools for critical incident and accident data. Technical report, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, 2000. Final year dissertation.
- [530] A.G. McGill. The presentation of accident reports over the WWW. Technical report, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, 1998. Final year dissertation.
- [531] F. McKenna. *The Railway Workers 1840-1970*. Faber and Faber, London, UK, 1980.
- [532] F.P. McKenna. Do safety measures really work? An examination of risk homeostasis theory. *Ergonomics*, 28:489–498, 1985.
- [533] D. McKenzie. Computer-related accidental death: An empirical exploration. *Science and Public Policy*, 21(4):233–248, 1994.
- [534] John McKeown. UK AEA health, safety and the environment report 1998-1999. Technical report, UK Atomic Energy Authority, London, United Kingdon, 1999. <http://www.ukaea.org.uk/sindex.htm>.
- [535] Medical Devices Agency. Medical devices - reporting adverse incidents and disseminating safety warnings. Technical Report MDA SN 2000(01), UK Department of Health, London, United Kingdom, January 2000. [http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/sn2000\(01\).htm](http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/sn2000(01).htm).
- [536] Medical Devices Agency. Single-use Medical Devices: Implications and Consequences of Reuse. *MDA Device Bulletin*, MDA DB2000(04), 2000. [http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/db2000\(04\).pdf](http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/db2000(04).pdf).
- [537] Medical Devices Agency. Adverse incident centre. Technical report, UK Department of Health, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/mda-aic.htm>.
- [538] Medical Devices Agency. Advice on the Safe Use of Bed Rails. *MDA Device Bulletin*, MDA DB2001(04), 2001. [http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/db2001\(04\).pdf](http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/db2001(04).pdf).
- [539] Medical Devices Agency. MDA annual report and accounts 2000-2001. Technical Report HC 93, UK Department of Health, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/ann-rep2000-01.pdf>.
- [540] Medical Devices Agency. MDA Device Bulletins Index Page. Technical report, UK Department of Health, London, United Kingdom, 2001. http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/de_bulls.htm.
- [541] Medical Devices Agency. MDA Device Evaluation Service Catalogue. Technical report, UK Department of Health, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/dep-intro.htm>.
- [542] Medical Devices Agency. MDA publications. Technical report, UK Department of Health, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/publicat.htm>.

- [543] Medical Devices Agency. MDA study day for nurses - infusion systems. Technical report, UK Department of Health, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk/mda-studyprog2001.htm>.
- [544] Medical Devices Agency. Medical Devices Agency Business Plan 2001-2002. Technical report, UK Department of Health, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.medical-devices.gov.uk>.
- [545] Medical Devices Agency. The Medical Devices Agency Annual Conference 2001 Protecting Patients - Maintaining Standards. Technical report, UK Department of Health, London, United Kingdom, 2001. <http://www.neilstewartassociates.com/LX174>.
- [546] V.J. Mellone. TCAS II: Genie out of the bottle. Technical Report 4, Aviation Safety Reporting System, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, June 1993. http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/directline_issues/dl4_tcas.htm.
- [547] Meteorological Office. European turbulent wake incident reporting log. Technical report, Meteorological Office/RED Scientific Limited, London, UK, 2000. <http://www.meto.gov.uk/sec5/etwirl/>.
- [548] METROLINK (P. Hidalgo). Incidents involving trucks VS. Metrolink Trains are on an alarming rise. Technical report, METROLINK, Los Angeles, California, USA, 2000. http://www.metrolinktrains.com/news/000203_truckvtrain.htm.
- [549] Ministry of Transport. Report of a public enquiry into the accident at Hixon Level Crossing on January 6th, 1968. Technical report, Ministry of Transport, London, United Kingdom, 1968.
- [550] J.B. Moss and C.D. Stewart. Flamelet-based smoke properties for the field modelling of fires. *Fire Safety Journal*, 30(3):229–250, 1998.
- [551] S. Moss. Critical incident management: An empirically derived computational model. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, 1(4), 1998. <http://www.soc.surrey.ac.uk/JASSS/1/4/1.html>.
- [552] S. Munson. Assessment of accident investigation methods for wildland fire-fighting incidents by case study method. Technical report, Department of Forestry, University of Montana, 1999. MSc thesis, <http://www.iprr.org/3PROJ/Munpaper.html>.
- [553] Y. Murayama, U. Yamazaki, and M. Endo. A pilot study on marine incidents. *Journal of Japan Institute of Navigation*, 1998.
- [554] R. Murray-Smith and T.A. Johansen (Eds.). *Multiple Model Approaches to Modelling and Control*. Taylor and Francis, London, United Kingdom, 1997.
- [555] P. Muter and P. Maurutto. Reading and skimming from computer screens and books: The paperless office revisited? simplifying hci issues by experiment. *Behaviour and Information Technology*, 10(4):257–266, 1991.
- [556] D.G. Myers. Polarising effects of social interaction. In H. Brandstatter, J.H. Davis, and G. Strocker-Kreichgauer, editors, *Group Decision Making*. Academic Press, New York, United States of America, 1982.
- [557] D.C. Nagel. Human error in aviation operations. In E. L. Wiener and D.C. Nagel, editors, *Human Factors in Aviation*, pages 263–303. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, United States of America, 1988.
- [558] NASA. Software documentation standard. Technical Report NASA-STD-2100-91, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1991. <http://satc.gsfc.nasa.gov/assure/docstd.html>.

- [559] NASA. Software assurance standard. Technical Report NASA-STD-2201-93, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1992. <http://satc.gsfc.nasa.gov/assure/astd.html>.
- [560] NASA. Software formal inspections standard. Technical Report NASA-STD-2202-93, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1993. <http://satc.gsfc.nasa.gov/Documents/fi/std/fistd.txt.txt>.
- [561] NASA. Addressing the \$4 billion FY 1997-2000 budget challenge: NASA's zero base review. Technical report, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1996. <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/pao/ftp/budget/FY97budget/zbr.txt>.
- [562] NASA. Structural design and test factors of safety for spaceflight hardware. Technical Report NASA-STD-5001, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1996.
- [563] NASA. Software safety. Technical Report NASA-STD-8719.13A, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1997. <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/codeq/ns871913.htm>.
- [564] NASA. Mars Climate Orbiter: Mishap Investigation Board, Phase I Report. Technical report, Mars Climate Orbiter, Mishap Investigation Board, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1999. ftp://ftp.hq.nasa.gov/pub/pao/reports/1999/MCO_report.pdf.
- [565] NASA. Computers in Spaceflight: The NASA Experience. Technical report, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 2000. <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/pao/History/computers/Ch4-2.html>.
- [566] NASA. DF88 ELT search simulation. Technical report, Mobile Aeronautics Education Laboratory, NASA Glenn Research Center, Cleveland, United States of America, 2000. <http://www.lerc.nasa.gov/WWW/MAEL/ag/df88.htm>.
- [567] NASA. End-to-end compatibility and mission simulation testing. Technical Report Preferred reliability practices No. 1437, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 2000. <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/codeq/relpract/1437.pdf>.
- [568] NASA. Management of government safety and mission assurance surveillance functions for NASA contracts. Technical Report NASA-NPG-8735.2, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 2000. http://nodis.hq.nasa.gov/Library/Directives/NASA-WIDE/Procedures/Program_Management/N_PG_8735_2.html.
- [569] NASA. Mars Program Independent Assessment Team Report. Technical report, Mars Program Independent Assessment Team, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 2000. http://www.jpl.nasa.gov/marsreports/mpiat_report.pdf.
- [570] NASA. Report on Project Management in NASA: Phase II of the Mars Climate Orbiter Mishap Report. Technical report, Mars Climate Orbiter, Mishap Investigation Board, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 2000. ftp://ftp.hq.nasa.gov/pub/pao/reports/2000/MCO_MIB_Report.pdf.
- [571] NASA. NASA procedures and guidelines for mishap reporting, investigating and record-keeping. Technical Report NASA PG 8621.1, Safety and Risk Management Division, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 2001. <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/codeq/doctree/safeheal.htm>.
- [572] NASA Advisory Council. NASA federal laboratory review report. Technical report, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1995. <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/fed-lab/>.
- [573] NASA (D. Goldin). Press release: Risk management. Technical report, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 2000. http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/pao/ftp/Goldin/00text/risk_management.txt.

- [574] NASA (D. Goldin). "When The Best Must Do Even Better" Remarks by NASA Administrator Daniel S. Goldin At the Jet Propulsion Laboratory Pasadena, CA March 29, 2000. Technical report, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 2000. http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/pao/ftp/Goldin/00text/jpl_remarks.txt.
- [575] NASA (Douglas Isbell). Press release: Lewis spacecraft failure board report released. Technical Report 98-109, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1998. <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/pao/ftp/pressrel/1998/98-109.txt>.
- [576] NASA (D.W. Garrett). Press release: 1992 seen as NASA's most productive year for science discoveries. Technical Report Release: 92-228, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1992. <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/pao/ftp/pressrel/1992/92-228.txt>.
- [577] NASA (W. Livingstone). Press release: Goldin announces initiatives to improve NASA performance. Technical Report Release: 92-154, NASA Headquarters, Washington DC, USA, 1992. <http://www.hq.nasa.gov/office/pao/ftp/pressrel/1992/92-154.txt>.
- [578] NASA/JPL. Verification of RF Hardware Design Performance Early in the Design Phase. Technical Report Design and Test Practices for Aerospace Systems Number 1435, NASA/Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 1996. <http://techinfo.jpl.nasa.gov/www/practice/1435.pdf>.
- [579] NASA/JPL. Report on the loss of the Mars Polar Lander and Deep Space 2 Missions. Technical Report JPL D-18709, NASA/Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 2000. <http://www.jpl.nasa.gov/marsreports/marsreports.html>.
- [580] National Association of Attorneys General. Tobacco settlement announcement - news release. Technical report, NAAG, Washington, DC, United States of America, November 1998. <http://www.naag.org/tobac/npr.htm>.
- [581] National Co-ordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention. Taxonomy of medication errors. Technical report, NCCMERP, Rockville, Md. USA, 1998. <http://www.nccmerp.org/taxo0731.pdf>.
- [582] National Institute of Justice. Eyewitness evidence: A guide for law enforcement. Technical Report NCJ 178240, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, USA, 1999. <http://www.ncjrs.org/pdffiles1/nij/178240.pdf>.
- [583] National Occupational Safety and Health Committee. Learning from safety failure. Technical report, Royal Society for the Prevention of Accident, London, UK, 2001. <http://www.rospe.co.uk/>.
- [584] National Patient Safety Foundation. NPSF supports mandatory reporting with specific provisions to improve patient safety. Technical report, NPSF, Chicago, IL., USA, 2000. <http://www.npsf.org/html/pressrel/manditrpt.htm>.
- [585] National Transportation Safety Board. Non-Fatal Incident Involving Cessna N3279A near BELEN, NM, June 8, 1984. Technical Report NTSB Aviation Incident Number 84A171, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1984. <http://www.nts.gov/aviation/den/84a171.htm>.
- [586] National Transportation Safety Board. Fatal Accident Involving a DLM Mustang M-1 N20LL near SANTA FE, TX, July 4, 1991. Technical Report NTSB Aviation Incident Number FTW91DRA05, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1991. <http://www.nts.gov/aviation/ftw/91ra05.htm>.
- [587] National Transportation Safety Board. Fatal Accident Involving Piper PA39 near Viburnam, Missouri, February 18, 1994. Technical Report NTSB Aviation Incident Number CHI94DCA01, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1994. <http://www.nts.gov/aviation/chi/lnarr%5F94ca01.htm>.

- [588] National Transportation Safety Board. Pipeline accident report UGI Utilities, INC., Natural Gas Distribution Pipeline Explosion and Fire Allentown, Pennsylvania, June 9, 1994. Technical Report NTSB Pipeline Accident Number: NTSB/PAR-96/01 (PB96-916501), NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1994. <http://www.nts.gov/Publicctn/1996/PAR9601.pdf>.
- [589] National Transportation Safety Board. Presumed Fatal Accident Involving Piper PA-28-161 N5916V. Technical Report NTSB Aviation Incident Number MIA95FAMS1, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1994. <http://www.nts.gov/aviation/mia/95AMS1.htm>.
- [590] National Transportation Safety Board. Air traffic control equipment outages: Special investigation report. Technical Report PB96-91700 (NTSB/SIR-96/01), NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1996. <http://www.nts.gov/publicctn/1996/sir9601.pdf>.
- [591] National Transportation Safety Board. Collision of Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority train T-111 with standing train at Shady Grove passenger station, Gaithersburg, Maryland. Technical Report NTSB/RAR-96/04, NTSB, Washington, DC, United States of America, 1996. <http://www.nts.gov/Publicctn/1996/RAR9604.pdf>.
- [592] National Transportation Safety Board. In-flight fire/emergency landing Federal Express flight 1406 Douglas DC-1 0-10, N68055 Newburgh, New York, September 5, 1996. Technical Report NTSB/AAR-98/03, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1996. <http://www.nts.gov/Publicctn/1998/AAR9803.pdf>.
- [593] National Transportation Safety Board. Natural Gas Pipeline Rupture and Fire During Dredging of Tiger Pass, Louisiana October 23, 1996. Technical Report NTSB Pipeline Accident Number: PAR-98/01/SUM (PB98-916501), NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1996. <http://www.nts.gov/publicctn/1998/par9801s.htm>.
- [594] National Transportation Safety Board. Release of hazardous liquid from Marathon gasoline pipe near Gramercy, Louisiana May 23, 1996. Technical Report NTSB Pipeline Accident Number: DCA-96-MP-004, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1996. <http://www.nts.gov/publicctn/1998/pab9801.htm>.
- [595] National Transportation Safety Board. Marine accident report grounding of the Panamanian Passenger Ship Royal Majesty on Rose and Crown Shoal near Nantucket, Massachusetts, June 10, 1995. Technical Report NTSB/MAR-97/01, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1997. <http://www.nts.gov/Publicctn/1997/MAR9701.pdf>.
- [596] National Transportation Safety Board. Non-Fatal Incident Involving Gowan LONG-EZ near ROANOKE, Texas on 5th March 1997. Technical Report NTSB Aviation Incident Number FTW97FA173, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1997. <http://www.nts.gov/aviation/ftw/lnarr%5F97a173.htm>.
- [597] National Transportation Safety Board. Railroad accident report collision and derailment of Maryland Rail Commuter MARC train 286 and National Railroad Passenger Corporation AMTRAK train 29 near Silver Spring, Maryland on February 16, 1996. Technical Report PB97-916302 (NTSB/RAR-97/02), NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1997. <http://www.nts.gov/Publicctn/1997/RAR9702.pdf>.
- [598] National Transportation Safety Board. Rupture and fire involving natural gas, Indianapolis, Indiana, July 21 1997. Technical Report NTSB Pipeline Accident Number: DCA-97-FP-005, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1997. <http://www.nts.gov/publicctn/1999/pab9902.htm>.
- [599] National Transportation Safety Board. Safety study: Protecting excavation public safety through damage prevention. Technical Report NTSB/SS-97/01 (PB97-917003), NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1997. <http://www.nts.gov/Publicctn/1997/SS9701.pdf>.

