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- In general, an important feature of a probabilistic model is that it distinguishes between nondeterminism and probabilistic choice
a nondeterminism choice refers to the one made by an external process, a probabilistic choice is a choice made internally by the process, and not controlled by an external process.
- Intuitively, a probabilistic choice is given by sets of alternative transitions, each transition having a certain probability of being selected, where the sum of all probabilities of one alternative set is 1 .
- To distinguish the differences between nondeterminism and probabilistic choices, consider the following simple example: Alice wrote a manuscript and intends to submit it to a journal. There, for some journals, she has to select from several editors (say three: Bob, Carol and Diana).
- It is the author's choice to which editor to send his work. This is a probabilistic choice (as it is under his control and the preference for which to select may depend on some previous interactions).
- Then the author waits for an answer. This is a nondeterministic choice (as the choice of what kind of answer he receives is out of hi's control).
- We consider that the probabilistic choice is a choice made internally by the process, and not controlled by an external process.
- There are two possibilities for extending a model using probabilities:
to replace nondeterministic choices by probabilistic choices
to allow both probabilistic and nondeterministic choices.
- We take the second approach since when considering concurrent processes the concept of nondeterminism is necessary to describe the asynchronous character of the interleaving parallel composition.


## Probabilistic Multiparty Session Processes

## Syntax

## Processes

| :: $=$ | : |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | $\sum_{p_{i}} p_{i}: s!\left\langle\tilde{e}_{i}\right\rangle ; P_{i}$ | (value sending) |
| 1 | $\sum_{j \in J} s ?\left(\tilde{\sim}_{j}\right) ; P_{j}$ | (value reception) |
| , | $\sum_{p_{i}} p_{i}: s \triangleleft l_{i} ; P_{i}$ | (label selection) |
| । | $s \triangleright\left\{l_{j}: P_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ | (label branching) |
| + |  |  |

## Probabilistic Multiparty Session Processes

## Syntax

- Assume Alice knows Bob with whom it already had some scientific interactions, while about Carol she heard from her articles.
- Therefore, the probability that Alice chooses Bob to handle the review of her manuscript is higher than choosing Carol.
- Even if there exists a probability to choose Diana, this is very small as Alice does not know anything about her.


## Example (Probabilistic Choice)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Alice } & =0.6: \text { submitB! }\langle\text { article }\rangle ; \text { AliceB } \\
& +0.3: \text { submitC! }\langle\text { article }\rangle ; \text { AliceC } \\
& +0.1: \text { submitD! }\langle\text { article }\rangle ; \text { AliceD }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Probabilistic Multiparty Session Processes

## Syntax

- After receiving a manuscript, an editor can perform various actions:
to accept the paper; usually the probability to accept a paper is small (e.g., 0.1);
- to reject the paper;
to propose another editor, possible from another journal, as the paper does not fit the journal aims; the probability for this to happen is very small (e.g., 0.05);


## Example (Probabilistic Choice)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Bob } & =0.10: s \triangleleft \text { accept; BobA } \\
& +0.85: s \triangleleft \text { reject; BobR } \\
& +0.05: s \triangleleft \text { propose; BobP }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Probabilistic Multiparty Session Processes

## Syntax

- After sending her manuscript Alice knows she can expect that:
- her paper is accepted;
ber paper is rejected;
- her paper is proposed to another editor;


