Flood-filling Games on Graphs

Kitty Meeks Alex Scott

Mathematical Institute University of Oxford

23rd British Combinatorial Conference, Exeter, July 2011

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 善臣 - のへで









◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > □ = のへで



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > □ = のへで





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□





▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ● 臣 ■ ● の Q (2)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶ ◆□













◆□ ▶ ◆■ ▶ ◆ ■ ◆ ● ◆ ● ◆ ● ◆



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶







◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆三 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶





Problems considered



Problems considered

Background

- Problems considered
- Background
- Connecting pairs of vertices

- Problems considered
- Background
- Connecting pairs of vertices
- Rectangular $k \times n$ boards of fixed height

- Problems considered
- Background
- Connecting pairs of vertices
- Rectangular $k \times n$ boards of fixed height

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Open Problems

Problems considered: fixed version

Definition

Given a coloured connected graph G and a vertex $v \in V$, we define $m^{(v)}(G)$ to be the minimum number of moves required to make G monochromatic, if we always play at the vertex v.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Problems considered: fixed version

Definition

Given a coloured connected graph G and a vertex $v \in V$, we define $m^{(v)}(G)$ to be the minimum number of moves required to make G monochromatic, if we always play at the vertex v.

FIXED FLOOD IT

Given a coloured connected graph G and a vertex $v \in V(G)$, what is $m^{(v)}(G)$? The number of colours may be unbounded.

Problems considered: fixed version

Definition

Given a coloured connected graph G and a vertex $v \in V$, we define $m^{(v)}(G)$ to be the minimum number of moves required to make G monochromatic, if we always play at the vertex v.

FIXED FLOOD IT

Given a coloured connected graph G and a vertex $v \in V(G)$, what is $m^{(v)}(G)$? The number of colours may be unbounded.

c-Fixed Flood It

The same as FIXED FLOOD IT, except that only colours from some fixed set of size c are used.

Problems considered: free version

Definition

Given a coloured connected graph, we define m(G) to be the minimum number of moves required to make G monochromatic if, at each move, we can choose to play at any vertex in G.

Free Flood It

Given a coloured connected graph G, what is m(G)? The number of colours may be unbounded.

c-Free Flood It

The same as FREE FLOOD IT, except that only colours from some fixed set of size c are used.

Background

Theorem (Arthur, Clifford, Jalsenius, Montanaro, Sach 2010) 3-FIXED FLOOD IT and 3-FREE FLOOD IT are NP-hard on $n \times n$ grids (and the decision versions are NP-complete).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Background

Theorem (Arthur, Clifford, Jalsenius, Montanaro, Sach 2010) 3-FIXED FLOOD IT and 3-FREE FLOOD IT are NP-hard on $n \times n$ grids (and the decision versions are NP-complete).

Theorem (Lagoutte 2010)

3-FIXED FLOOD IT and 3-FREE FLOOD IT are NP-hard on trees.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Background

Theorem (Arthur, Clifford, Jalsenius, Montanaro, Sach 2010) 3-FIXED FLOOD IT and 3-FREE FLOOD IT are NP-hard on $n \times n$ grids (and the decision versions are NP-complete).

Theorem (Lagoutte 2010)

3-FIXED FLOOD IT and 3-FREE FLOOD IT are NP-hard on trees.

► Both proved by means of a reduction from SHORTEST COMMON SUPERSEQUENCE (SCS).

Connecting pairs of vertices

Definition

Given a coloured connected graph G and $u, v \in V(G)$, we define m(u, v) to be the minimum number of moves we must play in G (in the free variant) to link u and v.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Connecting pairs of vertices

Definition

Given a coloured connected graph G and $u, v \in V(G)$, we define m(u, v) to be the minimum number of moves we must play in G (in the free variant) to link u and v.

Lemma

Let G be a connected coloured graph, and let $u, v \in V(G)$. Then m(u, v) is equal to the minimum, taken over all u-v paths P, of the number of moves required to flood the path P.

Connecting pairs of vertices

Definition

Given a coloured connected graph G and $u, v \in V(G)$, we define m(u, v) to be the minimum number of moves we must play in G (in the free variant) to link u and v.

Lemma

Let G be a connected coloured graph, and let $u, v \in V(G)$. Then m(u, v) is equal to the minimum, taken over all u-v paths P, of the number of moves required to flood the path P.

Theorem (M., Scott 2011)

Let G = (V, E) be a connected graph, coloured with c colours. Then we can compute the number of moves required to link every pair $(u, v) \in V^{(2)}$ in time $O(|V|^3|E||C|^2)$. Applications: FREE FLOOD IT on paths

Corollary

For any path P, FREE FLOOD IT can be solved in time $O(|P|^6)$, and c-FREE FLOOD IT can be solved in time $O(|P|^4)$.