- [600] National Transportation Safety Board. Highway accident report: multiple vehicle crossover accident, Slinger, Wisconsin, February 12, 1997. Technical Report NTSB/HAR-98/01 (PB98-916203), NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1998. <http://www.nts.gov/publictn/1998/har9801.pdf>.
- [601] National Transportation Safety Board. In-Flight Icing Encounter and Uncontrolled Collision with Terrain COMAIR Flight 3272, Embraer EMB-120RT, N265CA Monroe, Michigan, January 9, 1997. Technical Report NTSB Aviation Accident Report 98-04, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1998. <http://www.nts.gov/Publictn/1998/AAR9804.pdf>.
- [602] National Transportation Safety Board. Marine accident report: Fire aboard the tug Scandia and the subsequent grounding of the tug and the tank barge North Cape on Moonstone Beach, South Kingston, Rhode Island January 19, 1996. Technical Report NTSB/MAR-98/03 (PB98-916403), NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1998. <http://www.nts.gov/publictn/1998/mar9803.pdf>.
- [603] National Transportation Safety Board. Pipeline accident report: Natural gas pipeline rupture and subsequent explosion St. Cloud, Minnesota, December 11, 1998. Technical Report NTSB Pipeline Accident Number: NTSB/PAR-00/01 (PB2000-916501), NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1998. <http://www.nts.gov/Publictn/2000/PAR0001.pdf>.
- [604] National Transportation Safety Board. Railroad accident report: Derailment of AMTRAK train 4, Southwest Chief, on the Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway near Kingman, Arizona August 9, 1997. Technical Report NTSB/RAR-98/03 (PB98-916303), NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1998. <http://www.nts.gov/publictn/1998/rar9803.pdf>.
- [605] National Transportation Safety Board. We are all safer: NTSB inspired improvements in transportation safety. Technical report, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1998. <http://www.nts.gov/publictn/1998/SR9801.htm>.
- [606] National Transportation Safety Board. Accident investigation docket, images from the board meeting on usair flight 427, march 23, 1999. Technical report, NTSB, Washington, DC, United States of America, 1999. <http://www.nts.gov/events/usair427/images.htm>, see also [610].
- [607] National Transportation Safety Board. Fire on board the Liberian passenger ship Ecstasy Miami, Florida July 20, 1998. Technical Report PB2001-916401, NTSB/MAR-01/01, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1999. <http://www.nts.gov/Publictn/2001/MAR0101.htm>.
- [608] National Transportation Safety Board. Highway special investigation report: Selective motor-coach issues. Technical Report NTSB/SIR-99/01, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1999. <http://www.nts.gov/publictn/1999/SIR9901.pdf>.
- [609] National Transportation Safety Board. Safety Study: Evaluation of U.S. Department of Transportation Efforts in the 1990s to Address Operator Fatigue. Technical Report Safety Report NTSB/SR-99/01 May 1999 PB99-917002 Notation 7155, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 1999. <http://www.nts.gov/Publictn/1999/SR9901.pdf>.
- [610] National Transportation Safety Board. Uncontrolled descent and collision with terrain USAir Flight 427, Boeing 737-300, N513AU near Aliquippa, Pennsylvania September 8, 1994. Technical Report NTSB Number AAR-99/01, NTSB, Washington, DC, United States of America, 1999. <http://www.nts.gov/Publictn/1999/AAR9901.pdf>, see also [606].
- [611] National Transportation Safety Board. Effectiveness of commercial driver oversight programs (video presentations) public hearing, January 20-21, New Orleans, Louisiana. Technical Report NTSB Accident No. HWY-99-M-H017, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 2000. http://www.nts.gov/events/2000/comm_driver/animations.htm.

- [612] National Transportation Safety Board. NTSB Selects George Washington University Site for its Training Academy. Technical report, NTSB, Washington, DC, United States of America, 2000. <http://www.nts.gov/pressrel/2000/001113.htm>.
- [613] National Transportation Safety Board. Railroad accident report derailment of Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company intermodal freight train S-CHILAC1-31, Crisfield, Kansas September 2, 1998. Technical Report NTSB/RAR-00/01 (PB2000-916301), NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 2000. <http://www.nts.gov/Publictn/2000/RAR0001.pdf>.
- [614] National Transportation Safety Board. Safety Recommendations to the International Council of Cruise Lines and Cruise Line Companies Regarding Fires on Board Passenger Ships, Public meeting of July 11, 2000 (subject to editing). Technical report, NTSB, Washington, DC, United States of America, 2000. <http://www.nts.gov/pressrel/2000/000711.htm>.
- [615] National Transportation Safety Board. Statement of Jim Hall, Chairman National Transportation Safety Board at Closing of NTSB Board Meeting Final Report Crash of TWA Flight 800 August 23, 2000. Technical report, NTSB, Washington, DC, United States of America, 2000. <http://www.nts.gov/speeches/former/hall/jhc000823.htm>.
- [616] National Transportation Safety Board. Safety Recommendations Issued by Mode 1967 to September 1, 2001. Technical report, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 2001. http://www.nts.gov/Recs/statistics/safety_recommendations_issued_by.htm.
- [617] National Transportation Safety Board. Status of Safety Recommendations by Mode of Transportation With Acceptance Rates as of September 1, 2001. Technical report, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 2001. http://www.nts.gov/Recs/statistics/acceptance_rate_modal.htm.
- [618] National Transportation Safety Board. About the NTSB: The Investigative Process. Technical report, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 2002. <http://www.nts.gov/abt%5Fnts/invest.htm>.
- [619] National Transportation Safety Board. Fire on board the U.S. Passenger Ferry Columbia, Chatham Strait Near Juneau, Alaska June 6, 2000. Technical Report Marine Accident Report PB2001-916403, NTSB/MAR-01/02, NTSB, Washington, DC United States of America, 2002. <http://www.nts.gov/Publictn/2001/MAR0102.pdf>.
- [620] National Transportation Safety Board of Canada. A safety study of the operational relationship between ship masters/ watchkeeping officers and marine pilots. Technical Report SM9501, NTSB Canada, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 1995. <http://www.bst.gc.ca/ENG/reports/marine/ems9701.html>.
- [621] National Transportation Safety Board of Canada. Aviation Occurrence Report: Operating Irregularity Air BC Ltd. British Aerospace BAE146 Flight ABL814 Vancouver, British Columbia 30 nm N 01 February 1998. Technical Report A98P0018, NTSB Canada, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 1998. <http://www.bst.gc.ca/eng/reports/air/1998/ea98p0018.html>.
- [622] National Transportation Safety Board of Canada. Marine Investigation Report: Engine-Room Fire The Self-unloading Bulk Carrier "NANTICOKE" At 39 degrees 20' N, 072 degrees 22' W Western North Atlantic Ocean 20 July 1999. Technical Report M99F0023, NTSB Canada, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 1999. <http://www.bst.gc.ca/ENG/reports/marine/1999/m99f0023/em99f0023.htm>.
- [623] National Transportation Safety Board of Canada. The Securitas confidential incident reporting system. Technical report, NTSB Canada, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 2000. <http://www.bst.gc.ca/ENG/>.

- [624] National Transportation Safety Board of Canada. Score your safety culture. Technical report, NTSB Canada, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 2002. <http://www.tc.gc.ca/civilaviation/systemsafety/tp13844/menu.htm>.
- [625] NATO, Department of Peacekeeping Operations. The Comprehensive Report on Lessons Learned from United Nations Operation in Somalia (UNOSOM). Technical report, North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, New York, USA, 1995. <http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/lessons/mandate.htm>.
- [626] NATO, Department of Peacekeeping Operations. Comprehensive Report on Lessons Learned from United Nations Assistance Mission for Rwanda (UNAMIR). Technical report, North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, New York, USA, 1996. <http://www.un.org/Depts/dpko/lessons/rwandisc.htm>.
- [627] J. Von Neumann and O. Morgenstern. *The Theory Of Games And Economic Behaviour*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, United States of America, 1944.
- [628] P.G. Neumann. *Computer Related Risks*. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, United States of America, 1995.
- [629] New Zealand Transport Accident Investigation Commission. Annual Report 2000-2001. Technical report, TAIC, Wellington, New Zealand, 2000. http://www.taic.org.nz/reports/ar_2000-01-report.html.
- [630] New Zealand Transport Accident Investigation Commission. Marine Safety Recommendations and Responses: Report 00-211, harbour tug Waka Kume, loss of control, Auckland Harbour, 19 November 2000. Technical report, TAIC, Wellington, New Zealand, 2000. http://www.taic.org.nz/marine/recommend_00-211.html.
- [631] New Zealand Transport Accident Investigation Commission. Marine safety recommendations and replies since January 1997. Technical report, TAIC, Wellington, New Zealand, 2001. <http://www.taic.org.nz/marine/recommendations-m.html>.
- [632] New Zealand Transport Accident Investigation Commission. Simplified flow chart of report and safety recommendation progress. Technical report, TAIC, Wellington, New Zealand, 2001. <http://www.taic.org.nz/aboutus/flowchart.html>.
- [633] New Zealand Transport Accident Investigation Commission. How to obtain a TAIC occurrence report. Technical report, TAIC, Wellington, New Zealand, 2002. <http://www.taic.org.nz/aboutus/obtaining.html>.
- [634] NHS Expert Group on Learning from Adverse Events in the NHS. An organisation with a memory. Technical report, National Health Service, London, United Kingdom, 2000. www.doh.gov.uk/orgmemreport/index.htm.
- [635] J. Nielsen. *Usability Engineering*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, United States of America, 1993.
- [636] I. Nonaka and H. Takeuchi. *The Knowledge Creating Company*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1995.
- [637] D.A. Norman. The 'problem' with automation : Inappropriate feedback and interaction not 'over-automation'. In D.E. Broadbent, J. Reason, and A. Baddeley, editors, *Human Factors In Hazardous Situations*, pages 137–145. Clarendon Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1990.
- [638] B.E. Norris, D.Z. Rashid, and B.L.W. Wong. Wayfinding/navigation within a QTVR virtual environment. In M. A. Sasse and C.W. Johnson, editors, *Interact '99*, pages 544–551, Amsterdam, NL, 1999. IOS Press.

- [639] Y.I. Noy. *Ergonomics and Safety of Intelligent Driver Interfaces*. Lawrence Erlbaum, Mahway, NJ, USA, 1997.
- [640] H. Mu noz Avila, J.A. Hendler, and D.W. Aha. Conversational case-based planning. *Review of Applied Expert Systems*, 5:163–174, 1999.
- [641] Nuclear Installations Inspectorate. HSE Team Inspection of the Control and Supervision of Operations at BNFL's Sellafield Site. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, Nuclear Safety Directorate Information Centre, Bootle, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/nsd/team.htm>.
- [642] Nuclear Installations Inspectorate. An investigation into the falsification of pellet diameter data in the MOX Demonstration Facility at the BNFL Sellafield site and the effect of this on the safety of MOX fuel in use. Technical report, Health and Safety Executive, Nuclear Safety Directorate Information Centre, Bootle, United Kingdom, 2000. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/nsd/mox1.htm>.
- [643] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Secretary of Labor (Complainant) vs Gearhart-Owen Industries, Inc. (Respondent). Technical Report OSHRC Docket No. 4263, Case Citation 10 BNA OSHC 2193, 192 CCH OSHD Paragrah 26,329, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1982. http://www.osha-slc.gov/REVIEW_data/D19821122.html.
- [644] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Secretary of labor (complainant) versus all purpose crane inc. (respondent). Technical Report OSHRC Docket 82-284, Case Citation: 13 BNA OSHC 1236, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1987. http://www.osha-slc.gov/REVIEW_data/D19870414.html.
- [645] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Secretary of labor (complainant) versus keco industries inc. (respondent). Technical Report OSHRC Docket No. 81-263, Case Citation: 13 BNA OSHC 1161, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1987. http://www.osha-slc.gov/REVIEW_data/D19870327.html.
- [646] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Secretary of Labor (Complainant) vs All Purpose Crane Inc. (Respondent). Technical Report OSHRC Docket No. 82-284, Case Citation 13 BNA OSHC 1236, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1987. http://www.osha-slc.gov/REVIEW_data/D19870414.html.
- [647] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Secretary of labor (complainant) vs zunker contractors inc. (respondent). Technical Report OSHRC Docket No. 82-936, Case Citation: 13 BNA OSHC 2200, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1989. http://www.osha-slc.gov/REVIEW_data/D19890427.html.
- [648] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. OSHA response to significant events of potentially catastrophic consequences. Technical Report OSHA Directives CPL 2.94, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1991. http://www.osha-slc.gov/OshDoc/Directive_data/CPL_2_94.html.
- [649] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. OSHA high injury/illness rate targeting and cooperative compliance programs. Technical Report OSHA Directives, CPL 2-0.119, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1997. http://www.osha-slc.gov/OshDoc/Directive_data/CPL_2-0_119.html.
- [650] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Osha's small business outreach training program instructional guide. Technical report, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1997. <http://www.osha-slc.gov/SLTC/smallbusiness/sec6.html>.

- [651] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Occupational exposure to 1,3-butadiene. Technical Report 29 Code of Federal Regulations Parts 1910, 1915 and 1926, [Docket No. H-041], US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1999. http://www.osha-slc.gov/Preamble/13Butadiene_data/1_3_BUTADIENE5.html.
- [652] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. OSHA's proposed ergonomics standard. Technical report, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 1999. <http://www.osha-slc.gov/ergonomics-standard/fs-over.html>.
- [653] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. New ways of working: OSHA facts. Technical report, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 2000. http://www.osha-slc.gov/OSHAfacts/OSHAfacts2_3_00.pdf.
- [654] Occupational Safety and Health Administration. OSHA record keeping guidelines. Technical report, US Department of Labour, Washington DC, United States of America, 2000. http://www.osha-slc.gov/rkeep_data/RKEEP_10.html.
- [655] Occupational Safety and Health Division. Attention all health and safety reps: beginner's guide to effective accident investigation. Technical report, Department of Labour, Wellington, New Zealand, 1989. <http://www.nohsc.gov.au/publications/pamphlets/a/002146.htm>.
- [656] Office of Occupational Safety and Health Policy. Computerized accident/incident reporting system. Technical report, US Department of Energy, 2000. <http://tis.eh.doe.gov/cairs/cairs/facts.htm>.
- [657] Office of System Safety. The global aviation information network (GAIN). Technical report, U. S. Federal Aviation Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 2002. <http://www.asy.faa.gov/gain>.
- [658] K. O'Hara and A. Sellen. A comparison of reading paper and on-line documents papers: Papers about paper. In *Proceedings of ACM CHI 97 Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 335–342, New York, USA, 1997. ACM Press.
- [659] M. O'Leary. The British Airways human factors reporting programme. In V. de Keyser and D. Javaux, editors, *Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Human Error, Safety and Systems Development*, Liège, Belgium, 1999. ULg, University of Liège.
- [660] M. O'Leary. Situation awareness and automation. In K. Abbott, J.-J. Speyer, and G. Boy, editors, *HCI Aero 2000: International Conference on Human-Computer Interfaces in Aeronautics*, pages 73–79, Toulouse, France, 2000. Cepadues-Editions.
- [661] M. O'Leary and S.L. Chappell. Confidential incident reporting systems create vital awareness of safety problems. *ICAO Journal*, pages 11–13, 1996.
- [662] J. Orsanu. Shared mental models and crew decision making. Technical Report 46, Cognitive Sciences Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, United States of America, 1990.
- [663] J. Orsanu, U. Fischer, L.K. McDonnell, J. Davison, K. Haars, E. Villeda, and C. Van Aken. Detecting and correcting errors in flight. Technical report, NASA Ames Research Centre, Human Factors Group, Moffett Field, CA, United States of America, 1999. <http://olias.arc.nasa.gov/reports/detecting.html>.
- [664] P. Palanque and R. Bastidee. Synergistic modelling of tasks, system and users using formal specification techniques. *Interacting With Computers*, 9(12):129–153, 1997.
- [665] A. Pasquini, A. Rizzo, and L. Save. Analysis of incidents involving interactive systems. Technical report, ENEA, Rome, Italy, 2000.
- [666] M. E. Pate-Cornell. Learning from the Piper Alpha accident : A postmortem analysis of technical and organisation factors. *Risk Analysis*, 13(2):215–232, 1993.

