

XXXday, May XX, 2003
00.00a.m. - 00.00a.m.

University of Glasgow

DEGREES OF M.Eng., B.Eng., B.Sc., M.A. and M.A. (Social Sciences)

COMPUTING SCIENCE 4
CONSTRAINT PROGRAMMING4

(Answer any 2 questions out of 3.)

1. (a) Given a constraint satisfaction problem (V,D,C) describe what we mean by arc-consistency. In your description you can assume that all the constraints in C are binary. [4]
- (b) Describe the AC3 algorithm, using a pseudo-code of your own choosing. [10]
- (c) Compare AC3 to AC5, stating the most significant differences between these two algorithms, and the most significant advantage brought about by AC5. [4]
- (d) Given the choco code below, what are the domains of the variables after the call to propagate(p)? Explain your answer.

```
[csp1() : void
-> let p := makeProblem("csp1",3),
    x := makeIntVar(p,"x",1,10),
    y := makeIntVar(p,"y",1,10),
    z := makeIntVar(p,"z",1,10)
  in (post(p,x < y - 2),
      post(p,y == 3 * z),
      post(p,z < 2 * x + 1),
      propagate(p),
      for v in p.vars printf("~S ",v))]
```

[3]

- (e) In the choco code below, we have made the constraint between variables y and z explicit. What will be the domains of the variables after the call to propagate(p)? Explain your answer.

```
[csp2() : void
-> let p := makeProblem("csp2",3),
    x := makeIntVar(p,"x",1,10),
    y := makeIntVar(p,"y",1,10),
    z := makeIntVar(p,"z",1,10),
    goods := list(tuple(3,1),tuple(6,2),tuple(9,3))
  in (post(p,x < y - 2),
      post(p,binRelation(y,z,goods)),
      post(p,z < 2 * x + 1),
      propagate(p),
      for v in p.vars printf("~S ",v))]
```

[4]

2. In the 0-1 Knapsack Problem we are to pack a knapsack with a set of items. There are n distinct items, each with a weight and a value. The knapsack has a capacity X , and the problem is then to select items to pack in the knapsack such that their combined weight is less than or equal to X , and their combined value is as great as possible. The following is an example instance of the problem:

Item:	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
Value:	13	8	11	16	4	1	1	9	10
Weight:	34	21	19	45	12	2	6	12	22
$X =$	100								

- (a) Propose an encoding for the knapsack problem as a constraint satisfaction problem, suggesting the variables you would use, their domains, and the constraints. Note: you do not need to write choco code. [15]
- (b) Suggest possible variable and value ordering heuristics for your encoding and explain why you think your heuristics would be beneficial. [5]
- (c) Explain how constraint programming addresses optimisation. That is, how can we cast an optimisation problem as one of satisfaction. [5]
3. For a constraint satisfaction problem, the order in which we select variables for instantiation (i.e. the instantiation order) can have a profound effect on search effort. We might classify variable ordering heuristics into two groups, static and dynamic.
- (a) Describe the static variable ordering heuristics MBO (minimum bandwidth ordering) and MAXDEG (maximum degree), and suggest why we might expect these to be good heuristics. [8]
- (b) Describe the dynamic variable ordering heuristic SDF (smallest domain first) and suggest why we might expect it to be a good heuristic. [4]
- (c) Are the SDF and MBO heuristics algorithm independent? That is, will SDF and MBO give an advantage to any complete search algorithm we might use to solve a constraint satisfaction problem? Explain your answer. [4]
- (d) Are the SDF and MAXDEG heuristics problem independent? For example, will SDF and MAXDEG give an advantage on all constraint satisfaction problems? Explain your answer. [4]
- (e) On average, the SDF heuristic performs equally well on solvable and unsolvable problems. Why might that be? [3]
- (f) Geelen's value ordering heuristic, *promise*, selects a value that has maximum support in the domains of adjacent variables. Will Geelen's promise reduce the effort for a complete search algorithm when a problem is unsolvable? Explain your answer. [2]