

Test collections for all

Mark Sanderson



Content

- How to build test collections easily
 - Adapting to context

- What challenges remain with test collections
 - Adapting to a user



Adapting to context

- Need new test collections
- IR matching algorithms vary with context
- Many contexts few test collections
 - <100?
- Why?
 - Perception that test collections are hard to produce Implies people will use centrally built ones
 Don't try to build their own



Thing is...

 ...test collections aren't a lot of work to build

- Sheffield
 - Built many
 - 1 week effort per person max.



Series of formation methods

- ISJ
 - Cormack, Palmer, Clarke SIGIR, 1998
 - Other studies in later SIGIRs
- Relevance feedback
 - Soboroff SIGIR, 2001
 - Also studied SIGIR 2004



Cormack, Palmer & Clarke

- Don't have assessors, have Interactive Searchers and Judgers (ISJ)
 - Try multiple queries,
 - Set aside relevant documents...
 - ...for a final set of relevant documents



It does work

- Sheffield used ISJ for geoCLEF 2005
 - 25 topics
 - 1-2 hours per topic
 - Paid a few students to find relevant documents Judgements done in a week
 - Didn't have to wait for submissions



By comparison

- CLEF ran pooling in parallel
 - Few contributors to the pool
 First run of the track
 - Sheffield ISJ found many relevant documents than (in this case) standard pooling missed



Soboroff

- Assessors not great searchers?
- Get them to do relevance feedback
 - Mark documents in initial system pool as rel
 - Form a new query
 - Mark documents in new system pool as rel.



Suite of methods for you

Just as good a TREC/CLEF-style pooled collections

- Methods build future proofed collections
 - Should effectively asses IR systems to come



There is the question

Does your collection need this feature?

- If not
 - You can cut corners



Simple approach

- TREC/CLEF: judge from pool
 - from top 100 (sometimes 50)
- Use pool from top 10
 - A much shallower pool
 - 11%-14% relevance assessor effort Compared to top 100
- Small increase in error.



More recent work

- SIGIR 2006
 - Targeted assessment
 Carterette, Allan, Sitaraman
 - Pool sampling
 Aslam, Pavlu, Yilmaz
 4% of pool



Noise tolerant measures

- SIGIR 2003
 - Voorhees, Buckley
 - Bpref-10
- CIKM 2006
 - Yilmaz, Aslam
 - Apparently more stable measure
- Can deal better with patchy grels



Adapting to users

- Almost all of our collections assume that users have the same definition of relevance
 - Not true!
- Different users view different documents as relevant to the same query
 - Duh!
 - Existing test collections don't deal with this



Often we have no context

- We could try to find context
- When talking about adaptive IR
 - Do we talk about personalisation with no information?

 Build collections with many user judgements for the same query



Less is more

- SIGIR 2006
 - Find most relevant doc Rank position 1
 - Find next most relevant and different doc Rank position 2
- Tested on TREC multi-assessment collection
 - Satisfied more users more of the time



So

- The tools are there
 - What are we waiting for?

Another reason for these methods being around...



Test collections need to improve

- Generic problems
 - Important to Adaptive IR

- Remember
 - Test collection based evaluation encourages us to...



...build IR systems that...

- Match on sub-sets of query words
 - Often unwanted by users
- Use measures
 - Don't focus enough on poor queries
- Don't consider interaction
 - Within topic
 - Across topics



Not enough queries

- Academia
 - 25, 50, 100 topics per year?
- Search engines
 - Hundreds of topics per week



Think about

- The methods described here
- Build new conventional collections
 - Specific to your context

- Think how the methods can help build
 - Collections we'll need soon



Finally

- NTCIR Evaluation workshop
 - http://research.nii.ac.jp/ntcir/ntcir-ws6/pmwen.html



Still hard work

- Have to create topics
 - Non-trivial
 TREC/CLEF skilled at topic creation

- What's better (speculation)
 - Your (OK) topics right for your context
 - Their (good) topics right for a different context