- [667] F. Paterno. *Model-Based Design and Evaluation of Interactive Applications*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 2000.
- [668] M. Patten. More than meets the eye. Technical Report 7, Aviation Safety Reporting System, NASA Ames Research Centre, California, United States of America, September 1995. http://asrs.arc.nasa.gov/directline_issues/dl7_laser.htm.
- [669] R.D. Patterson. Auditory warning sounds in the work environment. In D.E. Broadbent, J. Reason, and A. Baddeley, editors, *Human Factors In Hazardous Situations*, pages 37–44. Clarendon Press, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1990.
- [670] B.J. Payne. Dealing with hazard and risk in planning. In R.F. Griffiths, editor, *Dealing with Risk*. Manchester University Press, Manchester, UK, 1981.
- [671] D. G. Payne, M. J. Wenger, and M. S. Cohen. Cognitive processing and hypermedia comprehension: A preliminary synthesis. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, volume 2, pages 633–638, 1993.
- [672] J. Pearl. *Causality: Models, Reasoning and Inference*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2000.
- [673] M. Pedralli. *Vers un Environnement Multimedia Pour L'Analyse Video des Causes d'Erreurs Humaines Application dans les Simulateurs d'Avions*. PhD thesis, LIHS, University of Toulouse 1, Toulouse, France, 1996.
- [674] C. Perin. Organisations as contexts: Implications for safety science and practice. *Industrial and Environmental Crisis Quarterly*, 9(2):152–174, 1995.
- [675] W.D. Perreault and L.E. Leigh. Reliability of normal data based on qualitative judgements. *Journal of Marketing Research*, pages 135–148, 1989.
- [676] C. Perrow. *Normal Accidents: Living with High-Risk Technologies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, United States of America, 1999.
- [677] J. Petersen. Focus and causal reasoning in disturbance management of complex, dynamic systems. In P.C. Cacciabue, editor, *Human Decision Making and Manual Control: EAM2000*, EUR 19599 EN, pages 43–49. European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy, 2000.
- [678] J.L. Peterson. Petri nets. *Computing Surveys*, 9(3):223 – 252, 1977.
- [679] H. Petroski. *Design Paradigms: Case Histories of Error and Judgement in Engineering*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1994.
- [680] E.H. Phillips. GAIN transitions to airline operations. *Aviation Week and Space Technology*, August 7 2000. <http://www.AviationNow.com>.
- [681] N. Pidgeon and M. O'Leary. Organisational safety culture: Implications for aviation practice. In *Aviation Psychology in Practice*. Ashgate Publishing, Aldershot, UK, 1994.
- [682] D.A. Pietro, L.J. Shyavitz, R.A. Smith, and B.S. Auerbach. Detecting and reporting medical errors: why the dilemma? *British Medical Journal*, 320(7237):794–796, 2000.
- [683] S. J. Popkin, V. E. Gwiasda, J. M. Amendolia, A. A. Anderson, W. A. Johnson G. Hanson, E. Martel, L. M. Olson, and D. P. Rosenbaum. The hidden war: The battle to control crime in Chicago's public housing. *National Institute of Justice Journal*, page 19, March 1998. <http://www.ncjrs.org/pdffiles/jr000235.pdf>.
- [684] T.R. La Porte and P.M. Consolini. Working in practice but not in theory: Theoretical challenges of high reliability organisations. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 1(1):19–47, 1991.

- [685] M.I. Posner, J.M. Nissen, and R. Klein. Visual dominance: an information processing account of its origins and significance. *Psychological Review*, pages 157–171, 1976.
- [686] J. Preece, Y. Rogers, H. Sharp, D. Benyon, S. Holland, and T. Carey. *Human Computer Interaction*. Addison Wesley, Wokingham, UK, 1994.
- [687] R. Price. The seafood network at UC DAVIS. Technical report, Food Science and Technology Dept, University of California, Davis, CA, USA, 2001. <http://vm.cfsan.fda.gov/frf/seanetls.html>.
- [688] Prime Minister's Press Office. Fact Files: Improving Rail Safety. Technical report, 10 Downing Street, London, UK, 2001. <http://www.number-10.gov.uk/default.asp?pageid=2679>.
- [689] C. Puppe. *Distorted Probabilities And Choice Under Risk*. Lecture Notes In Economics And Mathematical Systems, No 363. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1991.
- [690] Railtrack. The Ladbroke Grove Rail Enquiry: Fact Sheets. Technical report, Railtrack, 1999. <http://www.railtrack.co.uk/cullen/index.html>.
- [691] Railway Safety, (Controller, Safety Strategy & Risk). Railway Group 2001/02 Half-year Safety Performance Report. Technical report, Railway Group, London, UK, 2001. <http://www.railwaysafety.org.uk/q2spr.asp>.
- [692] A. Ram. Indexing, elaboration and refinement: Incremental learning of explanatory cases. In J.L. Kolodner, editor, *Case-based Learning*. Kluwer, London, 1993.
- [693] R. Randell. Coping strategies in an intensive care unit. *Cognition, Technology and Work*, 5(3):163–170, 2003.
- [694] J. Rasmussen. Skills, rules and knowledge; signals, signs and symbols and other distinctions in human performance models. *IEEE Transactions On Systems, Man And Cybernetics*, SMC-13(3):257–266, 1983.
- [695] J. Rasmussen. *Information Processing and Human-Machine Interaction: An Approach to Cognitive Engineering*. Elsevier, North Holland, 1986.
- [696] J. Rasmussen. Diagnostic reasoning in action. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 23(4):981–992, 1993.
- [697] J.O. Rawlings, S.G. Pantula, and D.A. Dickey. *Applied Regression Analysis: A Research Tool*. Springer Texts in Statistics, London, UK, 1998.
- [698] J. Reason. The contribution of latent human failures to the breakdown of complex systems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, pages 475–484, 1990.
- [699] J. Reason. *Human Error*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [700] J. Reason. Foreword. In M.S. Bogner, editor, *Human Error in Medicine*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Hillsdale, NJ, USA, 1994.
- [701] J. Reason. *Managing the Risks of Organizational Accidents*. Ashgate Publishing, Aldershot, UK, 1997.
- [702] P. E. Reimers and S. M. Chung. Intelligent user interface for very large relational databases. In M. J. Smith and G. Salvendy, editors, *Proc. of the Fifth International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction*, volume 2, pages 134–139, Holland, 1993. Elsevier Science.
- [703] R. Remington, M. Shafto, and M. Freed. Making human-machine system simulation a practical engineering technique. Technical report, Human Factors Research and Technology Division, NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, USA, 2000. <http://human-factors.arc.nasa.gov:80/reports/makinghuman.html>.

- [704] Research and Special Programs Administration. Transportation Department Takes Action to Improve Pipeline Accident Reporting. Technical report, Office of Public Affairs, Department of Transportation, Washington DC, United States of America, 2001. <http://www.dot.gov/affairs/rspa901.htm>.
- [705] I.J. Rimson and L. Benner. Quality controls for the investigation/prevention process. In *Symposium on High Consequence Operations Safety*, Albuquerque, New Mexico USA, 1994. <http://www.iprr.org/Papers/Sandia/SANDIA4.html>.
- [706] K. Ridsen, M. Czerwinski, T. Munzner, and D. Cook. An initial examination of ease of use for 2D and 3D information visualizations of web content. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 53(5):695–714, 2000. Special Issue on Empirical Evaluations of Information Visualizations.
- [707] J. Robb. Annual report 1997-98. Technical report, British Energy, London, United Kingdom, 1998. http://www.british-energy.co.uk/environment/mn_hsed_safety.html.
- [708] F.W. Robbertze. The winning edge: Integrity and excellence in the Department of Defence (DOD). Technical report, South African Defence College, Thaba Tshwane, Pretoria, South Africa, 1999. <http://www.mil.za/>.
- [709] Roben. Safety and health at work: Report of the Roben committee 1970-1972. Technical report, Her Majesty's Stationary Office, London, United Kingdom, 1972.
- [710] K.H. Roberts. New challenges in organizational research: High reliability organizations. *Industrial Crisis Quarterly*, 3(2):111–125, 1989.
- [711] T. H. Rockwell. Some exploratory research on risk acceptance in a man-machine setting. *Journal of the American Society of Safety Engineers*, pages 23–29, 1962.
- [712] M. Rodgers and D. Duke. SATORI: Situation assessment through the recreation of incidents. Technical Report DOT/FAA/AM-93/12, US Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Aviation Medicine, Washington, DC, United States of America, 1993.
- [713] W.P. Rogers. Report of the Presidential commission on the space shuttle Challenge accident. Technical Report Executive Order 12546 of February 3, 1986, US Government Accounting Office, Washington, DC, USA, 1986. <http://science.ksc.nasa.gov/shuttle/missions/51-1/docs/rogers-commission/>.
- [714] J.S. Rosenschein and G. Zlotkin. *Rules of Encounter: Designing Conventions for Automated Negotiations Among Computers*. MIT Press, Cambridge, United States of America, 1994.
- [715] Royal College of Anaesthetists. Critical incident form. Technical report, Professional Standards Directorate, The Royal College of Anaesthetists, London, UK, January 1998. <http://www.rcoa.ac.uk/critincident/citext.html>.
- [716] B. Russell. On the notion of cause. *Proceedings Of The Aristotelean Society*, 13:1–25, 1912.
- [717] Safety Regulatory Commission. Safety regulatory requirement: Reporting and assessment of safety occurrences in ATM. Technical report, EUROCONTROL, Brussels, Belgium, 1999. <http://www.eurocontrol.be/dgs/src/en/index.html>.
- [718] S.D. Sagan. *The Limits of Safety: Organisations, Accidents and Nuclear Weapons*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, United States of America, 1993.
- [719] M. Sage and C.W. Johnson. A declarative prototyping environment for multi-user, safety-critical systems. In J. Dixon, editor, *Proceedings of the 17th International Systems Safety Conference*, pages 614–623, Unionville, Virginia, United States of America, 1999. The Systems Safety Society.

- [720] M. Sage and C.W. Johnson. Formally verified rapid prototyping for air traffic control. In V. de Keyser and D. Javaux, editors, *Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Human Error, Safety and Systems Development*, Liège, Belgium, 1999. Psychologie du Travail et des Entreprises, Université de Liège.
- [721] J.G. Salaman. *Community and Occupations: An Exploration of Community and Work Leisure Relationships*. Cambridge Papers in Sociology Number 4. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [722] S. Salminen, T. Klen, and K. Ojanen. Risk-taking behaviour of forestry workers. In S.A. Robertson, editor, *Contemporary Ergonomics*, pages 401–405. Taylor and Francis, London, United Kingdom, 1997.
- [723] San Jacinto Rail Limited. Transportation safety. Technical report, San Jacinto Rail Limited Partners, Houston, Texas, USA, 2000. <http://www.sanjacintorail.com/safety.html>.
- [724] P.M. Sanderson and C. Fisher. Exploratory sequential data analysis: Foundations. *Human-Computer Interaction*, 9:251–317, 1994.
- [725] W.E. Saris. *Computer Assisted Interviewing*. Sage, Newbury Park, USA, 1991.
- [726] N.B. Sarter and D.D. Woods. Situation awareness: A critical but ill-defined phenomenon. *International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 1:43–55, 1991.
- [727] N.B. Sarter and D.D. Woods. Teamplay with a powerful and independent agent: A full-mission simulation study. *Human Factors*, 42(3):390–402, 2001.
- [728] K. Sasou and J. Reason. Team errors: Definition and taxonomy. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, pages 1–9, 1999.
- [729] M. Schiavo. *Flying Blind, Flying Safe*. Avon, New York, United States of America, 1997.
- [730] D. Schofield, J. Noond, L. Goodwin, K. Fowle, and M. Doyle. How real is your reconstruction? Developments in computer graphics and virtual reality. In *Forensic techniques for the 21st Century*, London, UK, 2000. Lloyds of London.
- [731] B. Scott and B. Nelson. Release of board of inquiry findings and action into the death of an army cadet. Technical report, Department of Defence, Canberra, Australia, 2001. Min 096/01, <http://www.defence.gov.au/minister/2001/96180401.doc>.
- [732] A. Scrivener. Special report on Ladbroke Grove: ‘Pass the signal - pass the blame’. *The Locomotive Journal*, pages 8–9, June 2000. Quoted extracts from evidence to Lord Cullen’s inquiry into the Ladbroke Grove accident, http://www.aslef.org.uk/dox/loco_june_00.pdf.
- [733] T. Seamster. Automation and advanced crew resource management. In S. Dekker and E. Hollnagel, editors, *Coping with Computers in the Cockpit*, pages 195–213. Ashgate, Brookfield, VM, United States of America, 1999.
- [734] T.L. Seamster, D.A. Boehm-Davis, R.W. Holt, and K. Schultz. Developing advanced crew resource management (ACRM) training: A training manual. Technical Report Human Factors AAR-100, Federal Aviation Administration, Washington DC, United States of America, 1998. <http://www.hf.faa.gov/products/dacrm/DACRMT.pdf>.
- [735] J.B. Sexton, E.J. Thomas, and R.L. Helmreich. Error, stress, and teamwork in medicine and aviation: cross sectional surveys. *British Medical Journal*, 320(7237):745–749, 2000. <http://www.bmj.com/cgi/content/full/320/7237/745>.
- [736] Sheen. Report of Court Number 8074: MV Herald of Free Enterprise. Technical report, Department of Transport, Her Majesty’s Stationery Office, London, United Kingdom, 1987.