Corollary

For any fixed k, we can compute a constant additive approximation to c-FREE FLOOD IT, restricted to $k \times n$ boards, in time $O(n^4)$.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Let *B* be the coloured graph corresponding to a $k \times n$ board. Then

$$m(u,v) \leq m(B) \leq m(u,v) + c(k-1).$$



Moves:

Let *B* be the coloured graph corresponding to a $k \times n$ board. Then

$$m(u,v) \leq m(B) \leq m(u,v) + c(k-1).$$



Moves: m(u, v)

Let *B* be the coloured graph corresponding to a $k \times n$ board. Then

$$m(u,v) \leq m(B) \leq m(u,v) + c(k-1).$$



Moves: m(u, v) + c

Let *B* be the coloured graph corresponding to a $k \times n$ board. Then

$$m(u,v) \leq m(B) \leq m(u,v) + c(k-1).$$



Moves: m(u, v) + 2c

Let *B* be the coloured graph corresponding to a $k \times n$ board. Then

$$m(u,v) \leq m(B) \leq m(u,v) + c(k-1).$$



Moves: m(u, v) + 3c

Let *B* be the coloured graph corresponding to a $k \times n$ board. Then

$$m(u,v) \leq m(B) \leq m(u,v) + c(k-1).$$



Moves: m(u, v) + 4c

Let *B* be the coloured graph corresponding to a $k \times n$ board. Then

$$m(u,v) \leq m(B) \leq m(u,v) + c(k-1).$$



Moves: m(u, v) + 5c

Solving the problems exactly for $k \times n$ boards

	1 imes n	2 × <i>n</i>	3 × <i>n</i>	$n \times n$
<i>c</i> = 2				
<i>c</i> = 3				NP-h
<i>c</i> = 4				NP-h
c unbounded				NP-h

Solving the problems exactly for $k \times n$ boards

	1 imes n	$2 \times n$	3 × <i>n</i>	$n \times n$
<i>c</i> = 2	Р	Р	Р	Р
<i>c</i> = 3				NP-h
<i>c</i> = 4				NP-h
<i>c</i> unbounded				NP-h

Solving the problems exactly for $k \times n$ boards

	1 imes n	2 × <i>n</i>	3 × <i>n</i>	$n \times n$
<i>c</i> = 2	Р	Р	Р	Р
<i>c</i> = 3	Р			NP-h
<i>c</i> = 4	Р			NP-h
<i>c</i> unbounded	Р			NP-h

$3 \times n$ boards

Theorem (M.,Scott 2011)

4-FIXED FLOOD IT and 4-FREE FLOOD IT NP-hard on $3 \times n$ boards.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Proved by a reduction from SCS.

$3 \times n$ boards

	1 imes n	2 × <i>n</i>	3 × <i>n</i>	$n \times n$
<i>c</i> = 2	Р	Р	Р	Р
<i>c</i> = 3	Р		?	NP-h
<i>c</i> = 4	Р		NP-h	NP-h
<i>c</i> unbounded	Р		NP-h	NP-h

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = の�?

$2 \times n$ boards

	Fixed	Free
c fixed	Р	
c unbounded	Р	

Theorem (Clifford, Jalsenius, Montanaro and Sach 2010) FIXED FLOOD IT can be solved in time O(n) on $2 \times n$ boards.

c-FREE FLOOD IT on $2 \times n$ boards

	Fixed	Free
c fixed	Р	Р
c unbounded	Р	

Theorem (M.,Scott 2011)

When restricted to $2 \times n$ boards, c-FREE FLOOD IT is fixed parameter tractable, with parameter c.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

c-FREE FLOOD IT on $2 \times n$ boards

	Fixed	Free
c fixed	Р	Р
<i>c</i> unbounded	Р	

Theorem (M.,Scott 2011)

When restricted to $2 \times n$ boards, c-FREE FLOOD IT is fixed parameter tractable, with parameter c.

- Dynamic programming
- Split board into sections and consider the number of moves required to create a monochromatic path through each section, subject to certain further conditions.

FREE FLOOD IT on $2 \times n$ boards

	Fixed	Free
c fixed	Р	Р
c unbounded	Р	NP-h

Theorem (M., Scott, 2011)

FREE FLOOD IT remains NP-hard when restricted to $2 \times n$ boards.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

▶ Reduction from VERTEX COVER.

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへぐ

Complexity of detemining the number of moves required to link a given set of k ≥ 3 points, for fixed k.

- Complexity of detemining the number of moves required to link a given set of k ≥ 3 points, for fixed k.
- Complexity of 3-FIXED FLOOD IT and 3-FREE FLOOD IT on 3 × n boards.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Complexity of detemining the number of moves required to link a given set of k ≥ 3 points, for fixed k.
- Complexity of 3-FIXED FLOOD IT and 3-FREE FLOOD IT on 3 × n boards.
- ► Conjecture: *c*-FREE FLOOD IT is solvable in polynomial time on subdivisions of any fixed graph *H*.

- Complexity of detemining the number of moves required to link a given set of k ≥ 3 points, for fixed k.
- Complexity of 3-FIXED FLOOD IT and 3-FREE FLOOD IT on 3 × n boards.
- ► Conjecture: *c*-FREE FLOOD IT is solvable in polynomial time on subdivisions of any fixed graph *H*.
- Extremal problems:
 - What is the worst possible colouring of a k × n board with c colours?

- ► Complexity of detemining the number of moves required to link a given set of k ≥ 3 points, for fixed k.
- Complexity of 3-FIXED FLOOD IT and 3-FREE FLOOD IT on 3 × n boards.
- Conjecture: c-FREE FLOOD IT is solvable in polynomial time on subdivisions of any fixed graph H.
- Extremal problems:
 - What is the worst possible colouring of a k × n board with c colours?
 - ▶ Given a graph G,
 - 1. what is the worst possible colouring with c colours?

2. what is the best possible proper colouring?

QUESTIONS?