Software Tools for Discrete Mathematics

— User Manual —

Cordelia Hall and John O'Donnell

Last modified April 11, 2000

The Home Page for the book, from which you can obtain this document as well as the software (Stfm.lhs) is available on the Web at

www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/~jtod/discrete-mathematics/

Copyright \bigodot 2000 by Cordelia Hall and John O'Donnell

Contents

0	Getting Started	5
	Web Addresses	5
	Instructor's Guide	5
	Running Haskell 98	6
1	Introduction to Haskell	7
2	Propositional Logic	9
	Logical Operators	9
	Using the Proof Checker	10
	Propositions	10
	Theorems	11
	Inferences	12
	Assumptions	12
	Inferences on the And operator	12
	Inferences on the Or operator	13
	Inferences on Implication	14
	Inferences on Identity and False	14
	Represention of Proofs	15
3	Predicate Logic	17
4	Set Theory	19
5	Recursion	23
	Recursion Over Lists	23
	Higher Order Recursive Functions	24
	Recursion Over Trees	24
	Peano Arithmetic	26
	Data Recursion	28
6	Inductively Defined Sets	31
7	Induction	33
8	Relations	35
9	Functions	37

10 Digital Circuit Design

Getting Started

Welcome to the User's Manual for the *Software Tools for Discrete Mathematics*! To use this software, you should obtain:

- The book *Discrete Mathematics Using a Computer*, by Cordelia Hall and John O'Donnell. Published by Springer in January 2000 (£16.95, Softcover, 360 pages, ISBN 1-85233-089-9).
- The DMC Home Page on the Web, which contains general information as well as direct links to the following items:
- The software Stdm.lhs, a source file in the Haskell 98 programming language.
- This manual, which is available on the web (in pdf format).

The software, this manual, and the web resources are intended to be used along with the book. This isn't a self-contained, standalone document!

Web Addresses

If you're reading this document online, you can find everything you need by following the hyperlinks above. If you're reading this on paper, however, you may need the Web URL addresses for (1) the DMC Home Page; (2 the software file Stfm.lhs; and (3) this manual (pdf format):

```
www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/~jtod/discrete-mathematics/
www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/~jtod/discrete-mathematics/Stdm.lhs
www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/~jtod/discrete-mathematics/StdmMan.pdf
```

Instructor's Guide

If you are teaching a course using these materials, you should also obtain access to the Instructor's Guide, whose URL is

```
www.dcs.gla.ac.uk/~jtod/discrete-mathematics/instructors-guide/
```

Running Haskell 98

The software tools are written in the standard language *Haskell 98*. Most of the implementations of Haskell support experimental extensions to the language, as well as the standard, so it's important to tell the implementation to use Haskell 98.

The software uses the *literate programming* conventions of Haskell. This means that every line which begins with the > character will be compiled, but all other lines are comments.

We recommend that you use the Haskell interpreter *Hugs*. To start Hugs in the *Haskell* 98 mode, start it with the following command:

hugs +98

Introduction to Haskell

Everything covered in this chapter is a feature of the Haskell 98 language, and Stdm doesn't contain any specific definitions relating to the chapter.

Propositional Logic

Haskell uses the Bool type to represent propositional values. There are two constants of type Bool, called True and False. (Be sure to make the first letter upper case!)

Logical Operators

Haskell provides several built-in logical operators using the Bool type. The (&&) operator performs the logical and operation \wedge :

```
(&&) :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
False && False
==> False
False && True
==> False
True && False
==> False
True && True
==> True
The (||) operator performs the logical or operation ∨:
(||) :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
False || False
```

```
False || False
==> False
False || True
==> True
True || False
==> True
True || True
==> True
```

Finally, the **not** function performs logical negation \neg :

```
not :: Bool -> Bool
not False
```

```
==> True
not True
==> False
```

The Stdm file also provides the following operators, which look more like the standard mathematical symbols:

```
> (<=>), (==>), (\/), (/\)
> :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
```

The(/\) operator is logical *and*, and is exactly equivalent to && The (\/) operator is logical *or*, and is exactly equivalent to ||. The (==>) operator is logical implication \rightarrow , and (<=> is logical equivalence \leftrightarrow . For example:

```
True <=> True
==> True
True <=> False
==> False
False ==> True
==> True
True ==> False
==> False
```

Using the Proof Checker

Propositions

The basic propositions are logical constants and variables; these may be written in any of the following ways:

- FALSE
- true
- a logical variable $A,\,B,\,C,\,\ldots,\,Z$
- a logical variable with any name you like to choose, written as Pvar "name"

Notice that the two constants FALSE and true are written differently. We'll look into the reason for this in more detail later, but for the time being, just make sure that you write these two values in the correct way.