- [737] T.B. Sheridan. On how often the supervisor should sample. *IEEE Transactions of Systems, Sciences and Cybernetics*, SSC-6:140–145, 1972.
- [738] T.B. Sheridan. Understanding human error and aiding human diagnostic behaviour in nuclear power plants. In J. Rasmussen and W.B. Rouse, editors, *Human Detection and Diagnosis of System Failures*. Plenum Press, New York, United States of America, 1981.
- [739] B. Shneiderman. The eyes have it: A task by data type taxonomy for information visualisation. In *IEEE Conference on Visual Languages*, pages 336–343, 1996.
- [740] B. Shneiderman. *Designing the User Interface*. Addison Wesley, Reading, MA, USA, 1998.
- [741] Singapore Army. Preventing heat injuries: The commanders guide. Technical report, Safety Organisation, General Staff Inspectorate, Singapore, 2001. <http://www.mindef.gov.sg/army/gsi/education/heat/heatprevent.pdf>.
- [742] Singaporean Ministry of Manpower. How to report accidents to the Ministry of Manpower. Technical report, Ministry of Manpower, Singapore, 2000. <http://www.gov.sg/mom/wpeaw/isfile/is3a.htm>.
- [743] A. Singhal, G. Salton, M. Mitra, and C. Buckley. Document length normalization. *Information Processing and Management*, 32(5):619–633, 1996.
- [744] G. Sitwell and S. Purcel. Assessment of Investigations into Signals Passed at Danger (SPADs). Technical Report BL2077 004 TR06, WS Atkins Rail Limited, under contract from the HSE, London, UK, 2001. <http://www.hse.gov.uk/railway/spad/spadrep1.pdf>.
- [745] W. Smith, J. Dowell, and M. Ortega-Lafuente. Narratran: a tool for designing emergency management training. In T.R. G. Green, L. Bannon, C. Warren, and J. Buckley, editors, *Cognition and Cooperation: Proceedings of the Ninth European Conference on Cognitive Ergonomics*, pages 97–102. European Association for Cognitive Ergonomics (EACE), Paris, 1998. ISBN-874653-48-8.
- [746] G. Smithsimon. Ethnograffiti: Graffiti, writers, and space at the Phun Phactory. Technical report, Department of Sociology, Columbia State University, USA, 1997. <http://www.columbia.edu/~gs228/writing/ethnograffiti.htm>.
- [747] S. A. Snook. *Friendly Fire*. Princeton University Press, 2000.
- [748] P. Snowdon. *The Use of Argumentation and Rhetoric in Accident Reports*. PhD thesis, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, 2002.
- [749] P. Snowdon and C.W. Johnson. Results of a preliminary survey into the usability of accident and incident reports. In J. Noyes and M. Bransby, editors, *People in Control: An international conference on human interfaces in control rooms, cockpits and command centres*, pages 258–262, Savoy Place, London, United Kingdom, 1999. The Institute of Electrical Engineers. Bath, UK, 21-23 June 1999.
- [750] Society of Automotive Engineers. Accident reconstruction: Technology and animation. Technical report, SAE Publishing, Washington, DC, USA, 1999. ISBN 0768003393.
- [751] I. Sommerville, T. Rodden, P. Sawyer, and R. Bentley. Sociologists can be surprisingly useful in interactive systems design cooperative systems. In A. Monk, D. Diaper, and M.D. Harrison, editors, *HCI'92: People and Computers VII*, pages 341–353, Cambridge, UK, 1992. Cambridge University Press.
- [752] F. Speirs and C.W. Johnson. Designing information visualisations for incident databases. In J.H. Wiggins and S.G. Thompson, editors, *Proceedings of the 20th Annual Systems Safety Conference*, pages 280–290, Unionville, VA, United States of America, 2002. Systems Safety Society.

- [753] F. Speirs, B. Robinson, and C.W. Johnson. The validation of information visualisation in incident reporting systems. In C.W. Johnson, editor, *Proceedings of the First Workshop on the Investigation and Analysis of Incidents and Accidents (IRIA-2002)*, Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow, Scotland, 2002. Glasgow Accident Analysis Group. Accepted and to appear.
- [754] R. F. Spohrer and D. M. Maciejewski. Modus operandi for the expert witness. *Chemical Health and Safety*, 3(1):8–12, 1996. <http://pubs.acs.org/hotartcl/chas/96/janfeb/janfeb.html>.
- [755] S. Staender. Critical Incidents Reporting System (CIRS): Critical incidents in anaesthesiology. Technical report, Department of Anaesthesia, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland, 2000. <http://www.medana.unibas.ch/cirs/>.
- [756] S. Staender, M. Kaufman, and D. Scheidegger. Critical incident reporting in anaesthesiology in switzerland using standard internet technology. In C.W. Johnson, editor, *1st Workshop on Human Error and Clinical Systems*, Glasgow, Scotland, 1999. Department of Computing Science, University of Glasgow. http://www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/johnson/papers/HECS_99/Standauer_Kaufman_Scheidegger.htm.
- [757] D. Stanyer. *Redefining the Hyperlink*. PhD thesis, Division of Informatics, Edinburgh, UK, 2001.
- [758] N.M. Steblay. A meta-analytic review of the weapon foccus effect. *Law and Human Behaviour*, pages 413–424, 1992.
- [759] N.M. Steblay. Social influence in eyewitness recall: A meta-analytic review of lineup instruction effects. *Law and Human Behaviour*, pages 283–298, 1997.
- [760] E.S. Stein and B. Rosenberg. The measurement of pilot workload. Technical Report Report DOT/FAA/CT82-23, NTIS No. ADA124582, Federal Aviation Authority, Atlantic City, United States of America, 1983.
- [761] R.B. Stillman, J.B. Stephenson, K.A. Rhodes, K.J. Daubenspeck, P.R. Dugan, C.T. Chaplain, R.P. Kissel, and F. Maguire. Information security: Computer attacks at department of defense pose increasing risks. Technical Report AIMD-96-84, Accounting and Information Management Division, United States General Accounting Office and Intelligence Resource Program, Washington, DC, USA, 1996. <http://www.fas.org/irp/gao/aim96084.htm>.
- [762] N. Storey. *Safety-Critical Computer Systems*. Addison Wesley, Reading MA, United States of America, 1996.
- [763] B. Strauch. Correspondence with Peter Ladkin, 1997. Cited in [469].
- [764] J. Suakas. The role of management in accident prevention. In *First International Congress on Industrial Engineering and Management*, Paris, France, 11-13 June 1986. Cited in [485].
- [765] P. Suppes. *A Probabilistic Theory of Causality*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, North Holland, 1970.
- [766] A.D. Swain and H.E. Guttman. Handbook of human reliability with emphasis on nuclear power plant applications. Technical Report NUREG CR-1278, US Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington DC, United States of America, 1983.
- [767] Swedish Board of Accident Investigation. Collision between the Swedish Vessel MT Tärnsjö and the Russian Vessel MV Amur-2524, on 6th February 1998 at Strömskär C County Sweden, S01/98. Technical Report S 1998:02e, Statens Haverikommission, Stockholm, Sweden, 1998. <http://www.havkom.se/rapportSammandrag/s1998e02.pdf>.
- [768] Swedish Board of Accident Investigation. Statens Haverikommission - the board of accident investigation. Technical report, Statens Haverikommission, Stockholm, Sweden, 2001. <http://www.havkom.se/english.phtml>.

- [769] S. Welch and P.A. Rubini. Three dimensional simulation of a fire resistance furnace. In *Proceedings of 5th International Symposium on Fire Safety Science*, Melbourne, Australia, 1987. International Association for Fire Safety Science.
- [770] A.J. Tattersall. Individual differences in performance. In M.W. Smolensky and E.S. Stein, editors, *Human Factors in Air Traffic Control*, pages 185–213. Academic Press, London, United Kingdom, 1998.
- [771] J.D. Teasdale and P.J. Barnard. *Affect, Cognition and Change*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, London, United Kingdom, 1993.
- [772] Thames Regional Health Authority. Report of the inquiry into the London Ambulance Service Computer Aided Dispatch System. Technical report, Thames Regional Health Authority, Communications Directorate, South West Thames Regional Health Authority, February 1993.
- [773] B. Toft and S. Reynolds. *Learning from Disaster: A Management Approach*. Perpetuity Press, Leicester, UK, 1997.
- [774] C. Tomlin. *Hybrid Control of Air Traffic Management Systems*. PhD thesis, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, United States of America, 1998.
- [775] S. Toulmin. *The Uses of Argument*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1958.
- [776] Transport Canada. Hot bearing detector study. Technical Report TP 12691-E, Railway Safety Directorate, Quebec, Canada, 1996. http://www.tc.gc.ca/railway/ENGLISH/HOT_BEARING/hbdrp6_1.htm.
- [777] Transport Canada. Uncontrolled movements of railway equipment. Technical Report Railway Safety Facts 1996, Railway Safety Directorate, Quebec, Canada, 1996. http://www.tc.gc.ca/railway/ENGLISH/RUNAWAY/Runaway_1.htm.
- [778] Transport Canada. Departmental performance report for the period ending march 31, 2001. Technical report, TC, Quebec, Canada, 2001. http://www.tc.gc.ca/estimate/dpr/00-01/en/dpr_printableversion_e.htm.
- [779] Transport Canada. Railway Safety Act: Railway safety management system regulations - interpretation. Technical report, TC, Quebec, Canada, 2001. http://www.tc.gc.ca/railway/SMS_Regulations.htm.
- [780] Transport Canada. Railway safety management system guide. Technical report, TC, Quebec, Canada, 2001. <http://www.tc.gc.ca/railway/publications/smsguide.htm>.
- [781] Transport Salaried Staffs' Association. TSSA Reps Bulletin June 2001: Accidents @ Work? Technical report, TSSA, London, U.K., 2001. <http://www.tssa.org.uk/advice/hs/hs12.htm>.
- [782] Transport South Australia. Rail Safety Act 1996, Notification of Occurrences, Incident Normalising Factors Forms. Technical report, Rail Safety Unit, Government of Southern Australia, Walkerville, Australia, 1999. <http://www.transport.sa.gov.au/rail/normfact.htm>.
- [783] Transportation Safety Board of Canada. Railway Safety Facts 1996. Technical report, Safety and Security, Rail Safety Directorate, Rail Safety Programs Branch, TSB, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 1996. http://www.tc.gc.ca/railway/ENGLISH/DERAIL/DERAIL_3.HTM.
- [784] Transportation Safety Board of Canada. Overturning of the Pilot Boat "NAVIMAR V" Port of Québec, Quebec 07 August 1997. Technical Report M97L0076, TSB, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 1997. <http://www.bst.gc.ca/ENG/reports/marine/1997/m97l0076/em97l0076.htm>.

- [785] Transportation Safety Board of Canada. Bottom Contact Bulk Carrier "ALAM SELAMAT" Fraser River, British Columbia 16 June 1999. Technical Report M99W0087, TSB, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 1999. <http://www.tsb.gc.ca/ENG/reports/marine/1999/m99w0087/em99w0087.htm>.
- [786] Transportation Safety Board of Canada. Striking of a Dock with an Unloading Boom, the Self-unloading Bulk Carrier 'ALGOBAY', Poe Lock, Sault Ste. Technical Report M99F0042, TSB, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 1999. <http://www.tsb.gc.ca/ENG/reports/marine/1999/m99f0042/em99f0042.htm>.
- [787] Transportation Safety Board of Canada. Annual statistical summary of railway occurrences (2000). Technical report, TSB, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 2001. <http://www.tsb.gc.ca/eng/stats/rail/year00/rail-eng.htm>.
- [788] Transportation Safety Board of Canada. New released reports. Technical report, TSB, Hull, Quebec, Canada, 2001. http://www.tsb.gc.ca/ENG/reports/marine/RptMar_Indx_New.html.
- [789] E. R. Tufte. *The Visual Display of Quantitative Information*. Graphics Press, 2001.
- [790] B.A. Turner. The sociology of safety. In D.I. Bockley, editor, *Engineering Safety*, pages 186–201. McGraw Hill, London, United Kingdom, 1992.
- [791] H.R. Turtle and W.B. Croft. Evaluation of an inference network-based retrieval model. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, 9(3):187–222, 1991.
- [792] UK House of Commons Select Committee on Defence. Government observations on the fourteenth report from the committee, session 1999-2000, on the lessons of Kosovo. Technical Report HC 347-I, UK House of Commons, London, UK, 2000. <http://www.parliament.the-stationery-office.co.uk/pa/cm200001/cmselect/cmdfence/178/17805.htm>.
- [793] UK Marine Pollution Control Unit. The Sea Empress Incident: Summary of Report. Technical report, UK Coastguard Agency and the Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions, Southampton, UK, 1997. http://www.shipping.dtlr.gov.uk/tca/sea_emp.htm.
- [794] US Air Force. Safety investigations and reports. Technical report, US Air Force Departmental Publishing Office, Washington DC, USA, 1999. <http://afpubs.hq.af.mil>.
- [795] US Army. Safety Policies and Procedures for Firing Ammunition for Training, Target Practice and Combat. Technical Report Army Regulation 38563 MCO P3570.1A, Headquarters Department of the Army and Navy, Washington, DC, 1983. http://www.usapa.army.mil/pdffiles/r385_63.pdf.
- [796] US Army. Army Accident Investigation and Reporting. Technical Report Pamphlet (PAM 385-40) Safety, Headquarters Department of the Army, Washington, DC, 1994. http://www.usapa.army.mil/pdffiles/p385_40.pdf.
- [797] US Army. Decorations, Awards, and Honors Army Accident Prevention Awards Program. Technical Report Army Regulation 67274, Headquarters Department of the Army, Washington, DC, 1995. ftp://pubs.army.mil/pub/epubs/pdf/r672_74.pdf.
- [798] US Army. Risk Management. Technical Report Field Manual FM 100-14, Headquarters Department of the Army, Washington, DC, 1998. <http://www.adtdl.army.mil/cgi-bin/atdl.dll/fm/100-14>.
- [799] US Army Center for Army Lessons Learned. Coalition operations lessons learned database. Technical report, US Army, Fort Leavenworth, KS, USA, 1999. <http://www.abca.hqda.pentagon.mil/COLL%20Database/armies.html>.

- [800] US Army Joint Readiness Training Center. Trends, Tactics, Techniques and Procedures: 4th Quarter Financial Year '99 & 1st Quarter 2000. Technical Report Intelligence BOS (TA.5) 01-6, US Army, Fort Leavenworth, KS, USA, 2000. http://call.army.mil/products/ctc_bull/01-6/ta5bos.htm.
- [801] US Army, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army. A-76 Contracting Lessons Learned. Technical report, Department of the Army, Falls Church, VA, USA, 2000. http://acqnet.sarda.army.mil/acqinfo/lsnlrn/Ini_main.htm.
- [802] US Army, Product Manager, Paladin/FAASV. Drivers' Hatch. *Paladin/FAASV Newsletters*, page 8, 2000. <http://w4.pica.army.mil/paladin/news/2qfy00.pdf>.
- [803] US Army Safety Centre. Accident investigation handbook. Technical report, US Army, Fort Rucker, Alabama, USA, 1999. <http://safety.army.mil/pages/investigation/>.
- [804] US Army Safety Centre. Accidents kill more than the enemy. *Countermeasure*, 20(10), 1999. Available from <http://safety.army.mil>.
- [805] US Army Safety Centre. Commander and staff risk management booklet. Technical report, US Army, Fort Rucker, Alabama, USA, 1999. Available from <http://safety.army.mil/>.
- [806] US Army Safety Centre. Accident investigation and reporting procedures handbook. Technical report, US Army, Fort Rucker, Alabama, USA, 2000. <http://safety.army.mil/pages/data/hazards/accidents/accident.pdf>.
- [807] US Army Safety Centre. Accident investigation: The other side of risk management. *Countermeasure*, 22(1), 2001. Available from <http://safety.army.mil>.
- [808] US Army Safety Centre. Correction M939A2 Trucks. *Countermeasure*, 22(7), 2001. Available from <http://safety.army.mil>.
- [809] US Army Safety Centre. An M551A1 in the wrong hands. *Countermeasure*, 22(2), 2001. Available from <http://safety.army.mil>.
- [810] US Army Safety Centre. New Requirements for the M9 ACE. *Countermeasure*, 22(6), 2001. Available from <http://safety.army.mil>.
- [811] US Army Safety Centre. No Recall On IPFUs. *Countermeasure*, 22(6), 2001. Available from <http://safety.army.mil>.
- [812] US Army Safety Centre. The rest of the story. *Countermeasure*, 22(5), 2001. Available from <http://safety.army.mil>.
- [813] US Army Safety Centre. Safety notice: Confidence course obstacle. Technical report, US Army, Fort Rucker, Alabama, USA, 2001. <http://safety.army.mil>, Note SAN 210800MAR00.
- [814] US Army Safety Centre. Safety notice: HMMWV seatbelt. Technical report, US Army, Fort Rucker, Alabama, USA, 2001. <http://safety.army.mil>, Note SAN 200010181500.
- [815] US Army Safety Centre. Safety notice: Tents and heaters. Technical report, US Army, Fort Rucker, Alabama, USA, 2001. <http://safety.army.mil>, Note SAN071445ZMAR0.
- [816] US Army Safety Centre. A turn for the worse, M1A1. *Countermeasure*, 22(1), 2001. Available from <http://safety.army.mil>.
- [817] U.S. Army Technical Center for Explosives Safety: D. Ford. Accident reporting: Do it right! *Explosives Safety Bulletin*, 11(3), 2000. <http://www.dac.army.mil/ES/esm/bulletin/Vol11,iss3.PDF>.

