Ideally, all ISPs provide you with reachability to same Internet

In practice: Different ISPs can reach different portions of the Internet. In other words, there are some prefixes that can be reached via ISP A but cannot be reached by ISP B (a vice versa).

The reasons why this occur are well known: Bogon filtering causes reachability differences for newly allocated prefixes.

Bogon filtering matters, but the fact is that regional differences matter most and these differences may be political, economic, technical, or a combination of the above

Bogon filtering and regional issues matter, but the real difference is due to various customer only services.

Even if there are differences, there are very little (if any) “production” services on networks that are not globally reachable from most ISPs.
Our Objectives

1. Demonstrate reachability differences exist and quantify the extent of these differences.

2. Examine which conjectures (if any) provide a significant contribution to the differences.
Methodology

• Compare BGP Routing Tables from 25 ISPs
  – Data collected from Oregon RouteViews
  – All peers send full tables of over 310K prefixes
  – Studied from April 1 to April 20, 2010

• Study Uses ISP0 As a Central Point of View
  – ISP0 is a tier-1 ISP
  – Have additional data on ISP0 operations
  – Compare each of the other 24 ISPs to ISP0
Types of Table Differences

• **Exact Matches:** ISP0 prefix appears ISPX table
  – Ex: Both ISP0 and ISP2 have a route for 129.82/16

• **Covered Prefixes:** ISP0 prefix does not appear in ISPX, but ISPX can reach all IP addresses
  – Less Specific: 131.179.0/17 does not appear in ISPX, but 131.179/16 does appear in ISPX
  – More specifics: 131.179.0/17 does not appear in ISPX, but 131.179.0/18 and 131.179.64/18 appear in ISP X

• **Remainder represents potential reachability differences.**
98.5% of ISP0 prefixes also appear in ISPX

(not shown here, but 97.25% of ISPX prefixes also appear in ISP0)
On average, 3250 prefixes appeared in ISP0 tables, but did not appear in ISP22 tables.
Nearly all ISP0 prefixes that don’t appear in ISPX are covered by other table entries in ISPX.
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Our Objectives

1. Reachability differences do exist.
   - Small percentage (less than 3%) of total routes.
   - Can be up to 1000 prefixes and millions of IP addresses.

2. Examine which conjectures (if any) provide a significant contribution to the differences.
Transient Changes

• Short Lived BGP Table Differences
  – Fast learners: IPS0 learns the route first, eventually other ISPs catch up and also learn the route
  – Slow to react: ISPX learned the prefix is unreachable, but it takes longer for ISP0 to withdraw the route.

• Long Term BGP Table Differences.
  – For multiple days, ISP0 could reach the prefix but ISPX could not.
Transient Behaviors

1/3 of reachability differences persisted for entire study period.

1/3 of reachability differences are short lived.
Bogon Routes

• ISPs Apply Policies to Filter Invalid Routes
  – No universal agreement on what is “invalid”

• New Allocations and Bogons
  – Filter unallocated prefixes and update filter after allocation
  – Going back 7 months accounts for 43 prefixes.
  – Going back years yields 295 prefixes.

• Management Strategies and RADb
  – 92 prefixes with no entry in RADb
  – 199 prefixes with no origin in RADb.

• Does produce reachability differences, but only explains a small percent of differences.
Regional Differences

1895 prefixes from India, only unreachable from ISP 22. ISP 22 added these routes on day 13 of study.

Bulgaria accounted for most prefixes (509)
Great Britain was second highest (168)
Customer Services and Prefix Usage

• ISPs Provide Specialized Services to Customers
  – Confirmed ISP0 has several such prefixes
  – Most are covered prefixes, but did find some uncovered prefixes
  – Accounts for 9 prefixes in our study.

• Are Services Running on the Impacted Prefixes?
  – Scanned prefixes looking for common services
  – Limited scan to /19 or more specifics
  – Responding prefixes further checked for DNS entries

• Contacted Prefix Owners
  – Responses include reports of reachability problems, blacklist issues, network testing, and so forth

• Valid Global Services Running on Clear Majority of Prefixes
RouteViews Data in Real-Time
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Conclusions

• Reachability Issues Do Exist
  – Over 97% of prefixes appeared as exact matches
  – But differences do occur and impact both research and operations

• Common Conjectures:
  – Transient issues, Bogons, Management, Regional Policies, Configuration Errors, Special Services all proposed as explanations
  – All are valid, but none are dominant.
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