The logical operators are used to construct larger propositions from smaller ones. Such propositions can be written in any of the following ways:

- $P \wedge Q$, written as either And P Q or as P 'And' Q
- $P \lor Q$, written as either Or P Q or as P 'Or' Q

P	Р		
$P \lor Q$	Or P Q		
	P'Or'Q		
$P \wedge Q$	And P Q		
	P'And' Q		
$(P \land Q) \lor (R \land S)$	Or (And P Q) (And R S)		
	((P 'And'Q) 'Or' (R 'And' S)		

Table 2.1: Examples of Proposition Representation

- $P \rightarrow Q$, written as either Imp P Q or as P 'Imp' Q
- $\neg P$, written as Not P

Parentheses are needed when the areguments to a logical operator are themselves expressions. For example, we can write $P \wedge Q$ as And P Q, without parentheses, but the expression $(P \wedge Q) \vee R$ would be written as Or (And P Q) R, where the parentheses are essential. An alternative way to write this is (P 'And' Q) 'Or' R, but here again the parentheses are required to indicate the structure of the expression.

Theorems

A theorem in propositional logic always has a standard form: it says that a proposition p can be inferred from a set of assumptions $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}$. The mathematical notation for this is

$$a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1} \vdash p.$$

For example, the theorem

$$P,Q \vdash P \land Q$$

has two assumptions P and Q, and the conclusion is $P \wedge Q$. This statement means "given the assumptions P and Q, it is possible to infer the conclusion $P \wedge Q$ ". The number k of assumptions may be 0; thus the theorem

$$\vdash P \to P$$

says that $P \to P$ can be proved without making any assumptions at all.

To represent a theorem in Haskell, write **Theorem**, followed by a list of assumptions, followed by the proposition which the theorem claims to hold. Thus the theorem

$$P, Q \vdash P \land Q$$

would be represented as

Theorem [P,Q] (P 'And' Q)

Notice that the two assumptions, P and Q, are written in a list, surrounded by square brackets and separated by commas. The order of assumptions in the list doesn't matter. The conclusion of the theorem is P 'And' Q, but this must be surronded by parentheses because it contains several symbols. If a theorem has no assumptions, then an empty list of assumptions is specified. Thus the theorem

$$\vdash P \rightarrow P$$

is written as

Theorem [] (P 'Imp' P)

Usually it is convenient to use an equation to give a name to a theorem; put the equation in a file, and you can then alternately edit the file and reload it in Hugs as you work with the theorem interactively. The book defines example_theorem to be the name of the theorem

$$\vdash Q \to (P \land R) \to (R \land Q)$$

using the following equation (which appears in the Stdm.lhs file):

```
> example_theorem :: Theorem
> example_theorem =
> Theorem
> []
> (Imp Q (Imp (And P R) (And R Q)))
```

The proof checker defines the representation of theorems with the following algebraic data type:

> data Theorem > = Theorem [Prop] Prop > deriving (Eq,Show)

Inferences

Assumptions

There are two ways to establish a proposition: it can be assumed or inferred. To express the fact that a proposition p has been established by assuming it, we write Assume followed by the Haskell representation of p. For example, suppose we are working on the theorem $P, Q \vdash P \land Q$. As we'll see shortly the key step will be an inference using the $\{\land I\}$ rule, but that inference will require us to have established the propositions P and Q. The statement that P has been established by assuming it is written Assume P. If this statement is used in the proof of a theorem, then P must appear in the list of assumptions (unless the assumption has been discharged).