## Example (Nondeterministic Choice)

Alice $=s \triangleright\{$ accept; AliceA reject; AliceR propose; AliceP\}

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\sum_{p_{i}} p_{i}: s!\left\langle\tilde{e}_{i}\right\rangle ; P_{i}\left|s: \tilde{h} \rightarrow_{p_{i}} P_{i}\right| s: \tilde{h} \cdot \tilde{v}_{i} & \left(\tilde{e}_{i} \downarrow \tilde{v}_{i}\right) \\
\sum_{p_{i}} p_{i}: s \triangleleft l_{i} ; P_{i}\left|s: \tilde{h} \rightarrow_{p_{i}} P_{i}\right| s: \tilde{h} \cdot I_{i} & \text { (PROBSEND) } \\
\sum_{i \in I} s ?\left(\tilde{x}_{i}\right) ; P_{i}\left|s: \tilde{v} \cdot \tilde{h} \rightarrow_{1} P_{i}\left\{\tilde{v} / \tilde{x}_{i}\right\}\right| s: \tilde{h} & \text { (NONDETRECEIVE) } \\
s \triangleright\left\{I_{j}: P_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}\left|s: I_{i} \cdot \tilde{h} \rightarrow_{1} P_{i}\right| s: \tilde{h} \quad(i \in J) & \text { (NONDETBRANCH) } \\
P \rightarrow_{p} P^{\prime} \Rightarrow P\left|Q \rightarrow_{p} P^{\prime}\right| Q & \text { (PAR1) } \\
P \rightarrow_{p} P^{\prime} \text { and } Q \rightarrow_{q} Q^{\prime} \Rightarrow P\left|Q \rightarrow_{p \cdot q} P^{\prime}\right| Q^{\prime} & \text { (PAR2) } \\
P \equiv P^{\prime} \text { and } P^{\prime} \rightarrow_{p} Q^{\prime} \text { and } Q^{\prime} \equiv Q^{\prime} \Rightarrow P \rightarrow_{p} Q & \text { (STRUCT) }
\end{array}
$$

## Probabilistic Multiparty Session Processes

## Semantics

## Example

- After receiving a manuscript Bob sends it to two reviewers:

Elliot $=0.15$ : review! $\langle$ accept $\rangle ;$ ElliotA +0.85 : review! $\langle$ reject $\rangle ;$ ElliotR;
Felix $=0.05$ : review! $\langle$ accept $\rangle ;$ FelixA +0.95 : review! $\langle r e j e c t\rangle ;$ FelixR;

- using rule (PAR2) it can be noticed that all possible evolutions are:

Elliot | Felix $\rightarrow_{0.0075}$ ElliotA | FelixA, where $0.0075=0.15 * 0.05$
Elliot | Felix $\rightarrow_{0.0425}$ ElliotR | FelixA, where $0.0425=0.85 * 0.05$
Elliot $\mid$ Felix $\rightarrow_{0.1425}$ ElliotA $\mid$ FelixR, where $0.1425=0.15 * 0.95$
Elliot $\mid$ Felix $\rightarrow_{0.8075}$ ElliotR | FelixR, where $0.8075=0.85 * 0.95$

- it should be noticed that the sum of the probabilities of all evolutions equals 1 , where $1=0.0075+0.0425+0.1425+0.8075$.


## Probabilistic Multiparty Session Types

## Global Types

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
G:: & \sum_{p_{i} q} q \rightarrow_{p_{i}} q^{\prime}: k\left\langle S_{i}\right\rangle . G_{i} & \text { (probValues) } \\
& \| & \sum_{p_{j}} q \rightarrow_{p_{j}} q^{\prime}: k\left\{l_{j}: G_{j}\right\} \\
\text { (probBranching) }
\end{array}
$$

## Local Types

$$
\begin{array}{rlrr}
T & ::= & \sum_{p_{i} p_{i}: k!\left\langle S_{i}\right\rangle . T_{i}} r & \text { (send) } \\
\vdots & \sum_{i \in 1} k ?\left(S_{i}\right) \cdot T_{i} & \text { (receive) } \\
\vdots & k \in\left\{p_{j}:\left(l_{j}: T_{j}\right)\right\}_{j \in J} & \text { (selection) } \\
& k \&\left\{l_{j}: T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} & \text { (branching) }
\end{array}
$$