- [818] U.S. Army Technical Center for Explosives Safety: D. Ford. Last minute change is fatal: how could this happen? *Explosives Safety Bulletin*, 12(1), 2000. <http://www.dac.army.mil/es/esm/bulletin/Vol12,iss1.PDF>.
- [819] U.S. Army Technical Center for Explosives Safety: G. Heles. Recent HQDA Explosives Safety Policy Actions. *Explosives Safety Bulletin*, 11(3), 2000. <http://www.dac.army.mil/ES/esm/bulletin/Vol11,iss3.PDF>.
- [820] U.S. Army Technical Center for Explosives Safety: R. Durand and D. Ford. Cook-offs. *Explosives Safety Bulletin*, 11(3), 2000. <http://www.dac.army.mil/ES/esm/bulletin/Vol11,iss3.PDF>.
- [821] US Aviation and Missile Command, Safety Office. Preliminary incident notification form. Technical report, US Army, Redstone Arsenal, Alabama, USA, 2000. <http://www.redstone.army.mil/safety/report.html>.
- [822] US Bureau of the Census. Detailed language spoken at home and ability to speak English for persons 5 years and over. Technical Report CPHL-133, Education and Social Stratification Branch, Population Division, US Bureau of the Census, Washington DC, United States of America, 1990. <http://www.census.gov/population/socdemo/language/table5.txt>.
- [823] US Bureau of the Census. Home computers and Internet use in the United States: August 2000 special studies. Technical Report P23-207, U.S. Department of Commerce Economics and Statistics Administration, US Bureau of the Census, Washington DC, United States of America, 2000. <http://www.census.gov/prod/2001pubs/p23-207.pdf>.
- [824] US Central Command. Investigation into the live-fire incident involving a U.S. Navy F/A-18 aircraft that dropped three 500-pound bombs on Observation Post 10 at the Udairi Range, Kuwait, 12 March 2001. Technical report, USCENTCOM, MacDill Air Force Base, Fla, USA, 2001. http://www.centcom.mil/kuwait/report/ud_range_completed.pdf.
- [825] US Coast Guard. United States Coast Guard vs. Merchant Mariner's Document NO. Z-529214 License NO. 453652. Technical Report 2080, USCG, Washington DC, United States of America, 1976. <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g%2Dcj/appeals>
- [826] US Coast Guard. Investigation into the Circumstances Surrounding the Collision Between the Passenger Vessel Noordam and the Freight Vessel Mount Ymitos. Technical Report 16732, USCG, Washington DC, United States of America, 1995. <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g%2Dm/moa/omao1a5.htm>.
- [827] US Coast Guard. Portable Space Heaters. Technical Report Safety Advisory 0396, USCG, Washington DC, United States of America, 1996. <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g-m/moa/docs/sa0396.htm>.
- [828] US Coast Guard. Report of the Coast Guard-American waterways Organisation Quality Action Team on Towing Vessel Crew Fatalities, July 26, 1996. Technical report, USCG, Washington DC, United States of America, 1996. <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g-m/moa/docs/cafata.htm>.
- [829] US Coast Guard. Sea Kayak Safety Advisory. Technical Report Safety Advisory 0796, USCG, Washington DC, United States of America, 1998. <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g-m/moa/docs/sa0796.htm>.
- [830] US Coast Guard. International Maritime Information Safety System. Technical report, USCG, Washington DC, United States of America, 2000. <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g-m/moa/xnearm.htm>.
- [831] US Coast Guard. Investigation report into the circumstances surrounding the loss of the commercial fishing vessel ADRIATIC eight nautical miles East of Barnegat Light, New Jersey on January 18, 1999, with the loss of four lives. Technical Report 16732/MC99000698,

- USCG, Washington DC, United States of America, 2000. <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g-m/moa/docs/adriatic.pdf>.
- [832] US Coast Guard. Office of Investigations and Analysis: Safety Alerts and Lessons Learned. Technical report, USCG, Washington DC, United States of America, 2001. <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g-m/moa/safea.htm>.
- [833] US Coast Guard. Report into the loss of the commercial fishing vessel CAPE FEAR three nautical miles Southwest of Cuttyhunk, Massachusetts on January 9, 1999 with the loss of two lives. Technical report, USCG, Washington DC, United States of America, 2001. <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g-m/moa/docs/capefear.pdf>.
- [834] US Coast Guard (S. Ferguson, J. Gelland, G. Kraatz, A. Landsburg, R. Nikas, C. Pillsbury, A. Rothblum). International Maritime Information Safety System - Project Blueprint. Technical report, USCG, Washington DC, United States of America, 2000. <http://www.uscg.mil/hq/g-m/moa/docs/blue.htm>.
- [835] US National Research Council, Subcommittee on Coordinated Research and Development Strategies for Human Performance to Improve Marine Operations and Safety. *Advancing The Principles of The Prevention Through People Program*. National Academy Press, Washington, DC, USA, 1997. <http://books.nap.edu/books/NI999999/html/28.html#pagetop>.
- [836] US Navy, Chief of Naval Operations. Third Endorsement of the Investigation to Inquire into the Actions of USS Cole in Preparing for and Undertaking a Brief Stop for Fuel at Bandar at Tawahi (Aden Harbour) Aden, Yemen, on or About 12th October 2000. Technical report, Department of the Navy, Washington, DC, USA, 2000. <http://www.foia.navy.mil/USSCOLE/ENDORSE/CNO.pdf>.
- [837] US Navy, Commander in Chief, US Atlantic Fleet. Second Endorsement of the Investigation to Inquire into the Actions of USS Cole in Preparing for and Undertaking a Brief Stop for Fuel at Bandar at Tawahi (Aden Harbour) Aden, Yemen, on or About 12th October 2000. Technical report, Department of the Navy, Washington, DC, USA, 2000. <http://www.foia.navy.mil/USSCOLE/ENDORSE/CINCLANTFLT.pdf>.
- [838] U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Reactor safety study. Technical report, NUREG, Washington, DC, USA, 1974.
- [839] R. Usher and V. Jakupec. *Policy Matters: Flexible Learning and Organisational Change*. Routledge Studies in Human Resource Development. Taylor and Francis, London, United Kingdom, 2001.
- [840] T.W. van der Schaaf. *Near Miss Reporting in the Chemical Process Industry*. PhD thesis, Technical University of Eindhoven, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, 1992.
- [841] T.W. van der Schaaf. PRISMA: A risk management tool based on incident analysis. In *International Workshop on Process Safety Management and Inherently Safer Processes*, pages 242–251, Orlando, Florida, USA, October 8-11 1996.
- [842] T.W. van der Schaaf. Prevention and recovery of errors in software systems. In C.W. Johnson, editor, *Proceedings of the 1st Workshop on Human Error and Systems Development*, GAAG TR-97-2, Glasgow, Scotland, 1997. Glasgow Accident Analysis Group, University of Glasgow. http://www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/johnson/papers/workshop/human_error.htm.
- [843] T.W. van der Schaaf, D.A. Lucas, and A.R. Hale. *Near Miss Reporting as a Safety Tool*. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, United Kingdom, 1991.
- [844] W. van Vuuren. *Organisational Failure: An Exploratory Study in the Steel Industry and the Medical Domain*. PhD thesis, Institute for Business Engineering and Technology Application, Technical University of Eindhoven, Eindhoven, The Netherlands, 2000.

- [845] T. Vara. Female soldier readiness: A leader's guide. Technical report, US Army Center for Army Lessons Learned and Madigan Army Medical Center, Tacoma, WA, USA, 2000. Available from <http://call.army.mil>.
- [846] D. Vaughan. *The Challenger Launch Decision*. Chicago University Press, Chicago, United States of America, 1996.
- [847] S.A. Viller. *Human Factors in Requirements Engineering: A Method for Improving Requirements Processes for the Development of Dependable Systems*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Lancaster, Lancaster, United Kingdom, 2000.
- [848] C. Vincent, S. Taylor-Adams, J. Chapman, D. Hewett, S. Prior, P. Strange, and A. Tizzard. How to investigate and analyse clinical incidents: Clinical risk unit and association of litigation and risk management protocol. *British Medical Journal*, 320(7237):777–781, 2000.
- [849] C. Vincent, S. Taylor-Adams, and N. Stanhope. Framework for analysing risk and safety in clinical medicine. *British Medical Journal*, pages 1154–1157, 1998.
- [850] J. W. Vincoli. *Basic Guide to Accident Investigation and Loss Control*. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, USA, 1994.
- [851] Volpe Research Centre. Safety. Technical report, US Department of Transportation, Cambridge, MA, United States of America, 2001. <http://www.volpe.dot.gov/infosrc/journal/30th/safety.html>.
- [852] W.A. Wagenaar and J. Groeneweg. Accidents at sea : Multiple causes and impossible consequences. In E. Hollnagel, G. Mancini, and D.D. Woods, editors, *Cognitive Engineering In Complex Dynamic Worlds*, pages 133 – 144. Academic Press, London, United Kingdom, 1988.
- [853] W.A. Wagenaar, J. Groeneweg, P.T.W. Hudson, and J.T. Reason. Promoting safety in the oil industry. *Ergonomics*, 37(12):1999–2013, 1994.
- [854] B. Wallace, A. Ross, and J. Davies. Proposed root-case codings based on a new event causal framework: Interim report on results of inter-rater and intra-rater reliability. Technical report, Department of Applied Psychology, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK, 1999. Report for British Nuclear Fuels, Cited in [198].
- [855] Washington Metro Area Transit Authority. Metro reports a decline in customer injuries in the first quarter of fiscal year 2002. Technical report, WMATA, Washington DC, USA, February 2002. http://www.wmata.com/about/MET_NEWS/200202/pr_safety_performance.htm.
- [856] P. Wasielewski. Speed as a measure of driver risk: Observed speed versus driver and vehicle characteristics. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, pages 89–103, 1984.
- [857] R. Webb. Issues in rail reform. Technical Report Research Paper 14 1999-2000, Economics, Commerce and Industrial Relations Group, Parliament of Australia, Canberra, Australia, 2000. <http://www.aph.gov.au/library/pubs/rp/1999-2000/2000rp14.htm>.
- [858] M. Wellbank. An overview of knowledge acquisition methods. *Interacting with Computers*, 2(1):83–91, 1990.
- [859] G.L. Wells. Eye witness testimony. In A. E. Kazdin, editor, *Encyclopedia of Psychology*. American Psychological Association/Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1999.
- [860] G.L. Wells, R.C. Lindsay, and T.I. Ferguson. Accuracy, confidence and juror perception in eyewitness testimony. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, pages 440–448, 1979.
- [861] G.L. Wells, M. Small, S.J. Penrod, R.S. Malpass, S.M. Fulero, and C.A.E. Brimacombe. Eyewitness identification procedures: Recommendations for lineups and photospreads. *Law and Human Behaviour*, pages 603–647, 1998.

- [862] R. Westrum. Cultures with requisite imagination. In J. Wise, D. Hopkin, and P. Stager, editors, *Verification and Validation of Complex Systems: Human Factors Issues*, pages 401–416. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 1992.
- [863] C.D. Wickens. *Engineering Psychology and Human Performance*. Harper Collins, New York, NY, United States of America, 1992. Second Edition.
- [864] E. L. Wiener. Cockpit automation. In E. L. Wiener and D.C. Nagel, editors, *Human Factors in Aviation*, pages 433–461. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, United States of America, 1988.
- [865] G.J.S. Wilde. The theory of risk homeostasis: Implications for safety and health. *Risk Analysis*, 2:209–225, 1988.
- [866] J.A. Williamson, R.K. Webb, A. Sellen, W.B. Runciman, and J.H. Van der Walt. The Australian Incident Monitoring Study. human failure: an analysis of 2000 incident reports. *Anaesthesiology Intensive Care*, 21(5):678–683, 1993.
- [867] M. A. Wollerton. Last printing of user facility reporting bulletin. *FDA User Facility Reporting Bulletin*, 1997.
- [868] W.B.L. Wong, P.J. Sallis, and D. O’Hare. Information portrayal requirements: Experiences with the critical decision methodology. In H. Thimbleby, B. O’Conaill, and P. Thomas, editors, *People and Computers XII: Proceedings of HCI’97*, pages 397–415. Springer Verlag, London, United Kingdom, 1997.
- [869] R.H. Wood and R.W. Sweginnis. Analytical techniques for mid-air collision investigations. In P. d’Antonio and C. Ericson, editors, *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Systems Safety Conference*, pages 346–351, Unionville, VA, United States of America, 1998. Systems Safety Society.
- [870] K. Woodcock and A. Smiley. Developing simulated investigations for occupational accident investigation studies. Technical report, School of Occupational and Public Health, Ryerson University, Toronto, Canada, 2001.
- [871] D.D. Woods. Cognitive demands and activities in dynamic fault management. In N. Stanton, editor, *The Human factors of Alarm Design*, pages 63–92. Taylor and Francis, London, United Kingdom, 1994.
- [872] World Health Organisation. *Health and Environment in Sustainable Development: Five Years after the Earth Summit*. WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 1997. http://www.who.int/environmental_information/Information_resources/htmdocs/execsum.htm.
- [873] World Health Organisation. Occupational health: Ethically correct, economically sound. *WHO Fact Sheets*, 84, 1999. <http://www.who.int/inf-fs/en/fact084.html>.
- [874] L. Wright. Towards an empirical test of the iceberg model. In P.C. Cacciabue, editor, *Human Decision Making and Manual Control: EAM2000*, EUR 19599 EN, pages 145–152. European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy, 2000.
- [875] P. Wright and A. Lickorish. Proof reading texts on screen and paper. *Behaviour and Information Technology*, 2(3):227–235, 1983.
- [876] P.C. Wright and A.F. Monk. A cost-effective evaluation method for use by designers. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 35(6):891–912, 1991.
- [877] A.W. Wu. Medical error: the second victim. *British Medical Journal*, 320(7237):726–727, 2000.
- [878] N. Yoshimura and P. Anderson. *Inside the Kaisha : Demystifying Japanese Business Behaviour*. Harvard Business School Press, Harvard, MA, USA, 1997.