Inferences on the And operator

$\frac{a}{a}$	$\frac{b}{\sqrt{b}} \{\wedge I\} \qquad \frac{a \wedge b}{a}$	$\frac{b}{\{\wedge E_L\}}$ $\frac{a \wedge b}{b}_{\{\wedge E_R\}}$
$\frac{a}{a \lor b}^{\{\lor I_L\}}$	$\frac{b}{a \lor b}^{\{\lor I_R\}}$	$\frac{a \lor b \qquad a \vdash c \qquad b \vdash c}{c}_{\{\lor E\}}$
-	$\frac{a \vdash b}{a \to b} {\{ \to I \}}$	$\frac{a \qquad a \to b}{b}_{\{\to E\}}$
$\frac{a}{a}$ { <i>ID</i> }	$\frac{False}{a}_{\{CTR\}}$	$\frac{\neg a \vdash False}{a}_{\{RAA\}}$

Figure 2.1: Inference Rules of Propositional Logic.

The And-Introduction rule $\{\land I\}$ says that if two propositions a and b have been established, then their conjunction $a \land b$ can be inferred.

$$\frac{a \quad b}{a \wedge b} \{\wedge I\}$$

This inference is written in the form:

AndI (Proof, Proof) Prop

There are two And-Elimination rules, the "left" and "right" versions. In both cases the rule's assumption is that a conjunction of the form $a \wedge b$ has been established. The "left" rule $\{\wedge E_L\}$ says that the leftmost part of the conjunction, a, can be inferred, while the "right" rule $\{\wedge E_R\}$ says that b may be inferred.

$$\frac{a \wedge b}{a}_{\{\wedge E_L\}} \qquad \frac{a \wedge b}{b}_{\{\wedge E_R\}}$$

An inference using the $\{\wedge E_L\}$ rule is written as AndEL, followed by a proof of the conjunction $a \wedge b$, followed by the proposition a. The $\{\wedge E_R\}$ rule is similiar, using instead the AndER constructor.

Inferences on the Or operator

The Or-Introduction rule has two forms: the "left" form says that given a you can infer $a \lor b$ for arbitrary b, and the "right" form says that you if you are given b then you can infer $a \lor b$.

$$\frac{a}{a \lor b} \{\lor I_L\} \qquad \frac{b}{a \lor b} \{\lor I_R\}$$

$$\frac{a \lor b \qquad a \vdash c \qquad b \vdash c}{c} \{\lor E\}$$

Inferences on Implication

$$\frac{a \vdash b}{a \to b} \{ \to I \}$$

$$\frac{a \quad a \to b}{b} \{ \to E \}$$

Inferences on Identity and False

$$\frac{a}{a}_{\{ID\}}$$

$$\frac{\mathsf{False}}{a}_{\{CTR\}}$$

$$\underline{\neg a \vdash \mathsf{False}}_{a}_{\{RAA\}}$$

The Proof Checker uses the following algebraic data type to represent inferences and proofs:

```
> data Proof
```

- > = Assume Prop
- > | AndI (Proof, Proof) Prop
- > | AndEL Proof Prop
- > | AndER Proof Prop
- > | OrI1 Proof Prop
- > | OrI2 Proof Prop
- > | OrE (Proof, Proof, Proof) Prop
- > | NotE (Proof, Proof) Prop
- > | Impl Proof Prop
- > | ImpE (Proof, Proof) Prop
- > deriving (Eq,Show)

Represention of Proofs

```
> proof1 =
>
    ImpI
      (ImpI
>
          (AndI
>
>
             ((AndER
                 (Assume (And P R))
>
                 R),
>
               Assume Q)
>
>
             (And R Q))
          (Imp (And P R) (And R Q)))
>
>
      (Imp Q (Imp (And P R) (And R Q)))
```

Valid proofs using And Introduction

Theorem 1. $q, r \vdash q \land r$

```
proof1 = AndI (Assume Q, Assume R) (And Q R)
```

Invalid proofs using And Introduction.