## Probabilistic Multiparty Session Types <br> Typing System

- We use the judgement $\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright \Delta$ which says that "under the environment $\Gamma$, process $P$ is well-typed having typing $\Delta$ ".
- We use notation $T @ q$ (called located type) representing a local type $T$ assigned to a participant $q$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\forall i . \Gamma \vdash P_{i} \triangleright \Delta, \tilde{s}: T_{i} @ q \quad i \in J \quad \sum_{i} p_{i}=1}{\Gamma \vdash \sum_{p_{i}} p_{i}: s_{k} \triangleleft l_{i} ; P_{i} \triangleright \Delta, \tilde{s}: k \oplus\left\{p_{i}:\left(l_{i}: T_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in J} @ q} \quad \text { (TSelect) } \\
\frac{\forall j . \Gamma \vdash P_{j} \triangleright \Delta, \tilde{s}: T_{j} @ q}{\Gamma \vdash s_{k} \triangleright\left\{I_{j} ; P_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} \triangleright \Delta, \tilde{s}: k \&\left\{I_{j}: T_{j}\right\}_{j \in J} @ q} \quad \text { (TBranch) }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Probabilistic Multiparty Session Processes

## Semantics

## Definition (Evolution probability)

If $P \rightarrow_{p_{1}} P_{1} \rightarrow_{p_{2}} P_{2} \ldots \rightarrow_{p_{k}} Q$ then the probability to reach from $P$ to $Q$ equals $p=p_{1} * p_{2} * \ldots * p_{k}$. We denote this by $\operatorname{prob}(P, Q)=p$.

## Proposition

If we denote by reach $(P)$ all processes reachable from a well-typed process $P$ that cannot further evolve, then

$$
\sum_{Q \in \operatorname{reach}(P)} \operatorname{prob}(P, Q)=1
$$

## Probabilistic Multiparty Session Processes <br> Typing System

As processes interact, their dynamics is formalised by a type reduction relation $\Rightarrow$ on typing $\Delta$ :

- $\tilde{s}:\left\{\sum_{p_{i}} p_{i}: k!\left\langle\tilde{S}_{i}\right\rangle ; T_{i} @ q_{1}, \sum_{i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}} k ?\left(\tilde{S_{i^{\prime}}}\right) ; T_{i^{\prime}} @ q_{2}, \ldots\right\}$

$$
\Rightarrow_{p_{i}} \tilde{s}:\left\{T_{j} @ q_{1}, T_{j^{\prime}} @ q_{2}, \ldots\right\}, \text { for } j \in I, j^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}, S_{j}=S_{j^{\prime}}
$$

- $\tilde{s}:\left\{k \oplus\left\{p_{i}:\left(I_{i}: T_{i}\right)\right\}_{i \in I} @ q_{1}, k \&\left\{l_{i^{\prime}}: T_{i^{\prime}}\right\}_{i^{\prime} \in I^{\prime}} @ q_{2}, \ldots\right\}$

$$
\Rightarrow_{p_{i}} \tilde{s}:\left\{T_{j} @ q_{1}, T_{j} @ q_{2}, \ldots\right\}, \text { for } j \in I \cap I^{\prime} .
$$

- $\Delta \Rightarrow_{p} \Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Delta^{\prime} \Rightarrow_{q} \Delta^{\prime \prime}$ implies $\Delta \Rightarrow_{p \cdot q} \Delta^{\prime \prime}$

Theorem (subject congruence and reduction)
(1) $\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright \Delta$ and $P \equiv P^{\prime}$ imply $\Gamma \vdash P^{\prime} \triangleright \Delta$.
(2) $\Gamma \vdash P \triangleright \Delta$ and $P \rightarrow_{p_{i}} P^{\prime}$ imply $\Gamma \vdash P^{\prime} \triangleright \Delta^{\prime}$, where $\Delta=\Delta^{\prime}$ or $\Delta \Rightarrow p_{p_{i}} \Delta^{\prime}$.

- By using probabilities we are able to describe complex processes in which some behaviours are more likely to happen than others.
- An illustrative example is presented.
- Our approach is sound.
- Discussions:


## Thank you!