- [879] H. Zerkani and R. Dumolo. System safety lifecycle based on IEC61508 and its use for railway applications. In P. d'Antonio and C. Ericson, editors, *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Systems Safety Conference*, pages 369–379. Systems Safety Society, Unionville, VA, United States of America, 1998.
- [880] M. Zviran and W. Haga. A comparison of password techniques for multilevel authentication systems. *Computer Journal*, 36(3):227–237, 1993.

Index

- AAIB, 159, 198, 199, 208, 231, 643
Accessibility Act (US), 751
Accident Analysis Framework, 405
Administrative Decisions Legislation Amendment Act (New South Wales, Australia), 804
Adverse Incident Tracking System, *see* AITS
AIMS, 39, 141, 735, 739–741, 745–747, 749, 752, 773, 775, 816
Air Accidents Investigation Branch, *see* AAIB
AITS, 745
Anaesthesia, 902
APSF, 740, 749, 752, 773, 774, 816
ASRS, xi, 26–28, 35–37, 39, 41, 62, 82, 93, 99, 103–105, 123, 124, 131–133, 136, 138–145, 159, 164, 206, 207, 209, 345, 347, 478, 530, 533, 550, 553, 753, 771, 816, 913–916
ATSB, 49, 75, 89, 152, 347, 627, 649–653, 704, 705, 707, 804, 837, 840, 893, 903
Australian Army, 612, 613
Australian Incident Monitoring System, *see* AIMS
Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 732, 812
Australian Marine Incident Investigation Unit, 69, 256
Australian Maritime Safety Authority, 49, 669, 670, 674
Australian Ministry of Defence, 560, 612
Australian National Occupational Health and Safety Commission, 6, 7
Australian Patient Safety Foundation, *see* APSF
Australian Reef Reporting System, 641
Australian Transportation Safety Bureau, *see* ATSB
Aviation Safety Action Programme, 30
Aviation Safety Reporting System, *see* ASRS
Aviation Safety Research Act (US), 260
Barrier Analysis, 353, 358–360, 362–364, 367–373, 377, 378, 386, 389–391, 393, 395, 415, 416, 422, 424, 425, 437, 438, 445, 454, 457, 461, 468, 493, 538, 544, 570, 571, 591–595, 603
validation, 528
barriers, 3, 22, 58, 66, 68, 188, 342, 359, 360, 362, 364, 369, 391, 397, 399, 402, 422, 441, 445, 453, 457, 459–461, 463, 465, 467, 479, 482, 484, 486, 494, 504, 507, 509, 511, 515, 516, 524, 529, 571, 591, 596, 623, 637, 640, 644, 646–648, 650, 896, 897, 900, 901, 918
and recommendations, 593
failure modes, 360
types of, 359, 593
Bayesian Analysis, 341, 503, 507–512, 521, 523, 526, 538, 830
Bayesian Networks, 511, 512
Conditional Probabilities, 511, 512
limitations of, 513
bias, 37, 63, 97, 133, 145, 162–164, 168, *see* witness, 195, 207, 276, 346, 385, 445, 515, 521, 528, 535, 644, 680, 701, 878, 890, 894, 899
analytical bias, 904–906, 919
author bias, 519, 539
checklist bias, 479, 583
confidence bias, 168, 169, 171, 172, 180, 539
confirmation bias, 71, 92, 189, 539, 905
frequency bias, 539, 836, 838, 905
group bias, 59, 559
guilt, 144, 161
hindsight bias, 418, 539
implicit bias, 189
interpretation bias, 153
introduced by analysis, 165, 261, 417, 422, 447, 479, 481
judgement bias, 154, 539
political bias, 282, 457, 539, 905
professional bias, 539, 905
recency bias, 71, 539, 836, 838
recognition bias, 154, 539, 905
regional bias, 38, 41
reporting bias, 113–115, 118, 119, 147, 599, 720, 735, 811, 902–903, 919
rhetoric, *see* rhetoric
salience bias, 342

- salience bias, 386
- simulation bias, 231, 232, 238, 239, 250, 251
- sponsor bias, 539, 905
- tracking, 802, 838
- weapon bias, 539, 545
- Black boxes, *see* Data recorders
- CAA, 16, 27, 132, 193, 786, 852
- CAD, *see* visualisation
- CAE diagrams, 310–316, 319, 320, 546
- CAIRS, 31, 38, 134, 816
- Canadian Coast Guard, 672
- Canadian National Defence
 - General Accident Information System, 548
 - Safety Digest, 548, 550, 553, 563–565, 902, 910
- Canadian Transportation Safety Board, 803, 812
- Case-based reasoning, 56, 126, 775, 786–789, 791, 793–796, 799, 801, 913
- Causal trees, 469, 471, 478, 502, 523, 530, 532–535, 537, 538
 - validation, 531, 533
- causation
 - and recommendations, 545
 - Bayesian analysis, *see* Bayesian Analysis
 - causal asymmetry, 500, 502, 503, 524, 593, 603, 666, 699, 787, 870, 875, 897, 906, 909, 919, 920
 - causal complex, 186–188, 207, 210, 344–345, 386, 398, 402, 441, 604, 906, 909, 918, 920
 - causal fields, 186, 207, 210, 218, 385, 386, 906, 919
 - causal independence, 188
 - contextual factors, 104, 200, 210, 215, 219, 284, 333, 335, 342, 346, 445, 469, 545, 551–554, 643, 644, 650, 801
 - definition, 187, 333
 - contributory factors, 3, 24, 32, 50, 79, 148, 163, 186–188, 200, 210, 215, 219, 272, 282, 284, 333–335, 342, 346, 365, 371, 372, 399, 507, 545, 569, 608, 613, 628, 657, 663, 811, 875
 - definition, 188, 333
 - counterfactual reasoning, *see* counterfactual reasoning
 - distal factors, 24, 25, 50, 52, 53, 64, 187, 215, 239, 266, 321, 334, 339, 344, 363, 393, 440, 545, 663, 664, 699, 709, 710, 713
 - exacerbating factor, 221, 252, 666
 - definition, 333
 - general causes, 187, 207, 210, 220, 335, 407, 417, 469, 523, 545, 918, 921
 - definition, 386
 - genotypes, 50–53, 58, 60, 62, 76, 77
 - initial reports, 142–143
 - particular causes, 210, 333, 335, 386, 453, 469, 500, 503, 523, 545, 604, 660, 664
 - definition, 386
 - phenotypes, 50, 52, 76
 - presentation issues, 664–667
 - proximal factors, 24, 50, 52, 53, 64, 187, 215, 239, 266, 281, 321, 335, 339, 344, 440, 545, 662, 664, 699, 710, 713
 - root causes, 15, 24, 26, 27, 40, 101, 143, 182–185, 187–189, 200–206, 210, 215, 216, 219, 223, 271, 282, 284, 333–335, 339, 341–346, 348, 353, 358, 359, 363, 365, 371, 372, 386, 391, 404–405, 407, 409–417, 419–423, 437, 453, 466, 468, 469, 471, 473, 476, 478, 479, 485–487, 514, 516, 517, 522, 523, 525, 530, 531, 533, 535, 537, 545, 563, 581–583, 585, 593, 656, 737, 801, 807, 834, 836, 846, 860–863, 865, 905, 907, 916
 - definition, 188, 333
 - singular causes, 186–188, 207, 210, 333, 342, 386, 398, 407, 469, 604, 918, 921
 - stochastic analysis, 4, 53, 57, 69, 249, 251, 272, 285, 501–513, 518, 545
- Cause-context summaries, 402, 404, 406, 407, 409, 411
- CD-ROM, 730, 740–744, 797
- Central Cardiac Audit Database, 749, 751
- chain of events, 202, 353, 391, 395, 469, 488, 518, 563, 656, 657
- Change Analysis, 353, 371–373, 375, 376, 378–380, 382, 383, 385, 386, 388, 391, 393, 415, 418, 422, 430, 437, 454
- Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigation Board (US), 47, 73, 133, 189, 191, 722, 840
- CHIRP, 27, 29, 34, 131, 132, 816, 915, 916
- CIRAS, 24, 31, 38, 102, 105, 121, 148, 150, 164, 724, 736, 802, 808, 819, 821–823, 832, 834, 843, 846, 849, 853, 857, 859, 883, 918
- CIRS, 31, 71, 104, 138–145, 149, 176, 202, 203, 778
- Civil Aeronautics Act (US), 47
- Civil Aviation Authority, *see* CAA
- Clean Air Act (US), 47
- Coast Guard (US), 191
- Cockpit Voice Recorders, *see* Data recorders
- coding, 905

- Computerised Accident Incident Reporting System, *see* CAIRS
- Conclusion, Analysis and Evidence diagrams, *see* CAE diagrams
- Confidential Human Factors Incident Reporting Programme, *see* CHIRP
- Confidential Incident Reporting and Analysis System, *see* CIRAS
- controls, 10, 188, 359, 455, 457, 460, 462, 463, 566, 596, 597, 603
 - and barriers, 359, 459
 - and MORT, 462, 463
 - and risk assessment, 603
- Cooperative Complianace Program, *see* OSHA
- counterfactual reasoning, 187, 310, 342, 363, 391, 397, 398, 663, 666, 825, 909
 - and causation, 342, 389, 397
 - and recommendations, 611
 - by proxy, 472
 - contrastive explanation, 491
 - counterfactual disjunctions, 390
 - in WBA, 494
 - Lewis on cause, 185, 204, 205, 344, 407, 688
 - limitations, 386, 390, 501, 502
- Crew resource Management, *see* CRM
- CRM, 24, 82–85, 150, 151, 585, 786
- cryptography, 740, 748–750
 - digital signatures, 750
 - electronic signatures, 750
 - electronic watermarks, 750
 - PGP, 749
 - private key, 749, 797
 - public key, 749, 750, 797
 - S/MIME, 749
 - Secure Socket Layer (SSL), 749
- CVR, *see* Data recorders
- Data recorders, 90, 113–114, 125, 149, 157–161, 194, 224, 235, 236, 249, 251, 449, 689
 - Air Data Acquisition Systems, 126
 - Air Traffic Control requirements, 157
 - audio recordings, 166, 349, 869
 - cameras, 145
 - Cockpit voice recorders, 34, 80, 82, 125, 126, 158, 160, 179, 184, 198, 235, 247, 251, 303, 709
 - custody battles, 159
 - Digital flight data recorders, 125, 198
 - disclosure rules, 102, 160
 - Flight Data Monitoring programmes, 199
 - Flight data recorders, 30, 198
 - ICAO requirements, 157
 - limitations, 157–159, 197–200, 246
 - maritime systems, 194, 642
 - Quick Access Recorders, 199, 235
 - traffic studies, 872, 895
 - train systems, 102, 121, 157, 158, 199, 200
 - Universal Flight Data Recorder, 198, 199
 - video recordings, 125–126, 158, 161, 166, 223, 235, 248, 349, 564, 867, 869, 895, 897, 899, 900
 - Voyage Data Recorders, 194, 197
 - watchman monitors, 195
- databases, 19, 31, 42, 101, 114, 133, 200, 209, 343, 389, 468, 469, 523, 547, 567, 568, 619, 630, 632, 667, 668, 735, 740, 741, 744–746, 748, 752–754, 757, 758, 761, 762, 765–767, 769, 771, 785, 786, 795, 801, 806, 808, 812, 912
 - accessibility, 751
 - benefits, 735, 752
 - coding schemes, 771, 772
 - coding systems, 762
 - dynamic querying, *see* Dynamic Querying
 - limitations, 752–754, 759, 760, 771, 905
 - monitoring, 673, 842, 880
 - private, 745, 746
 - public access, 745
 - queries, 775
 - query paradox, 769
 - relational systems, 126, 754, 768, 769, 779, 781, 797, 799, 838, 882, 892, 911–913, 920
 - benefits, 754, 764
 - limitations, 756, 760, 765, 798
 - scale, 752, 753
 - schemas, 756, 766, 785
 - security, 105, 747
 - SQL, *see* SQL
 - visualisation, 882, 883
- decision theory, 510
- Decision trees, 791, 828
- Department of Justice (US)
 - guidelines on witness statements, 162
- depression, 65, 877
- digital signatures, *see* cryptography
- domino theory, 466, 655
- ECF analysis, 389, 391–398, 438, 523–525, 537, 569, 640, 641, 647, 661, 664
 - benefits, 393
 - counterfactual reasoning, 389–391, 393, 395, 397, 422
 - heuristics, 404
 - limitations, 389, 390, 402

- with Cause-Context Summaries, 398–402
- with Non-compliance analysis, 416, 417
- with Tier analysis, 405–407, 414, 415
- ECF charts, 353, 355, 358, 414, 415, 422, 437–439, 442, 445, 447, 454, 464, 468, 469, 471, 477, 479, 483–486, 494, 501, 516, 521, 523, 569, 640, 661
- benefits, 445
- components, 354
- interim analysis, 355
- limitations, 366, 377, 380, 382, 385, 386, 402, 422, 438
- modelling causation, 385
- symbols, 354, 378, 380, 385
- with Barrier Analysis, 359, 361, 363, 364, 366–369, 371
- with Change Analysis, 371, 372, 376, 378, 380, 382, 385, 388, 426–428
- Eindhoven Classification Model, 473, 474, 476–479, 516, 523, 530–535, 538, 773, 794, 795
- Rasmussen's SKR model, 473
- Electronic mail, 31, 739–740, 746, 773, 797
 - contributory factor, 362, 368
 - limitations, 572
 - report dissemination, 723, 726, 727
 - security, 140, 749
- electronic watermarks, *see* cryptography
- encryption, *see* cryptography
- ESA Alert System, 174
- EUROCONTROL, 14, 24, 35, 43, 75, 157, 255, 550, 635, 875, 879
- European Air Traffic Management Organisation, *see* EUROCONTROL
- European Space Agency Alert System, *see* ESA Alert System
- European Turbulent Wake Incident Reporting System, 158
- Event trees, 828
- Events and Causal Factor Charts, *see* ECF charts
- Events and Causal factors Analysis, *see* ECF analysis
- evidence, 47, 90, 92, 102, 115, 121, 169, 182, 263, 339, 341, 447, 450, 452, 576, 611, 627, 634, 636, 638, 698, 817, 825, 831, 850, 909
 - acquisition, 157–173
 - ambiguity, 168, 222, 329, 332, 348
 - Bayesian Analysis, *see* Bayesian Analysis
 - CAE diagrams, *see* CAE diagrams
 - chain of evidence, 159, 160
 - checklists, 157
 - circumstantial, 449, 658
 - collection, 184–210
 - collection bias, 189, 281, 282, 341, 342, 665, 699, 850
 - contradictory, 71, 77, 172, 191, 219, 224, 328, 330–332, 665, 666, 679, 694, 703, 712, 909
 - corroboration, 149, 170, 302, 305, 614
 - documentary, 203, 454
 - falsification, 355
 - for grounding, 80
 - format, 388
 - generated by reporting, 907
 - hearsay, 162, 167, 168, 190
 - identifying missing evidence, 157, 184, 218, 219, 331, 342, 441, 445, 454, 491, 509, 612, 614, 665, 702
 - inferences, 169, 206, 494, 688, 714
 - inquiry by evidence, 658
 - interpretation, 181
 - interviews, *see* interviews
 - lack of, 79, 80, 169, 214, 222, 224, 226, 271, 328–330, 459, 478, 479, 483, 535, 692, 714, 795, 836
 - new, 185, 215, 222, 559, 562
 - of analytical rigour, 23, 348, 450, 664, 665, 697
 - of intention, 349, 665, 666
 - photographic, 159, 170, 232, 256, 705
 - physical evidence, 112, 154, 159, 170, 181, 200, 213, 224, 295, 313, 328, 658, 689
 - Police and Criminal Evidence Act (UK), 160
 - presentation issues, 185, 225, 545, 687, 689, 697, 699, 700
 - problems of partial, 345, 346, 348, 762, 910
 - protection, 154, 157–160, 183, 203, 232
 - Safety Cases, *see* Safety Cases
 - scientific evidence, 727
 - simulation, 239, 245, 256
 - statistics, 195, 509, 687, 807, 861
 - supporting analysis, 312, 313
 - supporting argument, 313
 - supporting conclusions, 348
 - timings, 215, 230, 323, 326, 328, 329, 703
 - Toulmin, *see* Toulmin
 - witness statements, *see* witness
- FAA, 29, 30, 33, 35–37, 39, 46–48, 53, 62, 65, 82, 83, 93, 103, 105, 123, 136, 138, 139, 141, 143, 208, 224, 235, 260, 608, 753, 902
- Factory Act (Singapore), 13