> p2 = -- q,r |- q&s
> AndI (Assume (Pvar "q"), Assume (Pvar "r"))
> (And (Pvar "q") (Pvar "s"))

Valid proofs using And Elimination (1)

> p3 = -- p&q |- p > AndEL (Assume (And P Q)) P > p4 = -- (P|Q)&R |- (P|Q) > AndEL (Assume (And (Or P Q) R)) (Or P Q)

Invalid proofs using And Elimination (1)

```
> p5 = -- p&q |- p
> AndEL (Assume (Or P Q)) P
> p6 = -- p&q |- p
> AndEL (Assume (And P Q)) Q
> p7 = -- P&Q |- R
> AndEL (Assume (And P Q)) R
```

Valid proofs with Imp Introduction

```
> p81 = -- P,Q |- P&Q
> AndI (Assume P, Assume Q)
> (And P Q)
```

```
> p82 = -- Q |- (P => P&Q)
    ImpI (AndI (Assume P, Assume Q)
>
>
                 (And P Q))
>
          (Imp P (And P Q))
 p83 = -- |-Q => (P => (P\&Q))
>
    ImpI (ImpI (AndI (Assume P, Assume Q)
>
>
                       (And P Q))
                (Imp P (And P Q)))
>
>
          (Imp Q (Imp P (And P Q)))
   Valid proofs with Imp Elimination
> p9 = ImpE (Assume P, Assume (Imp P Q))
>
             n
   Here is the theorem and proofs that are used in the book; run them like this:
   check_proof example_theorem proof1
                                              (should be valid)
   check_proof example_theorem proof2
                                              (should give error message)
> example_theorem :: Theorem
> example_theorem =
    Theorem
>
      []
>
      (Imp Q (Imp (And P R) (And R Q)))
>
> proof1 =
    ImpI
>
      (ImpI
>
          (AndI
>
>
             ((AndER
>
                 (Assume (And P R))
>
                 R),
>
               Assume Q)
             (And R Q))
>
          (Imp (And P R) (And R Q)))
>
>
      (Imp Q (Imp (And P R) (And R Q)))
   The following proof is incorrect proof, because Q^R was inferred where R^Q was needed.
> proof2 =
>
    ImpI
>
      (ImpI
>
          (AndI
>
             (Assume Q,
>
              (AndER
                 (Assume (And P R))
>
>
                 R))
             (And R Q))
>
          (Imp (And P R) (And R Q)))
>
```

(Imp Q (Imp (And P R) (And R Q)))

>

Predicate Logic

Soon there will be more about this!

> forall :: [Int] -> (Int -> Bool) -> Bool > exists :: [Int] -> (Int -> Bool) -> Bool

Set Theory

A set will be represented as a list:

```
> type Set a = [a]
```

The subset function takes two sets as arguments, and returns True if the first is a subset of the second. (Note: the function does not reject non-sets.)

```
> subset :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set a -> Set a -> Bool
subset [4,3] [1,2,3,4,5]
==> True
subset [9,3] [1,2,3,4,5]
==> False
subset [1,2,3,4,5] [1,2,3,4,5]
==> True
subset [] []
==> True
```

The properSubset function implements \subset ; it is just like subset except that it returns False if the first argument is equal to the second. (Note that properSubset does not reject non-sets.)

```
> properSubset :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set a -> Set a -> Bool
properSubset [4,3] [1,2,3,4,5]
==> True
properSubset [9,3] [1,2,3,4,5]
==> False
properSubset [1,2,3,4,5] [1,2,3,4,5]
==> False *** DIFFERENT FROM subset ***
properSubset [] []
==> True
```

The setEq function determines whether the two arguments represent the same set. They are equal if they contain the same elements, *regardless of the order*.

```
> setEq :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set a -> Set a -> Bool
setEq [1,2,3] [2,3,4]
==> False
setEq [1,2,3] [1,2,3]
==> True
setEq [1,2,3] [3,2,1]
==> True
setEq [1,2,3] [3,1,2]
==> True
```

If a list (used to represent a set) contains no duplicate elements, then it is said to be in *normal form*. The **normalForm** function decides whether a set representation is in normal form, and the **normalizeSet** function takes a list and puts it into normal form by removing any duplicate elements. The order of elements is immaterial.

```
> normalForm :: (Eq a, Show a) => [a] -> Bool
normalForm [1,2,3]
    ==> True
normalForm [1,2,3,2]
    ==> False
> normalizeSet :: Eq a => [a] -> Set a
normalizeSet [1,2,3]
    ==> [1,2,3]
normalizeSet [1,2,3,2]
    ==> [1,3,2]
```

