



Scalable Persistent Storage for Erlang: Theory and Practice

Amir Ghaffari, Natalia Chechina , Phil Trinder

May 7, 2013



Outline

- Why Persistent Storage?
- General principles of scalable DBMSs
- NoSQL DBMSs for Erlang
- Reliability of Riak in Practice
- Scalability of Riak in Practice
- Investigating the scalability of distributed Erlang
- Conclusion & Future work



RELEASE project

• RELEASE is an European project aiming to scale Erlang onto commodity architectures with 100000 cores.





UPPSALA UNIVERSITET













Why Persistent Storage?

- Erlang application need to store their data persistently.
- Scalability limits of persistent storage can limit the scalability of Erlang application.



General principles of scalable DBMSs

Data Fragmentation

- 1. Decentralized model (e.g. P2P model)
- 2. Systematic load balancing (make life easier for developer)
- 3. Location transparency



e.g. 20k data is fragmented among 10 nodes

RELEASE

General principles of scalable **DBMSs**

Replication

- Decentralized model (e.g. P2P model) 1.
- Location transparency 2.
- 3. Asynchronous replication (write is considered complete as soon as on node acknowledges it)



e.g. Key X is replicated on three nodes

General principles of scalable DBMSs



CAP theorem: cannot simultaneously guarantee:

•Partition tolerance: system continues to operate despite nodes can't talk to each other

•Availability: guarantee that every request receives a response

•Consistency: all nodes see the same data at the same time

Solution: Eventual consistency and reconciling conflicts via data versioning

ACID=Atomicity, Consistency, Isolation, Durability



NoSQL DBMSs for Erlang

	Mnesia	CouchDB	Riak	Cassandra
Fragmentation	•Explicit placement •Client-server •Automatic by using a hash function	•Explicit placement •Multi-server •Lounge is not part of each CouchDB node	 Implicit placement Peer to peer Automatic by using consistent hash technique 	 Implicit placement Peer to peer Automatic by using consistent hash technique
Replication	•Explicit placement •Client-server •Asynchronous (Dirty operation)	 Explicit placement Multi-server Asynchronous 	 Implicit placement Peer to peer Asynchronous 	 Implicit placement Peer to peer Asynchronous
Partition Tolerant	 Strong consistency 	•Eventual consistency •Multi-Version Concurrency Control for reconciliation	 Eventual consistency Vector clocks for reconciliation 	•Eventual consistency •Use timestamp to reconcile
Query Processing & Backend Storage	•The largest possible Mnesia table is 4Gb	•No limitation •Support Map/Reduce Queries	 Bitcask has memory limitation LevelDB has no limitation Support Map/Reduce queries 	•No limitation Support Map/Reduce queries



Initial Evaluation Results



Scalable persistent storage for SD Erlang can be provided by Dynamo-like DBMSs, e.g. Riak,Cassandra



Availability and Scalability of Riak in Practice

- Basho Bench, a benchmarking tool for Riak
- We use Basho Bench on 348-node Kalkyl cluster
- How does Riak cope with node failure? (Availability)
- How adding more Riak nodes affect the throughput? (Scalability)
- There are two kinds of nodes in a cluster:
 - Traffic generators
 - Riak nodes



Node Organisation



We use one traffic generator per 3 Riak nodes



Traffic Generator





Riak Availability



Time-line shows Riak cluster losing nodes



Riak Availability



How Riak deals with failures



Observation

- Number of failures (37)
- Number of successful operations (approximately 3.41 million)

•When failed nodes come back up, the throughput has grown which means Riak has a good elasticity.



Riak Scalability

Scalability benchmark

2.5e+008 Successful operations variation 2e+008 1.5e+008 Throughput 1e+008 5e+007 0 -5e+007 60 70 10 20 30 40 50 80 90 100 Number of nodes

Benchmark on 348-node Kalkyl cluster at Uppsala University



Failure



RELEASE



CPU Usage



Profiling DISK



DISK Usage



Profiling RAM



Memory Usage



Profiling-Network (Generator)



Network Traffic of Generator Nodes



Profiling-Network (Riak)



Network Traffic of Riak Nodes



Bottleneck for Riak Scalability

The results of profiling *CPU*, *RAM*, *Disk*, and *Network* reveal that they can't be bottleneck for Riak scalability.

Is Riak scalability limits due to limits in distributed Erlang? To find it, we need to measure the scalability of distributed Erlang.



DEbench

- We design DEbench for measuring the scalability of distributed Erlang
- Based on Basho Bench
- Measures the Throughput and Latency of Distributed Erlang commands



Distributed Erlang Commands

• Spawn: a peer to peer command

- •*register_name* : global name tables located on every node
- unregister_name : global name tables located on every node
- *whereis_name* : a lookup in the local table



DEbench P2P Nodes





Scalability of Distributed Erlang

0.5% Global operation



Throughput peaks at 50 nodesLittle improvement beyond 40 nodes



Frequency of Global Operation



RELEASE



Latency for register and unregister for 2% global update





Latency of spawn





Latency of *whereis_name*



Conclusion and Future work

•Our benchmark confirms that Riak is highly available and fault-tolerant.

- •We have discovered the scalability limits of Riak is ~60 nodes
- •Global operation limits the scalability of distributed Erlang.
- •We are trying to find the Riak global operations.
- •In RELEASE, we are working to scale up Distributed Erlang by grouping nodes in smaller partitions.



Thank you!