- Failure Modes, Effects and Criticality Analysis, *see* FMECA
- Failure Reporting, Analysis and Corrective Actions (FRACAS), 86
- Fairchild decision, UK Court of Appeal, 875
- False Memory Syndrome, 196, 214
- Fault trees, 266, 269, 271, 272, 279–281, 283, 284, 291, 314, 322, 324, 333, 335, 339, 359, 365, 375, 379, 380, 422, 438, 454, 469, 518, 527–529, 538, 656, 664, 710
- different to causal trees, 469
 - editors, 340
 - implemented as image maps, 710
 - limitations, 281, 284
 - minimal cut set, 270
 - reliability, 529
 - trigger events, 272
 - validation, 528, 529
- fax machines, 701, 719, 734–735, 797, 814, 868
- for incident feedback, 317
- FDA, 41, 103, 105, 155, 173, 175–179, 207, 717, 719–722, 724–731, 733, 734, 736, 744–747, 750, 752, 753, 756–759, 761, 762, 764, 769, 773, 774, 776, 778, 779, 781, 794, 796, 798, 799, 811, 867, 877, 897, 902, 911, 912
- Adverse Event Reporting System, 752
 - Drug Quality Reporting System, 753
 - MAUDE, *see* MAUDE
 - Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting, 753
 - Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System, 753
- FDA Modernisation Act (US), 720
- Federal Aviation Administration, *see* FAA
- Federal False Claims Act (US), 12
- Federal Railroad Administration, *see* FRA
- Federal Railroad Safety Enhancement Act (US), 846
- Flight Operations Quality Assurance programmes, 30, 199, 200
- FMECA, 380
- Food and Drugs Administration, *see* FDA
- Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (US), 178
- formal methods, *see* logic, *see* Petri Nets
- FRA, 95, 197, 201, 204, 803, 807, 818, 820–822, 824, 825, 832, 834, 835, 839, 841, 846, 852, 854, 855, 857, 858, 875, 895, 897, 900, 904–906
- Highway-Rail Crossing Web Accident Prediction System, 818
- Freedom of Information Act (US), 808
- fundamental attribution error, 189, 575
- GAIN, 29, 30, 41, 103, 725, 740, 771, 785, 902, 907
- GEMS, 74, 75
- Generic Error Modelling, *see* GEMS
- Generic Occurrence Classification, 740, 774
- German Air Traffic Control, (Deutschen Flugsicherung GmbH), 42
- Global Aviation Information Network, *see* GAIN
- Hawthorne effect, 114, 635, 868, 889, 899
- HAZOPS, 599
- Health and Safety at Work Act (UK), 14
- Health and Safety Commission, *see* HSC
- Health and Safety Executive, *see* HSE
- Her Majesty's Railways Inspectorate, *see* HMRI
- HMRI, 45, 121, 122, 183, 184, 343, 348, 805, 808, 810, 822, 824, 835, 836, 838, 842, 843, 852, 853, 860–866, 892, 893, 903, 905, 908, 912, 913, 916
- Hong Kong Marine Accident Investigation Section, 675
- Hong Kong Marine Department, 631, 639, 701
- HSC, 13, 34, 102, 859
- HSE, xi, 7, 8, 13, 14, 19, 24, 34, 47, 50, 52, 102, 186, 188, 189, 343, 805, 806, 819, 832, 834, 852, 857, 858, 864, 876–879, 883, 907
- human error, 4, 40, 45, 67, 101, *see* situation
- awareness, 116, 118, 139, 140, 150, 151, 179, 220, 281, 346, 347, 349, 402, 409, 454, 480, 482, 529, 544, 558, 578, 658, 660, 661, 714, 728, 788, 789, 791, 794, 847, 861, 908, 916, 920
 - 'no blame' reporting, 122, 473, 658, 665, 903
 - 'perfective' reporting, 34, 118, 122, 571–576, 658, 660, 665
 - anticipation, 73
 - blame cycle, 575
 - design error, 269, 912
 - distraction, 65, 76, 82, 85, 123, 128, 132, 252, 563, 585, 602, 839, 848, 900
 - driver error, 607, 819, 864, 872
 - drugs and alcohol, 35, 64, 94, 252, 581, 589, 642, 666, 837
 - encysting, 75, 259
 - error classification, 22, 97, 458–459, 491, 607, 771, 772, 780
 - error in incident analysis, 298, 310, 395, 397, *see* bias, 491, 512, 526, 615, 620, 838, 909
 - error in incident reporting, 226, 773
 - error in incident retrieval, 736, 785

- error in knowledge-based performance, 75
- error in reading reports, 707, 713
- error in teams, 76–85, 126
- error inducing environments, 27, 188, 252, 623
- error logs, 114, 122, 126
- errors in rule-based performance, 75
- errors of commission, 75, 335, 457, 544, 576, 607, 695
- errors of omission, 75, 335, 397, 445, 488, 544, 576, 607, 695, 709
- fatigue, 64, 65, 72, 76, 94, 138, 247, 252, 581, 589, 590, 785, 823, 837, 869, 891, 905, 909
- frequency estimates, 64, 115, 126, 700, 855, 905, 914
- habituation error, 590
- human reliability analysis, *see* human reliability analysis
- impact of training, 1, 581, 847
- individual characteristics, 64–73
- lapse, 73–76, 86, 113, 146, 322, 525, 530, 665
- medication error, 34, 101, 117, 152, 203, 753, 772, 780
- misjudgement, 836
- mistake, 73–76, 86, 322, 530, 608, 665
- overconfidence, 581, 589
- performance shaping factors, *see* performance shaping factors
- procedural errors, 16
- road rage, 563
- slip, 73–76, 86, 146, 322, 525, 665
- stress, 26, 65, 138, 602, 877
- thematic vagabonding, 75
- US Army reporting requirements, 544
- violations, *see* violation
- workload, *see* workload
- human reliability analysis, 276
- Hyperbaric Incident Monitoring Study, 752
- ICAO, 14, 16, 21, 23, 34, 39, 97, 100, 116, 135, 157–159, 173, 175, 343, 625, 626, 853
 - data recorder requirements, 157
- Iceberg model, 23, 24
- IEC 61508, 63
- Illinois' Whistle Blower Protection Act, 12
- IMO, 84, 155, 196, 625, 629, 634, 641–644, 647, 649, 652, 656–661, 664, 673, 676, 713, 725
- INES, 129, 135
- Institute of Medicine, 21, 42, 100, 101, 203, 712, 918
- institutional racism, 171
- International Civil Aviation Organisation, *see* ICAO
- International Maritime Organisation, *see* IMO
- International Nuclear Event Scale, *see* INES
- Internet, 31, 91, 120, 132, 136, 138, 149, 635, 668, 706, 730, 744–747, *see* cryptography, *see* Electronic mail, *see* World Wide Web, 756, 814, 815, 834
 - chat rooms, 796
 - US Air Force Automated Security Incident Measurement, 120
- Irish Railways (Iarnród Éireann), 844
- Japanese High Marine Accidents Inquiry Agency, 658, 661, 664, 673
- Japanese Maritime Accidents Inquiry Agency, 659
- Japanese Maritime Incident Reporting System, 658, 659
- Japanese Maritime Labour Research Institute, 918
- Japanese Maritime Safety Agency, 660
- Japanese Ministry of Public Welfare, 918
- Law Commission (UK), 190
- Lessons learned systems, 22, 99, 141, 344, 550, 551, 555, 557, 558, 634, 657, 668–671, 678, 706, 724, 809, 813, 828, 847, 858, 866, 901, 915, 918
 - ABCA Coalition Operations Lessons Learned Database, 553
 - Canadian Army's Lessons Learned Centre, 561, 562
 - Joint Center for Lessons Learned, 557
 - US Army Center for Engineer Lessons Learned, 557
 - US Army Contracting Lessons Learned Centre, 557
 - US Army Lessons Learned Information Warehouse, 551
 - US Army Medical Lessons Learned Unit, 557
- logic, 261, 297–314, 333, 615
 - benefits, 297
 - causal analysis, *see* WBA, 487–501
 - counterfactual reasoning, 494
 - limitations, 493, 494, 499–501
 - proof, 487, 488, 494–498
 - state predicates, 488
 - causal logic, 685
 - deontic logic, 490, 494
 - differences between causal and rhetorical analysis, 685
 - Explanatory Logic, 488, 490, 521, 523, 525

- First order logic, 340
- graphical representations, 271, 489
- incident modelling, 297–314
 - axioms, 299
 - CAE diagrams, *see* CAE diagrams
 - communication, 302
 - limitations, 310
 - proof, 303
 - sorts, 298
 - usability issues, 317–320
- modal logic, 272
- rhetorical analysis, 684
 - conclusion checking, 688
 - enthymeme, 684
 - evidence checking, 687
 - proof, 686–687
 - sylogism, 685
- temporal logic, 300–306, 315, 317, 328, 488
- tool support, 298, 322, 491
- Toulmin's critique, 688–695, 714
- Logs, *see* Data recorders

- Machinery and Occupational Safety Act (South Africa), 554
- Macpherson Inquiry, 171
- MAIB, 628, 641, 642, 652, 654, 655, 659, 668, 669, 671, 677, 703–707
- Major Hazard Incidents Data Service, *see* MHIDAS
- Management Oversight and Risk Trees, *see* MORT
- Manufacturer and User Facility Device Experience database, *see* MAUDE
- Marine Accident Investigation Branch, *see* MAIB
- MAUDE, 57
- MDA, 91, 112, 119, 150, 718–720, 722–726, 730–732, 734, 737, 744, 745, 785, 796
- Medical Devices Agency, *see* MDA
- MES, 438–450, 452–454, 460, 469, 471, 477, 479, 483–485, 501, 521, 524, 526, 537, 544, 867
 - causation, 441, 445
 - conditions, 440, 442
 - counterfactual reasoning, 445
 - event blocks, 440
 - flowchart, 440, 447
 - limitations, 445
 - notation, 439
 - P-Theory, 439, 442
- MHIDAS, 174, 175
- mitigating factors
 - initial reports, 143–144
- MORT, 455–469, 471, 473, 477–482, 486, 500, 514, 515, 518, 521, 522, 524, 537, 544, 576, 582, 640, 641, 661, 870
 - assumed risks, 455
 - Briscoe classification, 466
- Multilinear Events Sequencing, *see* MES

- NASA, xi, 11, 35, 36, 39, 57, 65, 82, 85, 93, 105, 136, 138, 139, 141, 164, 235, 243, 254, 342, 343, 345–352, 355, 361, 367, 372–379, 381–383, 385–389, 392, 393, 404, 405, 407–409, 411, 412, 414, 415, 417–423, 435, 437, 464, 478, 518, 526, 576, 608, 616, 753, 836, 873
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration, *see* NASA
- National Air Traffic Services, *see* NATS
- National Defence Act (Canada), 572
- National Defence Authorisation Act (US), 566
- National Patient Safety Agency, *see* NPSA
- National Patient Safety Database, 101
- National Patient Safety Foundation, *see* NPSF
- National Transportation Safety Board, *see* NTSB
- NATO, 555, 561
- NATS, 42, 807
- New Zealand Department of Labour, 163
- New Zealand Transport Accident Investigation Commission, 632, 673, 674, 801, 805
- NPSA, 816
- NPSF, 455, 771, 903
- NTSB, 1, 47–49, 57, 70, 125, 145, 191, 192, 199, 200, 207, 209, 210, 215–217, 219–222, 224, 225, 235, 237–239, 245, 247, 248, 257, 259, 261–264, 271, 277, 278, 280–283, 287, 292, 293, 295, 298–301, 303, 305–313, 322, 323, 325, 326, 328, 332, 333, 335, 339, 342, 345, 347, 518, 659, 667, 672, 673, 675, 684, 708, 740, 786, 812, 836–841, 846, 852, 905, 906, 909, 914
 - Academy, 837–838
 - ASRS, 36
 - biomechanical models, 249
 - budget, 852
 - counterfactual argument, 221, 312, 909
 - different approach to OSHA, 339
 - drug and alcohol testing, 841
 - exclusions, 666
 - Go Team, 836, 838
 - incident coding concerns, 905
 - interaction with other US agencies, 47, 262, 263, 905, 906
 - party system, 48, 518, 836–837