Set union is calculated by the +++ operator; thus $a \cup b$ would be written in Haskell as a+++b.

```
> (+++) :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set a -> Set a -> Set a
[1,2,3] +++ [2,3,4]
==> [1,2,3,4]
```

The operator for set intersection is *******, so $a \cap b$ is written as a*******b.

```
> (***) :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set a -> Set a -> Set a
[1,2,3] *** [2,3,4]
==> [2,3]
[1,2] *** [3,4]
==> []
```

The $\sim \sim \sim$ operator denotes set difference; thus a - b, where a and b represent sets, is expressed as $a \sim \sim \sim b$.

> (~~~) :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set a -> Set a -> Set a

The !!! operator is used to calculate the complement of a set a with respect to a universe u; this is expressed as u!!!a, and the value is equal to $u \sim \sim s$. If you're doing many calculations with the same universe u, you can define a specific complement function specialised to that universe as compl = (u!!!).

```
> (!!!) :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set a -> Set a -> Set a
[2,4] !!! [1..5]
[1..5] !!! [2,4]
==> [1,3,5]
```

The powerset function returns the set of all subsets of its argument. If a set contains k elements, then its powerset will contain 2^k elements.

```
> powerset :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set a -> Set (Set a)
powerset ([] :: [Int])
    ==> []
powerset [1]
    ==>[[1],[]]
powerset [1,2,3]
    ==> [[1,2,3],[1,2],[1,3],[1],[2,3],[2],[3],[]]
```

A minor point: in the first example above, where we take the powerset of the empty set, we declare the type of [] explicitly. This doesn't have anything to do with the mathematics; it's just a way of telling the Haskell typechecker what set type we are using.

The crossproduct function computes the set $a \times b$, consisting of the set of all pairs where the first element belongs to a and the second element belongs to b. That is,

$$a \times b = \{ (x, y) \mid x \in a \land y \in b \}.$$

> crossproduct > :: (Eq a, Show a, Eq b, Show b) > => Set a -> Set b -> Set (a,b) crossproduct [1,2] [7,8,9] ==> [(1,7),(1,8),(1,9),(2,7),(2,8),(2,9)]

Recursion

The factorial function is a typical example of a recursive definition. It has two equations; one for the base case 0, and one for the recursive case n + 1.

```
> factorial :: Integer -> Integer
> factorial 0 = 1
> factorial (n+1) = (n+1) * factorial n
```

The first of these examples just uses the first equation, factorial 0 = 1; the others require one or more applications of the second equation. In all cases, the evaluation stops with the base case (the first equation).

```
factorial 0
    ==> 1
factorial 1
    ==> 1
factorial 5
    ==> 120
```

Recursion Over Lists

Here is the quicksort algorithm as presented in the book:

```
> quicksort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
> quicksort [] = []
> quicksort (splitter:xs) =
> quicksort [y | y <- xs, y<=splitter]
> ++ [splitter]
> ++ quicksort [y | y <- xs, y>splitter]
```

The following examples test quicksort on several input lists, but it is clear that testing can never establish the correctness of the function; there are simply too many possible inputs to try them all. We would need to prove its correctness mathematically, using induction.

```
quicksort [3,5,4]
==> [3,4,5]
quicksort [5,2,9,3,1,6,0,4,8,7]
==> [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]
quicksort ["bat","ant","mouse","dog"]
==> ["ant","bat","dog","mouse"]
```

Notice that quicksort is not restricted to sorting lists of numbers; the last example uses it to sort a list of strings. As the type says, quicksort can handle lists of *any* type **a** as long as **a** is in the Ord class (that is, the order operations can be applied to values of type **a**). Compare this with what you have to do in conventional programming languages!

The book gives firsts as another example of recursion (here it's called firsts1. However, the recursion pattern of firsts1 is expressed exactly by the map function, and it's better programming style to use map directly, as in the alternative definition firsts2.

```
> firsts1, firsts2 :: [(a,b)] -> [a]
> firsts1 [] = []
> firsts1 ((a,b):ps) = a : firsts1 ps
> firsts2 xs = map fst xs
firsts1 [("cat",4), ("dog",8), ("mouse",2)]
==> ["cat","dog","mouse"]
firsts2 [("cat",4), ("dog",8), ("mouse",2)]
==> ["cat","dog","mouse"]
```

Higher Order Recursive Functions

Recursion Over Trees

There is really just one sensible way to define lists, so Haskell provides lists are a predefined type with a rich family of functions and operators. In contrast, there are many ways to define trees, and it isn't reasonable to try to include them all in the standard libraries. Consequently you need to define your own tree types when programming. Here is the version of trees used in the book:

```
> data Tree a
> = Tip
> | Node a (Tree a) (Tree a)
> deriving Show
```

The following definition gives the names t1 and t2 to a couple of specific trees, which will be used in several of the following examples. Try evaluating t1 and t2 interactively.

This function is a typical recursion over trees; it counts the number of Node constructors in a tree. Notice that there is a base case and a recursion case, just as for list functions, but here the recursion case must call nodeCount twice, since there are two subtrees under a Node.

```
> nodeCount :: Tree a -> Int
> nodeCount Tip = 0
> nodeCount (Node x t1 t2) = 1 + nodeCount t1 + nodeCount t2
nodeCount t1
==> 1
nodeCount t2
==> 5
```

The reflect function is a particularly elegant example of recursion.

For any data structure we can define a map operation that applies some function f to every element of the structure. Here is the mapTree function, which applies $f :: a \rightarrow b$ to every element in a Tree:

This tree stores a pair of type (Int,Int) in every Node, rather than just a singleton value. This gives us a little database.

```
> tree :: Tree (Int,Int)
> tree =
> Node (5,10)
> (Node (3,6) (Node (1,1) Tip Tip)
> (Node (4,8) Tip Tip))
> (Node (7,14) (Node (6,12) Tip Tip)
> (Node (8,16) Tip Tip))
```

The find function looks up a number in the database; if that number is found in the first element of a pair, then the second element is returned.

```
> find :: Int -> Tree (Int,a) -> Maybe a
> find n Tip = Nothing
> find n (Node (m,d) t1 t2) =
> if n==m then Just d
> else if n<m then find n t1
> else find n t2
find 6 tree
==> Just 12
find 7 tree
==> Just 14
find 20 tree
==> Nothing
```

Peano Arithmetic

Peano represents natural numbers; that is, the non-negative integers.

```
> data Peano = Zero | Succ Peano deriving Show
```

The following definitions will be used soon in several examples. Try evaluating them interactively. Notice that the Peano representation of k always contains exactly k occurrences of Succ.

> one = Succ Zero
> two = Succ one
> three = Succ two
> four = Succ three
> five = Succ four
> six = Succ five

The decrement function can be defined simply by pattern matching:

> decrement :: Peano -> Peano > decrement Zero = Zero > decrement (Succ a) = a

As an example, notice that decrement six produces the representation of five.

five ==> Succ (Succ (Succ (Succ (Succ Zero))))
decrement six ==> Succ (Succ (Succ (Succ Zero))))

Most operations in Peano arithmetic must be defined using recursion; addition is a typical example:

```
> add :: Peano -> Peano -> Peano
> add Zero
              b = b
> add (Succ a) b = Succ (add a b)
add two three ==> Succ (Succ (Succ (Succ (Succ Zero))))
   And here is subtraction:
> sub :: Peano -> Peano -> Peano
> sub a
               Zero
                        = a
                        = Zero
> sub Zero
               b
> sub (Succ a) (Succ b) = sub a b
sub six four
  ==> Succ (Succ Zero)
sub five one
  ==> Succ (Succ (Succ (Succ Zero)))
```