- rail data recorders, 195, 198
 - Rand report, 48, 57, 213, 220, 258, 512, 712
 - recommendations, 84, 675, 839, 840
 - interim measures, 667
 - status, 841
 - report ambiguity, 225
 - report format, 257, 708
 - simulation and reconstruction, 237, 238, 245–247, 258
 - special investigations, 208
 - support cockpit video recorders, 125
 - systems approach, 334
 - wake vortex tests, 235
 - We Are All Safer, 839, 842
- Occupational Health and Safety Act (South Africa), 554
- Occupational Safety and Health Act (US), 47, 168
- Occupational Safety and Health Administration, *see* OSHA
- Occupational Safety and Health Review Commission (US), 169
- OSHA, 2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 47, 67, 92, 100, 134, 161, 163, 166–168, 170, 192, 193, 266, 275, 276, 281, 285, 292, 324, 333, 334, 339, 372, 518
- Cooperative Compliance Programme, 14, 100
- P-Theory, 439, *see* MES, *see* STEP, 440, 442, 447, 448, 453, 521, 647
- Patient Safety and Errors Reduction Act (US), 101, 102
- perfectability, 571–576, 605, 607, 623, 915
- performance shaping factors, 64–73, 153, 252, 502, 574, 575, 582, 907, 916
- Petri Nets, 261, 284–298, 317, 326, 327, 331, 333, 340, 359, 438
 - ambiguity and uncertainty, 330
 - annotations, 332
 - construction, 285
 - modelling concurrency, 287
 - modelling dynamic systems, 287
 - modelling interactive systems, 285, 293, 295
 - non-determinism, 291, 292
 - real time variants, 285
 - reasoning, 318
 - relationship to Fault Trees, 319
 - syntax and semantics, 286
 - tool support, 321, 322
- Perturbation Theory, *see* P-Theory
- physiology, 3, *see* slips, trips and falls, 66–67, 70, 72, 77, 79, 86, 98, 491, 581, 582, 707
- carpal tunnel syndrome, 67
- coronary heart disease, 3
- hypertension, 3
- upper limb disorders, 67
- Police and Criminal Evidence Act (UK), 160
- Police Complaints Authority (UK), 171
- pollution, 155, 642, 676
- Postal service, 858
 - legal constraints, 159
 - limitations, 734
 - report dissemination, 557, 729–731
 - strengths, 737
- precautionary principle, 78, 156, 543, 622
- Prevention and Recovery Information System for Monitoring and Analysis, *see* PRISMA
- PRISMA, 469–479, 482, 485–487, 516–518, 522–524, 527, 530, 531, 533, 535, 537, 538, 544, 584, 739, 867
- causal trees, 469–471, 473
 - different to fault trees, 469
 - disjunctions and uncertainty, 472
- classification model, 469, *see* Eindhoven Classification Model, 471–476
- classification/action matrix, 469, 476–478, 584
 - medical example, 477
- counterfactual reasoning, 472
- counterfactual reasoning by proxy, 472
- limitations, 478
- mitigating factors, 471
- Public Interest Disclosure Act (UK), 904
- Rail Safety Act (New South Wales, Australia), 804
- Rail Safety Act (Western Australia), 804
- Railway Safety Act (Canada), 803
- Rand report, *see* NTSB
- reconstruction, 16, 56, 110, 111, 149, 185, 193, 194, 213–227, 230–234, 252, 342, 347, 348, 358, 359, 441, 520, 528, 544, 626, 641–646, 650, 659, 662, 663, 665, 674, 677, 679, 680, 683, 701, 713, 797, 867
 - and causal analysis, 281, 297
 - for training, 220, 221
 - incident modelling, 261–340
 - physical reconstructions, 213, 214, 235–236, 867
 - post-event biases, 169, 170, 196, 214, 287
 - presentation in incident reports, 627, 628, 636–645, 647–649, 651

- simulations, *see* simulations
- summaries, 652–655
- uncertainty, 219, 222, 224, 274, 276, 287, 292, 293, 295, 328–332
- virtual reconstructions, 214, 226, 228, 232, 236–241, 245–248
- Reportable Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences, *see* RIDDOR
- rhetoric, 348, 680–684, *see* logic, 686, 687, 698, *see* simulation, 717, 910, 911
- spatial rhetoric, 872, 873
- tropes, 680, 714, 910
 - amplification, 681
 - anaphora, 681, 910
 - antithesis, 682, 910
 - asyndeton, 682
 - conduplicato, 682
 - dizeugma, 683
 - enthymeme, 684
 - expletive, 683
 - procatalepsis, 684
- RIDDOR, xi, 13, 34, 66, 913
- risks, 11, 26, 181, 206, 221, 284, 360, 523, 529, 550, 603, 663, 834, 917
 - assumed risks, 455, 458, 477
 - consequence assessment, 204, 598, 600, 602, 603, 632, 653, 831, 865
 - decision theory, *see* decision theory
 - from precipitate actions, 616, 632
 - likelihood assessment, 598–600, 603
 - likelihood of injury and disease, 6–9
 - Management Oversight and Risk Trees, *see* MORT
 - of future incidents, 34, 35, 156, 173, 177, 526, 559, 602, 603, 722, 735, 826–832, 863, 864
 - of reconstruction, 235, 236
 - precursor models, 828–831
 - probabilistic risk assessment, 53, 502
 - residual risk, 604, 624
 - risk analysis, 19, 60, 184, 324, 343, 345, 383, 385, 388, 412, 413, 415, 420, 455, 458, 463, 465, 566, 570–571, 596–605, 611, 612, 638, 827, 834, 865, 897, 907–908, 919
 - risk analysis matrix, 600, 640
 - using reporting data, 41, 52, 108, 155, 521, 526, 597, 598, 603, 624, 735, 813, 818, 826–832, 908
 - risk avoiding behaviour, 85
 - risk classification, 181, 466, 689, 700, 705, 733, 824, 834, 863
 - risk exposure, 23, 36, 37, 192, 598, 803, 818, 874
 - risk homeostasis, 542, 547, 563
 - risk management, 50, 91, 361, 374, 375, 378–383, 408, 411, 455, 458, 466, 519, 520, 531, 534, 556–558, 563, 597–599, 604, 625, 724, 794, 815, 827, 828, 831, 835, 844, 845, 848, 854, 867, 911, 914, 915
 - Transport Canada’s risk management process, 828
 - US Army 5 stage model, 597
 - risk perception, 37, 66, 69, 72, 477, 510, 513, 521, 551, 563, 575, 784, 846, 872, 894–895
 - group attitudes, 77–79
 - risk reduction, 520, 597, 604, 831, 864, 865, 895
 - risk signature, 379, 677
 - risk taking behaviour, 11, 17, 66–67, 72, 122, 629, 872
 - risky shift, 77
 - safety monitoring, 811, 813
 - security risks, 749
 - Robens Report, 14
 - Royal Commission on Criminal Justice (UK), 190
 - Safe Medical Devices Act (US), 116, 752
 - Sequentially Timed and Events Plotting, *see* STEP
 - Shift patterns, 128
 - simulations, 10, 11, 64, 213–260, 276, 329, 342, 347, 359, 442, 502, 519, 545, 711
 - animated simulations, 234–241
 - biomechanical models, 247, 248
 - court room applications, 711
 - declarative simulations, 228–234
 - finite element analysis, 897
 - from event recorders, 196, 200, 247
 - hybrid simulations, 254–257
 - limitations, 61, 64, 84, 153, 248, 251–254
 - Monte Carlo techniques, 86, 249–251, 276
 - multi-user simulation, 251–254
 - NASA APEX project, 254
 - of human error, 276
 - presenting accident reports, 708–709
 - reconstructions, *see* reconstruction
 - rhetorical effects, 711
 - simulation bias, *see* bias
 - subjunctive simulations, 242–254
 - US Department of Labour’s Mine Simulation Laboratory, 235
 - US National Crash Analysis Centre, 256
 - US National Simulation Capability Program, 260

- using Fault Trees, 277, 278
- Singapore Army, 549
- situation awareness, 77, 82–85, 126, 146, 153–154, 179, 541, 542, 546, 552, 772
- Skills, Knowledge, Rules, 75
- slips, trips and falls, 66, 188, 563
- SQL, 883
- STEP, 441, 447–454, 460, 468, 469, 471, 477, 479, 482, 485, 486, 501, 503, 514, 521, 524, 526–529, 537, 538, 544
 - cards, 447, 449, 450
 - determinism, 450
 - limitations, 452–454
 - matrix, 447–449
 - no conditions, 448, 450
 - P-Theory, 448, 453
 - supports investigation, 449
- stress, *see* human error, 3, 65, 99, 138, 167, 245, 252, 328, 416, 869
 - stress counselling, 94, 163
- Surface Transportation Assistance Act (US), 12
- Swedish Air Traffic Control (Luftfartsverket Flygtrafikj nsten), 18, 30, 31, 35, 38, 96, 107, 736
- Swedish Board of Accident Investigation (Statens haverikommission), 658, 661, 664, 665, 673, 677
- Swedish Civil Aviation Administration, 17
- Swedish Maritime Agency, 916
- telephones, 31, 104, 105, 150, 175–177, 222, 232, 701, 734–736, 773, 797, 814, 867, 868
 - involved in incidents, 900
 - telephones in incidents, 274, 300, 301, 305, 309
- terrorism, 560
- time-lines, 230, 231, 254, 255, 259, 261, 274, 281, 291, 293, 301, 305, 315, 329, 333, 340, 359, 388, 422, 642, 645, 646, 650, 651, 884
 - ‘God’s eye view’, 231
 - continuous changes, 330
 - granularity of events, 266, 440, 645
 - graphical form, 645
 - graphical forms, 262–266
 - implemented using virtual reality, 239–240, 710–712
 - imprecise timings and lack of evidence, 329
 - in MES, 439, 440, 442, 445
 - in STEP, 453, 454
 - in Tripod-Beta, 485
 - informal annotations, 328
 - location information, 230
 - presentation of reports, 710–713
 - spatial layout, 262–264, 266, 328
 - synchronisation, 285
 - temporal ambiguity, 222
 - textual format, 222–223, 226, 234
 - usability issues, 315
- Toulmin’s argumentation, 688–697
 - domain-dependent discourse, 689
- Transport (Rail Safety) Act (Victoria, Australia), 804
- Transport Canada, 154, 682, 684–686, 813, 826, 828, 831, 835, 845, 847, 854
 - guidance on risk management, 828
- Transportation Safety Board of Canada, 22, 78, 461, 465, 471, 476, 496, 499, 643, 644, 646, 672, 678, 681, 691, 707
- Tripod, 479–487, 516, 521, 524, 537, 544, 867, 907
 - event analysis diagrams, 487
 - General Failure Types, 479, 480
 - Functional Failures, 481
 - Source Failures, 481
 - preconditions, 482–484
 - software support, 481, 486
 - Tripod-Beta, 479, 482, 484
 - defences, 484
 - preconditions, 486
 - strengths, 485
 - Tripod-Delta, 479, 481, 482
- under reporting, 113, 116, 118, 150, 877
- US Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research’s Error and Accidents Reporting System, 752, 753
- US Defence Messaging System, 618
- USS Cole, 560
- Utah Public Officers and Employees’ Ethics Act, 12
- violation, 4, 11, 16, 18, 21, 27, 67, 73, 75, 76, 86, 122, 349, 521, 525, 555, 574, 598, 621, 657, 658, 665, 679, 831, 872, 897
 - air proximity violation, 15, 17, 102, 131, 148, 201, 204, 635
 - attitude violation, 126
 - deliberate, 73
 - disregard, 581, 624, 836
 - human rights violation, 556
 - misunderstanding, 621
 - necessary violation, 73, 74
 - normal violations, 73, 74, 216, 373, 481, 522, 525, 563, 584, 682, 694, 845, 846, 897

- optimising violation, 73, 75
- speeding violation, 126, 195
- unintended violation, 73
- violation and blame, 30, 35, 570, 572, 575, 593, 595, 665
- violation and error, 73
- violation and safety culture, 570, 572, 584, 855
- violation model, 518
- violation of health and safety legislation, 9, 19, 34, 35, 168, 169, 525, 575, 656, 810, 831, 842, 908
- violation-inducing conditions, 481, 658
- workplace rule violation, 75, 502, 563, 575, 581, 607, 694, 823, 845, 846
- virtual reality, 214, *see* reconstructions, 238, 246, 260, 640
 - desktopVR, 232, 236, 239–242, 251, 257, 711–712, 742–744
 - QuicktimeVR, 232–234, 239, 241, 258, 260, 711
 - VRML, 236, 237, 258, 708–710, 712, 713
- immersive systems, 112, 226, 232
- visualisation, 200, 226, *see* simulations, *see* reconstruction, 238, 255, 260, *see* Fault trees, *see* time-lines, 707–713, *see* databases, 878–897
 - 3D cross referencing, 710
 - 3D object rotations, 711
 - 3D time lines, 240, 710, 712–713
 - Computer Aided Design, 242–245
 - data mining, 883, 892
 - dynamic querying, 884–887, 889
 - eccentric labelling, 887–888
 - empirical evaluation, 891, 893
 - evaluation heuristics, 889
 - graphs and charts, 878–882
 - imagemaps, 229–231, 711–713
 - limitations of visualisation, 711–712
 - maps and plans, 229–232
 - perspective wall, 241, 242
 - photorealistic models, 232–234
 - radar displays, 879–880, 882
 - visualising argument structures, 696–697
- WBA, 487–503, 507, 513, 518, 525, 527, 538, 543, 544, 681, 685, 907, 909
 - Explanatory logic, 488–500, 521, 523
 - Hausman’s causation, 500
 - Lewis’ causation, 185, 487, 491, 500, 685
 - Lewis’ contrastive explanations, 491
 - limitations, 494, 500
 - PARDIA, 491
 - Source Node Analysis, 499
 - tool support, 491
 - Why-Because graphs
 - analysis, 487, 493–500
 - construction, 487–491
- whistle blowing, 12, *see* Illinois’ Whistle Blower Protection Act, *see* Surface Transportation Assistance Act (US), *see* Utah Public Officers and Employees’ Ethics Act, 33–35, 49, 74, 146, 735, 846, *see* Federal Railroad Safety Enhancement Act (US), 848, 904, *see* Public Interest Disclosure Act (UK), 919
 - Mary Schiavo, 33
 - Paul van Buitenen, 33
 - Stephen Bolsin, 904
- Why Because Analysis, *see* WBA
- witness, 23, 37, 92, 164, 165, 245, 353, 626, 634
 - analytical bias, 144, 171, 180, 539
 - anonymity, 168, 869
 - corroboration, 195–196, 328, 330
 - culture, 170–173
 - difficulty predicting consequences, 17, 143
 - disagreement, 92, 161, 170, 190, 219, 224, 238, 245, 328, 339
 - expert witness, 169, 189–194, 211, 347, 622
 - guidelines, 190
 - eye-witness statements, 92, 146, 151, 156, 159, 161–162, 167, 168, 180, 219, 246, 249, 287, 298, 301, 325, 326, 328, 373, 689
 - Department of Justice guidelines, 170
 - general public, 90, 149
 - guilt, 144, 161
 - hearsay, *see* evidence
 - interpretation, 92, 168–170, 665, 869
 - lack of witnesses, 811, 813, 816, 869
 - legal issues, 167–168
 - liar paradox, 298
 - location, 93, 162, 219, 300, 452
 - Post-Traumatic Stress, 3
 - preliminary statements, 92, 162, 181, 182, 452
 - reliability, 92, 161, 168, 169, 172, 195, 341, 665, 666, 684
 - reporting bias, 92, 161, 168, 171, 539, 813, 896, 903, 918
 - Repressed Memory Syndrome, 170
 - triggering recollections, 214
 - triggering report, 19, 136, 138
 - violation, 18

- weapon focus, 168, 169, 539
- workload, 3, 28, 39, 65, 82–84, 94, 117, 118, 123, 124, 137, 152, 153, 156, 165, 181, 191, 193, 194, 213, 348, 378, 665, 774, 798, 837, 915, 918
- World Wide Web, 240, 257, 704, 710, 712, 715, 785, *see* visualisation
- accessibility issues, 751, 808
 - Accessibility Act (US), 751
- disseminating incident reports, 105, 136, 141, 229, 239, 620, 622, 630, 631, 704, 727, 733, 745, 912, 920
- disseminating reporting procedures, 176, 389, 803
- limitations, 707, 730–731
- retrieving incident reports, 754, 778, 784, 786, 799, 883
- security concerns, 32, 140, 144, 553, 729, *see* cryptography, 749
- SGML, 739
- submitting incident reports, 104, 141, 143, 745
- worst plausible outcome, 102, 204, 211, 221, 599, 600, 638, 663, 720, 754, 908, 920
- Youth Justice and Criminal Evidence Act (UK), 160