Testing for equality is similar. Notice that we don't use the built-in Haskell (==) operator; instead we use recursion.

```
> equals :: Peano -> Peano -> Bool
> equals Zero
                  Zero
                           = True
> equals Zero
                           = False
                  b
                           = False
> equals a
                  Zero
> equals (Succ a) (Succ b) = equals a b
equals two three
  ==> False
equals four four
  ==> True
equals (add one two) (sub six three)
  ==> True
equals (sub four two) (add two three)
  ==> False
```

As one last example, here is the lt function, which computes the (<) relation:

```
> lt :: Peano -> Peano -> Bool
> lt a
              Zero
                       = False
> lt Zero
              (Succ b) = True
> lt (Succ a) (Succ b) = lt a b
lt two three
  ==> True
lt four four
  ==> False
lt (add one three) (sub five four)
  ==> False
lt (add two Zero) (add three two)
  ==> True
> data List a = Empty | Cons a (List a)
```

Data Recursion

The following function, $f_datarec$, takes a value and builds a list containing that value repeatedly. (In the book we simply call this function f.) In most programming languages, this function would go into an infinite loop. Haskell, however, uses *lazy evaluation* which allows such infinite data structures to be defined usefully. The idea is that the Haskell implementation evaluates only the parts of the data structure that are actually required.

```
> f_datarec :: a -> [a]
> f_datarec x = x : f_datarec x
```

The list ones :: [Int] is an infinite list of ones:

```
> ones = f_datarec 1
```

Now we try evaluating **ones**. (Try it!) When you get bored with the output, you can interrupt the computation and get another interactive prompt from the Haskell interpreter. (To interrupt the computation on Windows, click Stop; to interrupt in on Unix, type control-C.

ones

Here is another definition which is essentially the same, except that it doen't use a helper function; the data structure is defined using *data recursion* instead of the more ordinary *function recursion*.

Any kind of circular data structure can be defined in a similar way:

```
> object = let a = 1:b
> b = 2:c
> c = [3] ++ a
> in a
object
==> [1,2,3,1,2,3,1,2,3,1,2,3,1,2,3,...
```

Inductively Defined Sets

Induction

Relations

```
> type Relation a = Set (a,a)
> type Digraph a = (Set a, Relation a)
> domain :: (Eq a, Show a, Eq b, Show b) => Set (a,b) -> Set a
> codomain :: (Eq a, Show a, Eq b, Show b) => Set (a,b) -> Set b
> isDigraph :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Bool
> digraphEq :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Digraph a -> Bool
> isReflexive :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Bool
> isIrreflexive :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Bool
> lessThan_N100 :: Digraph Int
> equals_N100 :: Digraph Int
> greaterThan_N100 :: Digraph Int
> lessThanOrEq_N100 :: Digraph Int
> greaterThanOrEq_N100 :: Digraph Int
> notEq_N100 :: Digraph Int
> isSymmetric :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Bool
> isAntisymmetric :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Bool
> isTransitive :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Bool
> relationalComposition :: (Show a, Eq b, Show c, Show b, Eq c, Eq a) =>
                                Set (a,b) \rightarrow Set (b,c) \rightarrow Set (a,c)
>
```

>	equalityRelation :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set a -> Relation a
>	relationalPower :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Int -> Relation a
>	reflexiveClosure :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Digraph a
>	inverse :: Set (a,b) -> Set (b,a)
>	symmetricClosure :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Digraph a
>	transitiveClosure :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Digraph a
>	isPartialOrder :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Bool
>	remTransArcs :: (Eq a, Show a) => Relation a -> Relation a
>	isWeakest :: (Eq a, Show a) => Relation a -> a -> Bool
>	isGreatest :: (Eq a, Show a) => Relation a -> a -> Bool
>	weakestSet :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Set a
>	greatestSet :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Set a
>	isQuasiOrder :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Bool
>	isChain :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set (a,a) -> Bool
>	isLinearOrder :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Bool
>	removeFromRelation :: (Eq a, Show a) => a -> Set (a,a) -> Set (a,a)
>	removeElt :: (Eq a, Show a) => a -> Digraph a -> Digraph a
>	topsort :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Set a
> > >	<pre>isEquivalenceRelation :: (Eq a, Show a) => Digraph a -> Bool</pre>

Functions

```
> isFun :: (Eq a, Eq b, Show a, Show b) =>
>
               Set a -> Set b -> Set (a, FunVals b) -> Bool
> data FunVals a = Undefined | Value a
                      deriving (Eq, Show)
>
> isPartialFunction :: (Eq a, Eq b, Show a, Show b) => Set a -> Set b
                             -> Set (a,FunVals b) -> Bool
>
> imageValues :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set (FunVals a) -> Set a
> isSurjective :: (Eq a, Eq b, Show a, Show b) => Set a ->
>
                       Set b -> Set (a, FunVals b) -> Bool
> isInjective :: (Eq a, Eq b, Show a, Show b) => Set a ->
>
                    Set b -> Set (a,FunVals b) -> Bool
> functionalComposition
    :: (Eq a, Eq b, Eq c, Show a, Show b, Show c)
>
>
   => Set (a,FunVals b)
   -> Set (b,FunVals c)
>
   -> Set (a,FunVals c)
>
> isBijective :: (Eq a, Eq b, Show a, Show b) => Set a -> Set b
>
                      -> Set (a, FunVals b) -> Bool
> isPermutation
    :: (Eq a, Show a) => Set a -> Set a -> Set (a,FunVals a) -> Bool
>
> diagonal :: Int -> [(Int,Int)] -> [(Int,Int)]
> rationals :: [(Int, Int)]
```

Digital Circuit Design

```
> class Signal a where
    inv :: a -> a
>
    and2, or2, xor :: a -> a -> a
>
> instance Signal Bool where
>
   inv False = True
  inv True = False
>
> and 2 = (\&\&)
> or2 = (||)
> xor False False = False
> xor False True = True
> xor True False = True
> xor True True = False
> -- halfAdd :: Signal a => a -> a -> (a,a)
> halfAdd a b = (and2 a b, xor a b)
> fullAdd :: Signal a => (a,a) \rightarrow a \rightarrow (a,a)
> fullAdd (a,b) c = (or2 w y, z)
    where (w, x) = halfAdd a b
>
>
          (y,z) = halfAdd x c
halfAdd False False
halfAdd False True
halfAdd True False
halfAdd True True
fullAdd (False, False) False
fullAdd (False, False) True
fullAdd (False, True) False
fullAdd (False, True) True
fullAdd (True, False) False
```

```
fullAdd (True, False) True
               True) False
fullAdd (True,
fullAdd (True, True) True
> add4 :: Signal a => a -> [(a,a)] -> (a,[a])
> add4 c [(x0,y0),(x1,y1),(x2,y2),(x3,y3)] =
         (c0, [s0,s1,s2,s3])
>
   where (c0,s0) = fullAdd (x0,y0) c1
>
>
          (c1,s1) = fullAdd (x1,y1) c2
          (c2,s2) = fullAdd (x2,y2) c3
>
>
          (c3,s3) = fullAdd (x3,y3) c
    Example: addition of 3 + 8
       3 + 8
       =
          0011
                  (2+1 = 3)
         + 1000
                  (
                       8 = 8)
           1011
                  (8+2+1 = 11)
       =
    Calculate this by evaluating
       add4 False [(False,True),(False,False),(True,False),(True,False)]
    The expected result is
       (False, [True, False, True, True])
> mscanr :: (b->a->(a,c)) -> a -> [b] -> (a,[c])
> mscanr f a [] = (a,[])
> mscanr f a (x:xs) =
    let (a',ys) = mscanr f a xs
>
>
        (a'',y) = f x a'
    in (a'', y:ys)
>
> rippleAdd :: Signal a => a -> [(a,a)] -> (a, [a])
> rippleAdd c zs = mscanr fullAdd c zs
    Example: addition of 23+11
       23 + 11
       =
          010111
                    (16+4+2+1 = 23)
         + 001011
                    (
                      8+2+1 = 11) with carry input = 0
                         32+2 = 34) with carry output = 0
       =
           100010
                    (
    Calculate with the circuit by evaluating
       rippleAdd False [(False,False),(True,False),(False,True),
                      (True,False),(True,True),(True,True)]
    The expected result is
       (False, [True,False,False,False,True,False])
```